

to be selected into the Demonstration Program:

1. The project must have been awarded tax credits.
2. The project must have a loan to cost (LTC) ratio equal to or lower than 50%.
3. The Lender must have submitted [for this project] a loan guarantee application under either the GRRHP's 2007 Notice at 72 FR 8339 (Feb. 26, 2007) or the GRRHP's 2006 Notice at 71 FR 4559 (Jan. 27, 2006). Additionally, the application to be considered must have been obligated from October 1, 2006 to July 31, 2007.

4. The Lender must have submitted a timely response to this NOFA in accordance with the "Demonstration Program Response Submission Address" section of this NOFA.

Demonstration Program Selection Process: Selections from qualified obligations that have requested consideration will be made based on their interest credit scores, with the highest scoring obligations, being selected first, until all available Demonstration Program funds are allocated. In the event of a tie, priority will be given to the project that: is in the smaller rural community, and in case of a subsequent tie has the lowest LTC ratio.

Selections into the Demonstration Program will be made on August 14, 2007, from the qualified pool of applications that were obligated from October 1, 2006 to July 31, 2007. In the event there are not enough qualified requests for selection into the Demonstration Program to utilize all the available Demonstration Program set-aside funds of approximately \$10 million, then the selection process for any remaining funds will be conducted again on September 11, 2007, and will include all applications obligated from October 1, 2006 to August 31, 2007. All applicants will be notified of the selection results no later than 15 business days from the date of selection.

Demonstration Program Response Submission Address: Eligible lenders wishing to have their obligated applications considered for selection into the Demonstration Program must submit a signed request (not to exceed one page) on its letterhead that includes the following information:

1. Developer's Name.
2. Borrower's Name.
3. Project's Name.
4. Project's Address (City and State).
5. Project Type (Family, Senior, or Mixed).
6. Project's Total Units.
7. Project's Total Development Cost (TDC).
8. Amount of 538 Loan Guarantee.

9. Amount of Tax Credits Awarded.

10. Amount and Source of Other Financing.

11. Loan to Cost (LTC) %.

12. Area Population.

13. Date obligated or date of Conditional Commitment.

Send the Demonstration Program Response Submission Letter with all of the information listed above, along with a copy of the State Office's "Proceed with Application/NOFA Response Selection" letter and a copy of the tax credit award notification to: C.B. Alonso, Senior Loan Specialist, Multi-Family Housing Processing Division, Guaranteed Rural Rental Housing Program, U.S. Department of Agriculture, South Agriculture Building, Room 1271, Stop 0781, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-0781.

Requests may also be faxed to 202-205-5066 or sent by e-mail (signed PDF copies of the above submissions) to cb.alonso@wdc.usda.gov. Eligible lenders mailing a request must provide sufficient time to permit delivery to the SUBMISSION ADDRESS on or before August 13, 2007. Acceptance by a U.S. Post Office or private mailer does not constitute delivery. Postage due responses and applications will not be accepted.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, marital status or family status (not all prohibited basis apply to all programs). Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact the USDA's Target Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice or TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call toll free (866) 632-9992 (Voice). TDD users can contact USDA through local relay (800) 720-6382 (TDD) or (866) 377-8642 (relay voice users). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Dated: June 4, 2007.

David J. Villano,

Acting Administrator, Rural Housing Service.
[FR Doc. E7-11169 Filed 6-8-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-XV-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-846]

Brake Rotors from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the 2005-2006 Administrative and New Shipper Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 11, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Moats, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5047.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 15, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published the preliminary results of the 2005-2006 administrative and new shipper reviews and partial rescission of the 2005-2006 administrative review of the antidumping order on brake rotors from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for the April 1, 2005, through March 31, 2006, period. *See Brake Rotors from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the 2005-2006 Administrative and New Shipper Reviews and Partial Rescission of the 2005-2006 Administrative Review*, 72 FR 7405 (February 15, 2007). The final results of the review are currently due on June 15, 2007. On March 5, 2007, Longkou Haimeng Machinery Co., Ltd., Qingdao Meita Automotive Industry Co., Ltd., Yantai Winhere Auto-Part Manufacturing Co., Ltd, Laizhou Auto Brake Equipment Company Ltd., Laizhou Hongda Auto Replacement Parts Co., Ltd., and Laizhou City Luqi Machinery Co., Ltd., requested a 28-day extension of time to submit publicly available information to value the factors of production. On March 6, 2007, the Department issued a letter to all interested parties granting the requested 28-day extension of time to submit publicly available information to value the factors of production. In that letter, the Department stated that it was postponing the briefing schedule until further notice pending the release of the verification report of Shandong Huanri Group Co., Ltd., Shandong Huanri Group General Co., and Laizhou Huanri Automobile Parts Co., Ltd. (collectively "Huanri"). From March 20, 2007,

through March 22, 2007, the Department verified the Section A and quantity and value questionnaire response of Huanri in Panjia Village, Laizhou, PRC. On May 4, 2007, the Department issued the verification report for Huanri. See Memorandum to the File through Wendy J. Frankel, Office Director, and Robert Bolling, Program Manager, From Eugene Degan, Senior International Trade Analyst, and Paul Stolz, International Trade Compliance Analyst, entitled, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Brake Rotors from the People's Republic of China: Verification of Section A and Quantity and Value Response of Shandong Huanri Group Co., Ltd., Laizhou Huanri Automobile Parts Co., Ltd., and Shandong Huanri Group General Co." On May 10, 2007, the Department set the deadlines for submission of case and rebuttal briefs as May 21, 2007, and May 29, 2007, respectively. On May 10, 2007, the Coalition for the Preservation of American Brake Drum and Rotor Aftermarket Manufacturers ("the Petitioner"), requested a 5-day extension of time to submit rebuttal briefs. On May 15, 2007, the Department granted the Petitioner's request and extended the deadline for submission of rebuttal briefs to June 5, 2007, for all parties.

Extension of Time Limit of Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the "Act") requires the Department to issue the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete this review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the deadline for the final results to a maximum of 180 days after the publication date of the preliminary results.

The Department determines that completion of the final results of these reviews within the statutory time period is not practicable. The Department requires additional time to analyze comments regarding the 19 respondents, including 15 separate-rate respondents and three mandatory respondents in the administrative review and one respondent in the new shipper review. Therefore, given the number and complexity of issues and companies in this case, and in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the final results of review by 46 days to 166 days after the publication of the preliminary results. Therefore, the final

results will be due no later than July 31, 2007.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: June 5, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-11251 Filed 6-8-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-865]

Preliminary Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 11, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Catherine Bertrand or Christopher Riker, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3207 and (202) 482-3441, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 1, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for the period of review ("POR") November 1, 2005, through October 31, 2006. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 71 FR 64240 (November 1, 2006). On November 30, 2006, United States Steel ("Petitioner"), a domestic producer of certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of Anshan Iron & Steel Group Corp., Angang Group International Trade Corporation, Angang New Iron and Steel Co., Angang New Steel Co., Ltd., and Angang Group Hong Kong Co., Ltd. (collectively "Angang") and Baosteel Group Corporation, Shanghai Baosteel International Economic & Trading Co., Ltd., and Baoshan Iron and Steel Co., Ltd. (collectively "Baosteel"). On December 27, 2006, the Department

published a notice of initiation of an antidumping duty administrative review on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from the PRC. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part* ("Notice of Initiation"), 71 FR 77720 (December 27, 2006).

On January 4, 2007, the Department issued a quantity and value questionnaire to Angang and Baosteel. On January 18, 2007, Angang submitted a letter stating that Angang had no sales, shipments, or entries of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. Also on January 18, 2007, Baosteel submitted a letter stating that it had no sales of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.

On February 7, 2007, Petitioner submitted information on the record of this review, in the form of Port Import Export Reporting Service ("PIERS") data, which is a subscription service based upon shipping manifests, alleging that there were entries made of subject merchandise into the United States during the POR by Angang and Baosteel. On February 13, 2007, the Department requested that Angang and Baosteel provide comments on the PIERS data placed on the record by Petitioner. Also, on February 13, 2007, the Department requested that Petitioner provide the Harmonized Tariff Codes for the data it provided from PIERS and explain how the information it placed on the record could be tied to actual entry documentation from U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP"). Baosteel submitted comments on February 16, 2007, and on March 6, 2007, again stating that it had no shipments, sales, or entries of subject merchandise during the POR to the United States, and provided supporting sales documentation for the entries listed in the PIERS data to demonstrate that those entries were not subject merchandise. On February 20, 2007, Angang responded to the Department's February 13, 2007, questionnaire, and stated again that it had no shipments, sales, or entries of subject merchandise during the POR, and alleged that the PIERS data placed on the record by Petitioner was not reflective of the actual material that was shipped by Angang. Also, on February 20, 2007, Petitioner submitted a response to the Department's February 13, 2007, questionnaire, and placed a revised version of the PIERS data on the record which contained the tariff code numbers.

The Department conducted a CBP data query for possible entries of subject merchandise into the United States during the POR by Angang and Baosteel.