

estimated antidumping duties on each entry of subject merchandise (*i.e.*, brake rotors) at the PRC-wide entity rate of 43.32 percent.

Interested parties that need access to proprietary information in this new shipper review should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and notice are issued in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d) and 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: May 21, 2007.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E7-10134 Filed 5-24-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-201-830]

#### Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Mexico: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

#### Background:

On October 31, 2006, petitioners, Mittal Steel USA Inc. - Georgetown, Gerdau USA Inc., Nucor Steel Connecticut Inc., Keystone Consolidated Industries, Inc., and Rocky Mountain Steel Mills (petitioners), requested that the Department of Commerce (the Department) conduct an administrative review of Siderurgica Lazaro Cardenas Las Truchas S.A. de C.V. (SICARTSA) and Hylsa Puebla S.A. de C.V. for the period of October 1, 2005, through September 30, 2006.

On November 7, 2006, the Department initiated the review. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Requests for Revocation, 71 FR 68535 (November 27, 2006). On December 28, 2006, petitioners withdrew their request for a review of SICARTSA pursuant to section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 25, 2007.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jolanta Lawska or John Conniff, Office 3, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution

Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-8362 or (202) 482-1009, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Scope of Order

The merchandise subject to this order is certain hot-rolled products of carbon steel and alloy steel, in coils, of approximately round cross section, 5.00 mm or more, but less than 19.00 mm, in solid cross-sectional diameter.

Specifically excluded are steel products possessing the above-noted physical characteristics and meeting the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) definitions for (a) Stainless steel; (b) tool steel; (c) high nickel steel; (d) ball bearing steel; and (e) concrete reinforcing bars and rods. Also excluded are (f) free machining steel products (*i.e.*, products that contain by weight one or more of the following elements: 0.03 percent or more of lead, 0.05 percent or more of bismuth, 0.08 percent or more of sulfur, more than 0.04 percent of phosphorus, more than 0.05 percent of selenium, or more than 0.01 percent of tellurium).

Also excluded from the scope are 1080 grade tire cord quality wire rod and 1080 grade tire bead quality wire rod. This grade 1080 tire cord quality rod is defined as: (i) Grade 1080 tire cord quality wire rod measuring 5.0 mm or more but not more than 6.0 mm in cross-sectional diameter; (ii) with an average partial decarburization of no more than 70 microns in depth (maximum individual 200 microns); (iii) having no non-deformable inclusions greater than 20 microns and no deformable inclusions greater than 35 microns; (iv) having a carbon segregation per heat average of 3.0 or better using European Method NFA 04-114; (v) having a surface quality with no surface defects of a length greater than 0.15 mm; (vi) capable of being drawn to a diameter of 0.30 mm or less with 3 or fewer breaks per ton; and (vii) containing by weight the following elements in the proportions shown: (1) 0.78 percent or more of carbon, (2) less than 0.01 percent of aluminum, (3) 0.040 percent or less, in the aggregate, of phosphorus and sulfur, (4) 0.006 percent or less of nitrogen, and (5) not more than 0.15 percent, in the aggregate, of copper, nickel and chromium.

This grade 1080 tire bead quality rod is defined as: (i) Grade 1080 tire bead quality wire rod measuring 5.5 mm or more but not more than 7.0 mm in cross-sectional diameter; (ii) with an average partial decarburization of no more than 70 microns in depth (maximum individual 200 microns); (iii) having no non-deformable inclusions

greater than 20 microns and no deformable inclusions greater than 35 microns; (iv) having a carbon segregation per heat average of 3.0 or better using European Method NFA 04-114; (v) having a surface quality with no surface defects of a length greater than 0.2 mm; (vi) capable of being drawn to a diameter of 0.78 mm or larger with 0.5 or fewer breaks per ton; and (vii) containing by weight the following elements in the proportions shown: (1) 0.78 percent or more of carbon, (2) less than 0.01 percent of soluble aluminum, (3) 0.040 percent or less, in the aggregate, of phosphorus and sulfur, (4) 0.008 percent or less of nitrogen, and (5) either not more than 0.15 percent, in the aggregate, of copper, nickel and chromium (if chromium is not specified), or not more than 0.10 percent in the aggregate of copper and nickel and a chromium content of 0.24 to 0.30 percent (if chromium is specified).

For purposes of the grade 1080 tire cord quality wire rod and the grade 1080 tire bead quality wire rod, an inclusion will be considered to be deformable if its ratio of length (measured along the axis - that is, the direction of rolling - of the rod) over thickness (measured on the same inclusion in a direction perpendicular to the axis of the rod) is equal to or greater than three. The size of an inclusion for purposes of the 20 microns and 35 microns limitations is the measurement of the largest dimension observed on a longitudinal section measured in a direction perpendicular to the axis of the rod. This measurement methodology applies only to inclusions on certain grade 1080 tire cord quality wire rod and certain grade 1080 tire bead quality wire rod that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after July 24, 2003.

The designation of the products as "tire cord quality" or "tire bead quality" indicates the acceptability of the product for use in the production of tire cord, tire bead, or wire for use in other rubber reinforcement applications such as hose wire. These quality designations are presumed to indicate that these products are being used in tire cord, tire bead, and other rubber reinforcement applications, and such merchandise intended for the tire cord, tire bead, or other rubber reinforcement applications is not included in the scope. However, should the petitioners or other interested parties provide a reasonable basis to believe or suspect that there exists a pattern of importation of such products for other than those applications, end-use certification for the importation of such products may be required. Under such circumstances,

only the importers of record would normally be required to certify the end use of the imported merchandise.

All products meeting the physical description of subject merchandise that are not specifically excluded are included in this scope. The products subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7213.91.3011, 7213.91.3015, 7213.91.3092, 7213.91.4500, 7213.91.6000, 7213.99.0030, 7213.99.0090, 7227.20.0000, 7227.90.6010, and 7227.90.6080 of the HTSUS. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.<sup>1</sup>

#### Partial Rescission of Review

If a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review, the Secretary will rescind the review pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). In this case, the petitioners withdrew their request for an administrative review for SICARTSA within 90 days from the date of initiation. No other interested party requested a review of SICARTSA and we have received no comments regarding the petitioner's withdrawal of their request for a review. Therefore, we are rescinding this review of the antidumping duty order on certain carbon and alloy steel wire rod from Mexico in part with respect to SICARTSA.

The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) 15 days after the publication of this notice. The Department will direct CBP to assess antidumping duties at the cash deposit rate in effect on the date of entry for entries during the period October 1, 2005, through September 30, 2006.

This notice is in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended and 19 CFR 251.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 18, 2007.

#### Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-10091 Filed 5-24-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

<sup>1</sup> Effective January 1, 2006, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) reclassified certain HTSUS numbers related to the subject merchandise. See [http://hotdocs.usitc.gov/tariff\\_chapters\\_current/toc.html](http://hotdocs.usitc.gov/tariff_chapters_current/toc.html).

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

(C-427-819)

#### Low Enriched Uranium from France: Notice of Amended Final Negative Determination Pursuant to Final Court Decision, Rescission of Administrative Review, and Revocation of the Countervailing Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On May 18, 2006, the United States Court of International Trade ("the CIT") sustained the Department of Commerce's ("the Department's") March 2, 2006, Final Results of Redetermination on Remand pursuant to *Eurodif S.A., Compagnie Generale Des Matieres Nucleaires, and Cogema Inc., et. al. v. United States*, Slip. Op. 06-3 (CIT, January 5, 2006), which pertains to the Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination on Low Enriched Uranium ("LEU") from France.

Because all litigation in this matter has concluded, the Department is issuing an amended final negative determination for LEU from France and revoking the countervailing duty ("CVD") order. The Department is also rescinding the ongoing administrative review covering the period January 1, 2006, through December 31, 2006, and will not initiate the deferred administrative review covering the period January 1, 2005, through December 31, 2005.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 8, 2006.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kristen Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4793.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On December 21, 2001, the Department published a notice of final determination in the CVD investigation on LEU from France. See *Notice of Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination: Low Enriched Uranium from France*, 66 FR 65901 (December 21, 2001) ("*LEU Final Determination*") and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum. The *LEU Final Determination* was subsequently amended. See *Amended Final Determination and Notice of Countervailing Duty Order: Low*

*Enriched Uranium from France*, 67 FR 6689 (February 13, 2002).

Eurodif, S.A., Compagnie Generale Des Matieres Nucleaires ("COGEMA"), and COGEMA Inc., et. al.<sup>1</sup> (collectively, "Eurodif" or "respondents") challenged the Department's final determination before the CIT. The case was later appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ("Federal Circuit"). The Federal Circuit ruled in favor of respondents in *Eurodif S.A., Compagnie Generale Des Matieres Nucleaires, and Cogema Inc., et. al. v. United States*, 411 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2005) ("*Eurodif I*"). The court panel later clarified its ruling, issuing a decision in *Eurodif S.A., Compagnie Generale Des Matieres Nucleaires, and Cogema Inc., et. al. v. United States*, 423 F.3d 1275 (Fed. Cir. 2005) ("*Eurodif II*"), which affirmed *Eurodif I*.

On January 5, 2006, the CIT remanded the case to the Department for action consistent with the decisions of the Federal Circuit in *Eurodif I* and *Eurodif II*. See *Eurodif S.A., Compagnie Generale Des Matieres Nucleaires, and Cogema Inc., et. al. v. United States*, Slip. Op. 06-3 (CIT, January 5, 2006) ("*Remand Instructions*"). In accordance with the CIT's instructions, the Department issued its final results of redetermination eliminating from the analysis of and calculations for the program "Purchases at Prices that Constitute More Than Adequate Remuneration" all SWU transactions. See the March 2, 2006, Final Results of Redetermination on Remand pursuant to Remand Instructions ("*LEU Remand Redetermination*"). As a result, there is no benefit or program rate for the program "Purchases at Prices that Constitute More Than Adequate Remuneration." We, therefore, calculated a revised *ad valorem* subsidy rate for Eurodif for the period January 1, 1999, through December 31, 1999, based on the "Exoneration/Reimbursement of Corporate Income Taxes" program, which is the only other program determined to confer countervailable subsidies during the period of investigation. The revised net subsidy rate for Eurodif is 0.87 percent *ad valorem*, which is *de minimis*.

On May 18, 2006, the CIT sustained the Department's redetermination in all respects and, thus, affirmed the Department's revised analysis and calculations. On June 8, 2006, consistent with the decision of the Federal Circuit in *Timken vs. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990), the Department notified the public that the *Eurodif I* and

<sup>1</sup> COGEMA and COGEMA Inc. are now known as AREVA NC and AREVA NC, Inc.