Changes to the Final Rule in SECY-05-0227

General Comments

1. To ensure clarity when referencing a paragraph of the Appendix, always include the corresponding section reference. Cites to the Code of Federal Regulations should be consistent and should follow the format required by the *Federal Register*.

Specific Changes to the Federal Register Notice

- 2. Page 4, 5th paragraph, revise lines 3 and 4 to read '... requirement for an application to contain a sufficient level of design information for the Commission to reach a conclusion on all safety questions associated with the design [§ 52.47(a)(2)],'
- 3. Page 7, last paragraph, revise line 2 to read '... 2002, it is true that the NRC' Revise the last line to read '... than previous DCRs design certification rulemakings. However, the The'
- 4. Page 8, revise lines 1 and 2 from the top to read ' ... due to expected efficiencies that the NRC expected to achieve would be gained as a result of the similarities between the previously-approved AP600 design and the AP1000 designs.'
- 5. Page 8, 3rd full paragraph, revise lines 5 and 6 to read ' ... existing DCRs if in the ongoing part 52 is revised rulemaking with respect to this issue would also'
- 6. Page 9, 2nd full paragraph, revise line 2 to read '... neither part of the AP1000'
- 7. Page 9, 3rd full paragraph, revise line 3 to read '... that the AP1000 DCR and'
- 8. Page 10, 1st full paragraph, revise the last sentence to read 'As a result, the NRC amended the discussion refers to the availability controls in Section III.H of the Supplementary Information (70 FR 20069) of in this Federal Register notice to refer to the availability controls.'
- 9. Page 10, 3rd full paragraph, revise line 1 to read '... of the AP1000 DCR' Revise line 2 to read '... Commission may will consider amending the other DCRs' Revise line 3 to read '... by NEI if 10 CFR Part 52 is revised as part of the ongoing part 52 ruelmaking.'
- 10. Page 10, 4th full paragraph, revise line 2 to read ' ... requirement that changes to the plant-specific'
- 11. Page 10, last paragraph, delete the 2nd sentence (It is unlikely ... rulemaking.) Revise lines 4 and 5 to read 'If However, if the Commission decides to clarify this issue for the other DCRs in any potential revision to 10 CFR Part 52 in the ongoing part 52 rulemaking, the NRC'
- 12. Page 11, 4th full paragraph, revise line 2 to read ' ... Commission may will consider amending the other DCRs' Revise line 3 to read ' ... by NEI if 10 CFR Part 52 is

- revised as part of the ongoing part 52 ruelmaking.'
- 13. Page 11, last paragraph, revise line 2 to read ' ... IV.A of the AP1000 DCR should be clarified. The Commission may will consider' Revise line 3 to read ' ... this issue if 10 CFR Part 52 is revised as part of the ongoing part 52 ruelmaking.'
- 14. Page 12, 2nd full paragraph, revise line 3 to read '... Commission may will consider' revise lines 4 and 5 to read '... the other DCRs to adopt the language recommended by NEI if 10 CFR Part 52 is revised as part of the ongoing part 52 ruelmaking.'
- 15. Page 13, 2nd full paragraph, revise line 2 to read '... DCRs design certification rulemakings that departures' Delete the 3rd sentence (It is unlikely that ... rulemaking.) Revise lines 6 and 7 to read 'If However, if the Commission decides to adopt NEI's proposed language for the other DCRs in any potential revision to 10 CFR Part 52 the ongoing part 52 rulemaking, the NRC'
- 16. Page 13, 4th full paragraph, revise lines 1 and 2 to read '... first two DCRs design certification rulemakings, the Commission' Revise line 3 to read '... VIII.C of the DCRs (see the' Delete the 3rd sentence (It is unlikely that ... rulemaking.) Revise lines 6 and 7 to read 'If However, if the Commission decides to adopt NEI's proposed language for the other DCRs in any potential revision to 10 CFR Part 52 the ongoing part 52 rulemaking, the NRC'
- 17. Page 14, 2nd full paragraph, delete the 4th sentence (It is unlikely that ... rulemaking.)
 Revise lines 8 and 9 to read 'If However, if the Commission decides to adopt NEI's proposed language for the other DCRs in any potential revision to 10 CFR Part 52 the ongoing part 52 rulemaking, the NRC'
- 18. Page 14, 4th full paragraph, revise lines 1 and 2 to read ' ... with this request. And has decided to maintain the original rule language for this provision because it does not believe that lindividual DCRs should not'
- 19. Page 14, last paragraph, delete the 2nd sentence (It is unlikely that ... rulemaking.) Revise the last line to read 'If However, if the Commission'
- 20. Page 15, revise line from the top to read ' ... for the other DCRs in any potential revision to 10 CFR Part 52 the ongoing part 52 rulemaking , the NRC'
- 21. Page 15, 2nd full paragraph, delete the 3rd sentence (It is unlikely that ... rulemaking.)
 Revise lines 5 and 6 to read 'If However, if the Commission decides to adopt NEI's proposed language for the other DCRs in any potential revision to 10 CFR Part 52 the ongoing part 52 rulemaking, the NRC'
- 22. Page 15, 4th full paragraph, revise line 1 to read '... this comment and Section' Revise line 2 to read '... amended to clarify the language be consistent with the other DCRs in the proposed part 52 rule.' Revise line 4 to read '... Commission may consider amending the existing' Revise line 5 to read '... DCR in any potential revision to 10 CFR Part 52.'
- 23. Page 16, 1st paragraph, revise lines 6 and 7 to read ' ... two DCRs design certification rules for the' Revise line 8 to read ' ... extensive public discussions with public'

- Revise line 11 to read ' ... certain departures where These departures are necessary,
- 24. Page 16, last paragraph, line 2, insert a comma after "DCR".
- 25. Page 17, 1st full paragraph, revise lines 4 and 5 to read ' ... references this appendix. This distinction is necessary in order to specify the relevant plant-specific requirements applicable to'
- 26. Page 17, last paragraph, revise line 3 to read '... rulemaking, any'
- 27. Page 18, 2nd full paragraph, revise the last line to read ' ... of Documents, of this Statement of Consideration (SOC)).'
- 28. Page 19, 1st full paragraph, revise the last 2 lines to read ' ... of this statement of consideration (SOC).'
- 29. Page 21, revise line 2 from the top to read ' ... discussion, see Section III.C of this SOC.'
- 30. Page 22, 1st full paragraph, revise line 1 to read ' ... of this appendix DCR is to' Revise line 12 to read ' ... by reference (1 CFR Ppart 51).'
- 31. Page 23, revise line 6 from the top to read ' ... Section III of this appendix to'
- 32. Page 24, 1st paragraph, revise the last 2 lines to read ' ... of this Statement of Consideration (SOC) on'
- 33. Page 25, 1st full paragraph, revise line 3 to read ' ... This paragraph appendix distinguishes'
- 34. Page 25, 2nd full paragraph, revise line 4 to read ' ... initial application - to This ensures that the' Revise lines 5 and 6 to read ' ... requires that the plant-specific DCD to uses the same format as the generic DCD and reflects the'
- 35. Page 28, 1st paragraph, revise line 6 to read ' ... finds that none all of these new regulations are not applicable'
- 36. Page 29, revise the last line to read ' ... GDC 17 Second Offsite Power Supply Circuit Offsite Power Sources.'
- 37. Page 32, revise line 1 to read ' ... phrase "but only for that plant" "but only for that plant" (emphasis added).'
- 38. Page 32, 1st full paragraph, revise line 6 to read '... plant (e.g., such as an'
- 39. Page 32, last paragraph, revise line 3 to read ' ... of 1969, as amended (NEPA) associated'
- 40. Page 33, 1st paragraph, revise line 13 to read '... operational requirements issues, such as' Revise line 14 to read '... activities, and requirements governing shutdown' Revise the last line to read '... (see paragraph VIII.C of'
- 41. Page 34, 1st full paragraph, revise line 4 to read '... (paragraph VI.D.3 would'

- 42. Page 34, 2nd full paragraph, line 4, delete the comma after "E".
- 43. Page 35, last paragraph, revise line 4 to read '... this DCR design certification rule.' Revise line 6 to read '... Section VIII of this appendix distinguishes' Revise line 8 to to read '... this DCR design certification rule itself,'
- 44. Page 36, 1st full paragraph, revise line 1 to read 'Section VIII of this appendix and Sections XI of this SOC refers to an "exemption" from'
- 45. Page 37, 1st full paragraph, revise lines 5 and 6 to read ' ... this SOC preamble, it is ... emulates Aappendix C to 10 CFR Ppart' Revise the last line to read ' ... 4, 1999 1994).'
- 46. Page 38, 1st full paragraph, revise line 4 to read ' ... paragraph B.5 [similar to the process in 10 CFR 50.59 the "§ 50.59-like" process];'
- 47. Page 40, revise line 5 from the top to read ' ... where when the intended function'
- 48. Page 45, last paragraph, revise line 9 to read ' ... reviewed and approved by the NRC staff in support of to facilitate the design'
- 49. Page 46, revise line 2 from the top to read '... requirement contained in paragraph VIII.C.5 is similar' Revise line 4 from the top to read '... are present (paragraph VIII.C.5).'
- 50. Page 47, 1st paragraph, line 2, change "B.3 d" to "B.3".
- 51. Page 50, last paragraph, line 2, revise the zip code to "20852".
- 52. Page 51, delete paragraph V. On Plain Language and renumber the remaining paragraphs.
- 53. Page 52, last paragraph, revise lines 1 and 2 to read '... under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, (NEPA), and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR part 51, Ssubpart' Revise line 5 to read '... environmental assessment (EA), is'
- 54. Page 53, 1st paragraph, revise line 3 to read '... in Aappendix 1B'
- 55. Page 53, 2nd paragraph, revise line 1 to read 'The environmental assessment (EA), upon which' Revise line 4 to read '... Maryland, 20852. The'
- 56. Page 56, revise line 5 from the top to read ' ... of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.'
- 57. Page 57, 1st full paragraph, revise line 1 to read ' ... out in this SOC the preamble and under' Conforming changes should be made throughout the document.
- 58. Page 58, 1st paragraph, revise line 2 to read ' ... 52, Saubpart B. The'
- 59. Page 60, last paragraph, revise line 8 to read ' ... Rockville, Maryland, 20852. Copies

....,

- 60. Page 61, 1st full paragraph, revise the last line to read ' ... Aappendix 1B of'
- 61. Page 63, revise line 2 from the top to read ' ... Second offsite power Sources supply circuit.'
- 62. Page 64, paragraph 7., revise lien 2 to read '... and Aappendix 1B of'
- 63. Page 72, last paragraph, line 2, insert a comma after "license".

Specific Changes to the Environmental Assessment

- 64. Page 3, 2nd paragraph, revise line 4 to read ' ... 1969, (NEPA) as amended (NEPA). This'
- 65. Page 6, 1st full paragraph, revise the last 2 lines to read ' ... Section 19.1.6.2 of NUREG-1793-the DCD, "AP1000 Design... ")."
- 66. Page 7, last paragraph, revise line 2 to read ' ... neither the alternative'
- 67. Page 9, 1st bullet, revise line 1 to read '... CFR 50.34(f)(1)(i+)¹ requires'
- 68. Page 9, footnote 1, revise line 1 to read ' ... CFR 50.34(f)(1)(i+) by'
- 69. Page 13, paragraph (5), delete the 2nd sentence (Note, however, ... objectives.)
- 70. Page 14, paragraph (8), revise line 2 to read ' ... method could be used to prevent' Revise lines 3 and 4 to read ' ... the amount) ... This alternative method would involve'
- 71. Page 17, 1st paragraph, revise lines 1 and 2 to read ' ... the same as that those considered' Revise line 5 to read ' ... following plants designs:'
- 72. Page 17, 2nd full paragraph, revise the last line to read ' ... of the following two'
- 73. Page 20, 1st paragraph, revise line 5 to read '... in terms of avoided whole'
- 74. Page 21, 1st paragraph, revise line 4 to read '... these uncertainties factors could lead to significantly higher or lower risk' Revise lines 5 and 6 to read '... and risk estimates in the baseline PRA. In assessing the risk reduction potential of the various design alternatives improvements for the AP1000, the NRC has based its evaluation on a review of the' Revise line 7 to read '... estimates for the various design alternatives, in conjunction'
- 75. Page 21, last paragraph, revise line 5 to read ' ... costs relative to and decrease the'
- 76. Page 22, 1st paragraph, 1st sentence, the staff may wish to consider clarifying this sentence to acknowledge the status of the additional 3 SAMDAs discussed in 4.2 and 4.3. Line 5, delete the comma after "designs". Line 11, insert a period after "estimates" and delete the remainder of the sentence (... and the level of ... compared.)

- 77. Page 23, next to last paragraph, revise line 4 to read ' ... would only be increased'
- 78. Page 25, last paragraph, delete the 3rd sentence (Neither of these ... frequency.)
- 79. Page 26, 1st full paragraph, revise line 1 to read ' ... meeting a cost-benefit -cost criterion of'
- 80. Page 28, last paragraph, revise line 3 to read '... operational costs for aspects of the'
- 81. Page 30, paragraph 1 under section 6.0, revise line 1 to read ' ... EA to every the State Liaison Officers'
- 82. Page 30, paragraph 2 under section 6.0, revise line 1 to read ' ... the NEPA of 1969, as amended, and the'
- 83. Page 31, line 9 from the top, delete the extra period at the end of the line.
- 84. Page 32, last paragraph, revise lines 1 through 3 to read ' ... Storage, L.L.C. (Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation), (CLI-02-25, 56 NRC 340 (2002) 12/18/2002), the NRC has no obligation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) to consider'
- 85. Page 33, 1st full paragraph, revise line 3 to read '... staff has is conducteding and continues to conduct a comprehensive' Revise line 4 to read '... measures, and the Commission has have instituted interim upgrades in' Line 5, insert a new sentence after the period which reads: "The Commission has issued a proposed rule to amend 10 CFR Part 73 and the design basis threat (70 FR 67380, Nov. 7, 2005), and is planning to issue a series of security related rulemakings over the next three years."
- 86. Page 33, last paragraph, delete the 1st sentence (The logical outgrowth ... circular.) And add the following at the end of line 2 on page 34: "NRC review of the design certification application includes a review of generic environmental parameters submitted by the reactor vendor. If a COL applicant references the certified design, it is up to that applicant to demonstrate that the site-specific environmental parameters are bounded by the generic environmental parameters, or provide a basis for deviations from those parameters."
- 87. Page 38, last paragraph, revise line 4 to read ' ... Rockville, Maryland, 20852.'