Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 Public Law 106-393

Title II Project Submission Form Northeast Oregon Forests Resource Advisory Committee

1. Project Number (Assigned by Designated Federal Official): UN-WAW07-606

2. Project Name: Thinning for Release a Reduction	and Fuel 3. County: Union
4. Project Sponsor: Arlene Blumton	5. Date: November 1, 2005
6. Sponsor's Phone Number: 541-962-8522	2
7. Sponsors E-mail: ablumton@fs.fed.us	
8. Project Location	
a. 4th Field Watershed Name and HUC#: Upper	Grande Ronde River 17060104
b. 5 th Field Watershed Name and HUC # (if know	vn): Grande Ronde River/5-Points 1706010404
c. Location: Township 2 Range 36 Second Township Range Township Range Second Range Second Township Range Seco	ction(s) ction(s)
f. National Forest Wallowa-Whitman	g. Forest Service District La Grande Ranger District
h. State / Private / Other lands involved? Yes	No

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives: This project proposes to thin young trees in dry plant community types in high priority fuel reduction areas in the Catherine Creek Wildland Urban Interface (WUI) on La Grande Ranger District. Reducing tree density will increase growth, reduce effects of insects and disease, provide wildlife habitat, and promote establishment of fire associated species (e.g. ponderosa pine). Without thinning, stands will be at higher risk to insect and disease, wildfire and delayed tree growth. In 2006, 200 thinning acres were funded by RAC and in 2007 this project proposes to thin and remove slash on an additional 200 acres. Current stand densities threaten the growth and development of individual trees by increasing the risk of insect and disease and susceptibility to wildfire. This project will be implemented in cooperation with the Blue Mt. Pest Management and La Grande Ranger District fire and fuel specialists who will monitor thinning effectiveness.

10. Project Description:

Local contractors will thin 15 to 20 year old trees during summer 2007 to accelerate stand growth and health on the Catherine Creek WUI, La Grande Ranger District. Project work includes:

- Thin 200 acres in 2007 of young mixed-conifer trees at a variable spacing (approximately 10 to 20 feet apart);
- Reduce fuel hazards created by thinning to reduce insect and fuels build-up; and
- Monitor contractual specifications, fuel reduction, insect and disease.

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?				
Yes No If yes, then describe				
12. How does proposed project meet purposes o	f the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]			
Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec	. 2(b)]			
X Implements stewardship objectives that enhance	forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]			
X Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]				
Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]				
13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]				
Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]			
☐ Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	☐ Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]			
Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]				
Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]	X Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]			
Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]	☐ Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]			
Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]	Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]			
Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]				
Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:				
14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expe	cted Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]			
a. Total Acres: 200 acres (100 acres funded by Forest Service/partners and 100 acres funded by Title II)	b. Total Miles:			
c. No. Structures	d. Est. People Reached			
e. No. Laborer Days: 800 days	(for environmental education projects):			
f. Other (specify):				

15. Estimated Completion Date: [Sec. 203(b)(2)]

The funding for this phase of the project will be used by October 2007.

16. Target Species Benefited: (if applicable) (max. 7 lines)

This project indirectly benefits wildlife species including deer, elk and neotropical migratory birds.

- 17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3) Improved forest health conditions.
- 18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities. Provides local employment opportunities and ongoing partnerships and manages a forest investment.
- 19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources? (max. 12 lines) Improves forest health conditions that will benefit other resources such as wildlife species.

20. Status of Project Planning					
a. NEPA Complete:	X Yes	☐ No			
If no, give est. date of completion:					
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	X Yes	☐ No			
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	X Yes	☐ No			
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	Yes	□ No	X Not Applicable		
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained:	Yes	□ No	X Not Applicable		
g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	Yes	☐ No	X Not Applicable		
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	X Yes	□ No	☐ Not Applicable		
i. Project Design(s) Completed:	X Yes	□ No			
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept.of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer					

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment (check those that apply)		
X Contract	Federal Workforce	
County Workforce	Volunteers	
Other (specify):		

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? [Sec. 204(e)(3)] Yes

X No

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]			
a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$35,208			
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? X Yes No	If yes, then display by fiscal year		
c. FY02 Request:	f. FY05 Request:		
d. FY03 Request:	g. FY06 Request: \$ 35,200		
e. FY04 Request:	h. FY07 Request: \$ 35,208		

Table 1. Project Cost Analysis

Item	Column A Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Column B Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Column C Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Column D Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys				
25. NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering				
28. Contract Preparation	\$1,500			\$1,500
29. Contract Administration	\$4,000			\$4,000
30. Contract Cost	\$32,600	\$32,600		\$65,200
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies(includes vehicle costs)				
33. Monitoring	\$3,000			\$3,000
34. Other				
35. Project Sub-Total	\$41,100	\$32,400		\$73,500
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead @ 8% (per year for multi-year projects)		\$ 2,608		\$2,608
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$41,100	\$35,208		\$76,308

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)] (max. 7 lines) Blue Mt. Pest Management, Forest Service appropriated dollars

39. Monitoring Plan [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] (max. 7 lines) Who is responsible for this monitoring item?:
 Blue Mt. Pest Management and Forest Service fuel and fire specialists will monitor project effectiveness. Forest Service forester will assure contract specifications are met and fuel reduction will be measured.
- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] (max. 7 lines)

 Who is responsible for this monitoring item?: Local contractors will conduct thinning and fuel reduction.
- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from Version: April 13, 2001

4

National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] (max. 7 lines)

Who is responsible for this monitoring item?: The project has the potential to produce a product in 30 to 60 years. The US Forest Service is responsible for this monitoring.

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33) (max. 7 lines)

Amount \$3,000

Project Name: Reestablishing Native Plant Species on La Grande Ranger District

County Commissioner Concurrence

(Majority Required per charter)

A majority of the county commissioners of Union County
have reviewed this proposed Public Law 106-393 project for the
Blue Mt. Resource Advisory Council and agree with the proposal as submitted, except for the
comments noted below:

Attested by Commissioner

Date

Priority Rating:

High Medium Low

Version: April 13, 2001

Comments/Rational: