SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY SELF-DETERMINATION ACT OF 2000 Public Law 106-393

TITLE II PROJECT SUBMISSION FORM NORTHEAST OREGON FORESTS RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

1. Project Number (Ass	igned by Designat	ted Federal Official):_	BA-WAW06-108	
2. Project Name: Burnt River Student Employment			3. County: Baker	
4. Project Sponsor: Training & Employment Consortium			5. Date: 04/19/05	
6. Sponsor's Phone Nur	nber: (541) 523-9	127 ext. 24		
7. Sponsors E-mail: gut	hb@tecteam.org			
8. Project Location: Un	ity Ranger Distr	ict (attach project area map)	
a. 4th Field Watershed Nam	e and HUC#			
b. 5 th Field Watershed Nam	e and HUC # (if kn	own):		
c. Location: Township				
	Range			
Township	Range S	Section(s)		
Township	Range S	section(s)		
	Range S			
d. BLM District	Kange	e. BLM Resource A	rea	
f. National Forest Wallowa	-Whitman X	g. Forest Service Dis		
h. State / Private / Other lan		1 -	inter entry	
ii. State / Titvate / Other lan	ds involved:	CS A NO		
county who have little to a where there is little to no Juvenile Community Serv Goal: To provide a varie education in pre-employme project is designed to blest opportunity for employme justice system. With both	no opportunity for en opportunity for en ice crews. (BYCA) ety of work experionent, job safety, en ad forest and natus and "at risk" y groups of youths it ing natural resour	employment. These s nployment. This proje P) Baker Youth Comn ence for rural area yo nployer expectations a ral resource education outh in Baker County this project allows the	loyment opportunities for youth in the students live in a very rural area of will also provide opportunities for munity Action Project. withs and (BYCAP) youths. Also and ecosystem management. This in with both youth with little to no that are involved with the juvenile by youth to learn "hands-on" about an awareness of positive work	
protection; 2. Maintain to	ails, both hiking/p ewly planted trees	packer and OHV trails	buck & pole or wire fences for spring s; 3. Plant trees in riparian areas; 4. placement in streams; 6. Pile brush;	

working on are all part of bigger projects. protecting riparian areas, springs, planting to
f the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]
c. 2(b)]
st ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] (2)(A)]
Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)] Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)
Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]
11 con [over 10/4/4/1]
veral types identified above.
veral types identified above.
eted Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

- Estimated Completion Date: [Sec. 203(b)(2)] 8/2005
- 16. Target Species Benefited:

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved?

Providing work opportunities for local students while demonstrating how the Forest Service strives to protect and improve the land that they manage. Students will be more knowledgeable of different aspects of timber management, riparian health and recreation opportunities.

This is also be an opportunity to engage students from Juvenile Community Service (BYCAP). All of these groups are looking for projects that will make a difference and what a great way to get their cooperation while teaching them the importance of ecosystem management.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? Community Benefits, This project will rehabilitate and stabilize sites. In addition to improving and better sustaining desirable riparian conditions, the project will raise the level of awareness by users in the need to balance recreation use with the desired riparian management objectives (RMO's). Partnerships in doing this work will raise awareness by users and ownership in taking better care of these sites and promote long term resource protection.

19. How does the project benefit federal lands/resources? The primary objective of this project is to provide employment opportunities for students where there are few or no opportunity. Students will be involved in riparian and spring improvements, recreation improvements, tree planting as well as other projects. They will learn about ecosystem health and impacts of existing use.

The proposed project will benefit federal lands and resources by educating young people in the importance of improving ecosystems consequently improving opportunities in the future.

20. Status of Project Planning			
a. NEPA Complete:	Yes	No	Not Required
If no, give est, date of completion:			
. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	☐ Yes	□ No	Not Required
I. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	Yes	□No	Not Required
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	Yes	☐ No	X Not Applicable
7. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained	: Yes	□ No	X Not Applicabl
g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	Yes	□ No	X Not Applicabl
n. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	X Yes	□ No	☐ Not Applicab
. Project Design(s) Completed:	X Yes	□No	
Contract	X Federal Workf	orce	
County Workforce	q	orce	
County Workforce COther (specify): Youth Crew	X Federal World Volunteers BY	CAP Crew	
Countract County Workforce Cother (specify): Youth Crew 2. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Machine Service Ser	X Federal World Volunteers BY	CAP Crew	
Countract County Workforce Other (specify): Youth Crew Will the Project Generate Merchantable Marchantable M	X Federal World Volunteers BY	CAP Crew	
Countract County Workforce Other (specify): Youth Crew 2. Will the Project Generate Merchantable M: Yes X No 3. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)] Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$ 11,000	X Federal World Volunteers BY	CAP Crew	
County Workforce Cother (specify): Youth Crew 2. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Margin Section 1 (2016) [Sec. 203(b)(3)] 3. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)] Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$ 11,000 Is this a multi-year funding request? Yes No	X Federal Workf Volunteers BY aterials? [Sec. 2040	CAP Crew (e)(3)]	
County Workforce Cother (specify): Youth Crew 2. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Market Sec. 203(b)(3)]	X Federal Workf Volunteers BY aterials? [Sec. 2040]	CAP Crew (e)(3)] (b) fiscal year S	

Table 1. Project Cost Analysis

Item	Column A Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Column B Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Column C Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)] TEC	Column D Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys				
25. NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering				
28. Contract Preparation				
29. Contract Administration		500	500	
30. Contract Cost		1		
31. Workforce Cost	6,900	9,800	3,700	
32. Materials & Supplies	1,500	700	1,000	
33. Monitoring (PART OF PROJECT)	500	- 5 - 5	300	
34. Other trees, shrubs, native seed				
35. Project Sub-Total	\$8,900	\$11,000	\$6,000	
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead @ 8%) (per year for multi-year projects)	1,539		500	
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$10,439	\$11,000	\$6,500	

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)] (max. 7 lines).

The Forest Service will provide a vehicle, equipment and a supervisor.

Training & Employment Consortium will provide a vehicle and crew leader for Baker Youth Community Action Project (BYCAP) youths.

Grants applied for with Baker County Commission on Children and Families to pay for ½ wages of BYCAP youths and crew leader. They will work ½ time community service and ½ for wages.

39. Monitoring Plan [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? Who is responsible for this monitoring item?:

Project managers of each project will be responsible to determine if and how well the desired ecological conditions were met.

b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Who is responsible for this monitoring item

Monitoring will be designed to determine how this project contributed toward local employment and/or training opportunities, through effectiveness monitoring

Effective monitoring will answer six questions:

Was the project implemented as planned?

2. What activities specifically benefited youth job programs?

3. Which outsourcing system best meets this type of need?

4. Were the hiring cost estimates used to predict this project valid?

5. How effective were the safety precaution measures?

6. Were the training methods beneficial to the youth job programs?

Crew supervisors, project coordinator and TEC representatives will be responsible for this monitoring item.

c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? Who is responsible for this monitoring item?:

Not applicable, no products will be removed from National Forest System lands.

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33) Amount

Project Name: Burnt River Student Employment

County Court Concurrence

(Majority Required per charter)

A majority of the county commissioners ofCounty Public Law 106-393 project for theCounty Adv proposal as submitted, except for the comments noted by	isory Council and agree with the
Attested by County Judge Priority Rating:	Date
☐ High ☐ Medium ☐ Low	
Comments/Rational:	