

(b) the quantity and value of your firm's(s') exports to the United States of *Subject Merchandise* and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of *Subject Merchandise* from each *Subject Country* accounted for by your firm's(s') exports.

(10) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for the *Domestic Like Product* that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the *Subject Merchandise* in each *Subject Country* after 1999, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the *Domestic Like Product* produced in the United States, *Subject Merchandise* produced in each *Subject Country*, and such merchandise from other countries.

(11) (OPTIONAL) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the *Domestic Like Product* and *Domestic Industry*; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

**Authority:** These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 29, 2005.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 05-17440 Filed 8-31-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA")

Pursuant to Section 122(d)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2), notice is hereby given that on August 17, 2005,

a proposed Consent Decree in *United Stated v. Carrier Corporation*, CV 05-6022 ABC (RCx) (C.D. Cal.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

The Consent Decree resolves claims against Carrier Corporation ("Carrier") brought by the United States on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") under Sections 106 and 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607, and Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended ("RCRA"), 42 U.S.C. 6973, for the performance of response actions and for the reimbursement of response costs incurred and to be incurred by EPA in connection with the release and threatened release of hazardous substances at the Puente Valley Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Site, Area 4 ("Site") in Los Angeles County, California.

Under the proposed Consent Decree, Carrier and its parent corporation, United Technologies Corporation (together, "Settling Defendants"), will perform a portion of the interim remedy for the Site. Specifically, Settling Defendants will construct a shallow groundwater zone remediation system and operate that system for eight years once the system is operational and functional. In addition, Settling Defendants will reimburse the United States a portion of past response costs and pay future oversight costs incurred by EPA related to the work.

Additionally, the Consent Decree requires payment of a civil penalty for noncompliance with an EPA cleanup order issued to Carrier, performance of a supplemental environmental project in further mitigation of that penalty, and monitoring of upgradient contamination for a period of eight years.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree.

Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, with a copy to Matthew A. Fogelson, Trial Attorney, U.S.

Department of Justice, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Environmental Enforcement Section, 301 Howard Street, Suite 1050, San Francisco, CA 94105, and should refer to *United States v. Carrier Corporation*, CV 05-6022 ABC (RCx), DOJ Ref. #90-11-2-354/15. Commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area, in accordance with

Section 7003(d) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6973(d).

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Civil Division, c/o AUSA Suzette Clover, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Room 7516, Los Angeles, California 90012. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined on the Department of Justice Web site at <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>. A copy of the Consent Decree also may be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood ([tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov](mailto:tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy, please refer to *United States v. Carrier Corporation*, CV 05-6022 ABC (RCx), DOJ Ref. #90-11-2-354/15, and enclose a check in the amount of \$77.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury. To receive the Consent Decree without the Appendices, pay \$19.75.

**Ellen Mahan,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment & Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 05-17375 Filed 8-31-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On August 25, 2005, Notice of Lodging of a Consent Decree was published in the **Federal Register** (Volume 70, Number 164, Page 49950-49951). That Notice contains a typographical error; the inclusion of the word "million" after "\$500,000." The following is the corrected Notice.

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 U.S.C. 50.7, notice is hereby given that on August 18, 2005, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Cosmed Group, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 05353ML, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island.

In this action the United States, on behalf of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), filed a complaint against Cosmed Group, Inc. ("Cosmed") alleging various violations of the Clean Air Act and the Illinois State Implementation Plan, concerning Cosmed's current or former facilities in Coventry, RI, South Plainfield, NJ, Baltimore, MD, Waukegan, IL, Grand