Accordingly, after reviewing pertinent information OSHA, in a letter to DOE dated November 17, 2004, agreed to accept regulatory authority for occupational safety and health over this site. This **Federal Register** Notice is published as an addendum to the August 28, 1992 Memorandum of Understanding between the U.S. Department of Labor and U.S. Department of Energy. Federal OSHA has regulatory authority over occupational safety and health at privatized facilities leased to the University of Chicago for the construction and operation of a Regional Biocontainment Laboratory at DOE's Government-Owned and Contractor-Operated (GOCO) Argonne National Laboratory.

Dated: March 28, 2005.

#### Jonathan L. Snare,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, Department of Labor.

Dated: April 6, 2005.

### C. Russell H. Shearer,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Environment, Safety and Health, Department of Energy.

[FR Doc. 05–8370 Filed 4–26–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–26–P

# NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Extension of Comment Period for An Environmental Assessment of a Marine Geophysical Survey by the Coast Guard Cutter Healy Across the Arctic Ocean, August-September 2005

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation. **ACTION:** Notice of extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The National Science
Foundation is extending the comment
period for the Environmental
Assessment of a Marine Geophysical
Survey by the Coast Guard Cutter Healy
Across the Arctic Ocean, AugustSeptember 2005, published on April 11,
2005 (70 FR 18431). The environmental
assessment describes a marine
geophysical survey across the Arctic
Ocean. This action extends the
comment period for 15 days.

**DATES:** Comments on the preliminary plan will be accepted through May 26, 2005

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted to Dr. Polly A. Penhale, National Science Foundation, Office of Polar Programs, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Suite 755, Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 292–8033, Copies of the draft Environmental Assessment are

available upon request from Dr. Penhale, or at the Web site: http://www.nsf.gov/od/opp/arctic/arc\_envir/healy\_ea.pdf.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On April 11, 2005 (70 FR 18432), NSF published a notice of availability of an Environmental Assessment of a Marine Geophysical Survey by the Coast Guard Cutter *Healy* Across the Arctic Ocean, August-September 2005.

The original comment was May 11, 2005. A stakeholder group will be meeting shortly before this deadline. This action extends the comment period for 15 days to allow stakeholders adequate time to review the environmental assessment and prepare comments.

#### Nadene Kennedy,

Permit Officer, Office of Polar Programs, National Science Foundation. [FR Doc. 05–8399 Filed 4–26–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

# NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

## Meeting

Agency Holding Meeting: National Science Foundation, National Science Board, ad hoc Committee on Nominating for NSB Elections.

Date and Time: May 4, 2005, 11:30 a.m.–12:30 p.m.

Place: National Science Foundation, Room 1225, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

Status: This meeting will be closed to the public

Agenda: Discussion of candidates for two vacancies on Executive Committee. For information, contact: Dr. Michael P. Crosby, Executive Officer and NSB Office Director. (703) 292–7000. http://

# www.nsf.gov/nsb. Michael P. Crosby,

Executive Officer and NSB Office Director. [FR Doc. 05–8391 Filed 4–26–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the

following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a current valid OMB control number.

1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Revision.

2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Part 36—Licenses and Radiation Safety Requirements for Irradiators.

3. *The form number if applicable:* Not applicable.

4. How often the collection is required: On occasion. It is estimated that there are approximately 3 NRC and 10 Agreement State reports submitted annually.

5. Who will be required or asked to report: Irradiator licensees licensed by NRC or an Agreement State.

6. An estimate of the number of responses: 108 {13 for reporting (3 NRC licensees and 10 Agreement States) 95 for recordkeeping (19 NRC licensees and 76 Agreement States)}

7. The estimated number of annual respondents: 95 (19 NRC licensees and 76 Agreement State licensees).

8. An estimate of the number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 44,356 (8,872 hours for NRC licensees [8,712 recordkeeping + 160 reporting] and 35,484 hours for Agreement State licensees [34,846 recordkeeping + 638 reporting]), or 467 hours per licensee.

9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies: Not applicable.

10. Abstract: 10 CFR Part 36 contains requirements for the issuance of a license authorizing the use of sealed sources containing radioactive materials in irradiators used to irradiate objects or materials for a variety of purposes in research, industry, and other fields. The subparts cover specific requirements for obtaining a license or license exemption, design and performance criteria for irradiators; and radiation safety requirements for operating irradiators, including requirements for operator training, written operating and emergency procedures, personnel monitoring, radiation surveys, inspection, and maintenance. Part 36 also contains the recordkeeping and reporting requirements that are necessary to ensure that the irradiator is being safely operated so that it poses no danger to the health and safety of the general public and the irradiator employees.