

investigations are fair and are equitably implemented. The user survey asks if the Commission's rules and other written guidance make clear to participants what the Commission expects of them procedurally in an investigation; if there are area(s) where additional guidance would be of benefit to their participation in investigations; if the Commission personnel responded to procedural inquiries in a helpful way; if their access to information collected by/ submitted to the Commission was satisfactory; and if they have any other comments or recommended improvements.

II. Method of Collection

The user survey is a one-page form that will be sent to firms that have participated in an antidumping, countervailing duty, or safeguard investigation since October 1, 2003. Responses are voluntary.

III. Data

OMB Number: 3117-0192.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected Public: Law firms and economic consulting groups.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 50.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1 hour.

Estimated Total Annual Burden

Hours: 50 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Costs: The estimated annual cost for this collection is \$10,750 (\$10,000 for respondents and \$750 for the Federal government).

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are solicited as to (1) whether the user survey is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and costs) of the user survey; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the user survey on those who are to respond (including through the use of automated collection techniques or other technological forms of information technology).

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 31, 2005.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-17738 Filed 9-7-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-529]

In the Matter of Certain Digital Processors, Digital Processing Systems, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation on the Basis of a License Agreement; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") granting a joint motion to terminate the above-captioned investigation on the basis of a license agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Timothy P. Monaghan, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3152. Copies of the nonconfidential version of the ID and all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on January 6, 2005, based on a complaint filed on behalf of BIAx Corporation ("BIAx"), of Boulder, Colorado (70 FR 1277). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain digital processors, digital processing systems, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 4,487,755 ("the '755 patent"); 5,021,954 ("the '954

patent"); 5,517,628; 6,253,313; and 5,765,037. The notice of investigation named Texas Instruments, Inc. ("TI"), of Dallas, Texas; iBiquity Digital Corporation, of Columbia, Maryland; Kenwood Corporation, of Japan; and Kenwood U.S.A. Corporation, of Long Beach, California as respondents.

On April 12, 2005, respondent TI filed a motion for summary determination of non-infringement of the asserted claims of the '755 and '945 patents. On May 20, 2005, complainant BIAx filed its opposition to TI's motion for summary determination. On May 23, 2005, the Commission's investigative attorney filed an opposition to TI's motion for summary determination.

On July 12, 2005, the administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued an ID, Order No. 18, granting respondent TI's motion for summary determination of non-infringement of the asserted claims of the '755 and '945 patents. On July 19, 2005, complainant BIAx filed a petition for review of Order No. 18.

On July 20, 2005, the parties filed a joint motion to extend the deadline for filing responses to BIAx's petition for review of Order No. 18 until August 10, 2005, and to extend the deadline for the Commission to determine whether to review Order No. 18 until August 30, 2005. On July 22, 2005, the Chairman extended the deadline for filing responses to the BIAx's petition for review of Order No. 18 until August 10, 2005.

On August 1, 2005, the Commission determined to extend the deadline for determining whether to review the Order No. 18, granting respondent TI's motion for summary determination of non-infringement of the asserted claims of the '755 and '945 patents, by 30 days, *i.e.*, until September 12, 2005.

On August 3, 2004, complainant BIAx and respondents filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a license agreement between BIAx and respondent TI. The Commission investigative attorney supported the joint motion.

On August 8, 2005, the presiding ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 23) granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a license agreement between BIAx and respondent TI. No party filed a petition to review the subject ID. The Commission has determined not to review ALJ Order No. 23.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and § 210.42 of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.42.

Issued: September 1, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-17737 Filed 9-7-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-521]

In the Matter of Certain Voltage Regulator Circuits, Components Thereof and Products Containing Same; Notice of Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Extending the Target Date for Completion of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") on August 10, 2005, extending the target date for completion of the above-captioned investigation to June 14, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael K. Haldenstein, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3115. Copies of the public version of the IDs and all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 17, 2004, the Commission instituted an investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on a complaint filed by Linear Technology Corporation of Milpitas, California ("Linear") alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of

certain voltage regulator circuits, components thereof and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1-6, 31, 34-35, 41, 44-48, and 51-57 of U.S. Patent No. 5,481,178 ("the '178 patent"), and claims 1-19, 31, 34, and 35 of U.S. Patent No. 6,580,258. 69 FR 51104 (August 17, 2004). The complainant named Monolithic Power Systems, Inc. of Los Gatos, California as respondent.

On March 16, 2005, the ALJ issued an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 12) extending the target date in the above-referenced investigation. The extension of the target date was necessary due to the previous postponement of the hearing due to the unavailability of witnesses. The ALJ determined that the target date for this investigation should be set at 18 months from institution, *i.e.*, February 17, 2006. No party petitioned for review of the ID, the Commission declined to review it, and it therefore became the determination of the Commission.

The hearing, which had been scheduled to commence on June 22, 2005, could not be held as scheduled. The ALJ issued Order No. 15 on July 27, 2005, rescheduling the hearing for October 5, 2005. On August 10, 2005, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 6) extending the target date for completion of the investigation until June 14, 2006.

No party petitioned for review of the ID and the Commission has determined not to review the ID, permitting it to become the determination of the Commission.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: August 31, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-17739 Filed 9-7-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

August 30, 2005.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in

accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation contact Ira Mills on 202-693-4122 (this is not a toll-free number) or E-Mail: Mills.Ira@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for ETA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, 202-395-7316 (this is not a toll free number), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration (ETA).

Type of Review: Regular extension of a currently approved collection.

Title: Title 29 CFR Part 29 "Labor Standards for the Registration of Apprenticeship Programs.

OMB Number: 1205-0223.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profits.

Type of Response: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

Number of Respondents: 283,031.

Annual Responses: 283,031.

Average Response time: 2 hours per sponsor.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 55,632.

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs: 0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): 0.

Description: Title 29 CFR part 29 sets forth labor standards to safeguard the welfare of apprentices and to extend the application of such standards by prescribing policies and procedures