Authority

42 U.S.C. 912; 42 U.S.C. 300u-2; 42 U.S.C. 300u-6; and 42 U.S.C. 247b.

Project Period

The project period of the award will begin on September 1, 2005 and will last through August 31, 2006.

Justification for the Exception to Competition

On March 31, 2005, ORHP announced a Border Health Best Practices Cooperative Agreement (Announcement #HRSA 05-130). ORHP received only one application that was from an entity, as ORHP understood, would have had significant administrative issues to overcome in order to fulfill the requirements of the announcement. Given the response from the initial competition, there are not many applicants along the border who are capable of performing the breadth of these activities. ORHP has identified USMBHA as the only entity with the capacity to fill the void and to work in partnership with HRSA to organize events in the short time frame before the Border Binational Health Week (BBHW) celebration with Mexico is scheduled to take place. USMBHA is eminently qualified to receive a single source award. USMBHA was formally created in 1943 and is composed of health professionals from both Mexico and the United States. Annual meetings have occurred without interruption since this time with involvement from senior Federal, State, and local officials from both countries. Furthermore, USMBHA has worked with the U.S.-Mexico Border Health Commission, HRSA and other Federal Agencies in the past and were involved in last year's BBHW celebration.

Dated: September 16, 2005.

Elizabeth M. Duke,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 05-19018 Filed 9-22-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4165-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND **SECURITY**

Federal Emergency Management Agency

National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP); Assistance to Private Sector **Property Insurers**

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate, Department of Homeland Security.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Each year FEMA is required by the Write-Your-Own ("WYO") program Financial Assistance/Subsidy Arrangement ("Arrangement") to notify the private insurance companies ("Companies") and make available to the Companies the terms for subscription or re-subscription to the Arrangement. In keeping with that requirement, this notice provides the terms to the Companies to subscribe or re-subscribe to the Arrangement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Edward L. Connor, FEMA, 500 C Street, SW., Washington, DC 20472, 202-646-3429 (Phone), 202-646-3445 (facsimile), or Edward.Connor@dhs.gov (e-mail).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Arrangement, approximately 95 private sector property insurers issue flood insurance policies and adjust flood insurance claims under their own names based on the Arrangement with the Federal Insurance Administration (FIA) (44 CFR part 62, appendix A). The WYO insurers receive an expense allowance and remit the remaining premium to the Federal Government. The Federal Government pays WYO insurers for flood losses and pays loss adjustment expenses based on a fee schedule. Litigation costs, including court costs, attorney fees, judgments, and settlements, are paid by FIA based on submitted documentation. The Arrangement provides that under certain circumstances reimbursement for litigation costs will not be made. The complete Arrangement is published in 44 CFR part 62, appendix A.

Each year FEMA is required to publish in the Federal Register and make available to the Companies the terms for subscription or re-subscription to the Financial Assistance/Subsidy Arrangement. During the 2004–2005 Arrangement year FEMA published (69 FR 45608, Jul. 30, 2004) an interim final rule which made changes to the Arrangement. No changes have been made to the Arrangement since the publication of the interim final rule.

During September 2005, FEMA will send a copy of the offer for the 2005– 2006 Arrangement year, together with related materials and submission instructions, to all private insurance companies participating under the current 2004-2005 Arrangement. Any private insurance company not currently participating in the WYO Program but wishing to consider FEMA's offer for 2005-2006 may request a copy by writing: Federal Emergency Management Agency, Mitigation Division, Attn: WYO Program, 500 C Street, SW.,

Washington, DC 20472, or contact Edward Connor 202-646-3445 (facsimile), or Edward.Connor@dhs.gov

R. David Paulison,

Acting Under Secretary, Emergency Preparedness and Response, Department of Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. 05-19072 Filed 9-22-05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-10-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Transportation Security Administration

Intent To Request Renewal From OMB of One Current Public Collection of Information: Department of Homeland Security—Vulnerability Identification Self-Assessment Tool—Transportation (DHS-VISAT-T)

AGENCY: Transportation Security Administration (TSA), DHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: TSA invites public comment on one currently approved information collection requirement abstracted below that we will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for renewal in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act.

DATES: Send your comments by November 22, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Katrina Wawer, Information Collection Specialist, Office of Transportation Security Policy, TSA-9, Transportation Security Administration, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 22202-4220.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Katrina Wawer at the above address or by telephone (571) 227-1995 or facsimile (571) 227-2594.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information, unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Therefore, in preparation for OMB review and approval of the following information collection, TSA is soliciting comments to-

- (1) Evaluate whether the proposed information requirement is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden;

- (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including using appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Information Collection Requirement

Title: Department of Homeland Security—Vulnerability Identification Self-Assessment Tool—Transportation (DHS-VISAT-T).

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

OMB Control Number: 1652–0037. Forms(s): NA.

Affected Public: Various modal transportation sector owners and operators.

Abstract: After its inception, TSA faced the challenge of securing all of the different modes within the transportation sector. A methodology was required in order to support interand intra-modal analysis and decisionmaking. Millions of assets exist within the transportation sector, ranging from over 500,000 highway-bridges to over 19,000 general aviation airports. Given this population of assets, it became apparent that a mechanism was needed to solicit data from the asset owners/ operators. TSA needs this data, such as the assets' security measures currently deployed, along with a high-level assessment of system security effectiveness, in order to prioritize resources.

In response to this need, TSA's Office of Threat Assessment and Risk Management (OTRM) developed the Department of Homeland Security-Vulnerability Identification Self-Assessment Tool—Transportation (DHS-VISAT-T), formerly called the TSA Self-Assessment Risk Module (TSARM), as a means to gather securityrelated data and provide a cost-free service to the transportation sector. TSA designed this tool to be flexible to support the unique characteristics of each transportation mode, while still providing a common framework from which analysis and trends can be identified. DHS-VISAT-T represents the U.S. Government's first selfassessment tool that provides the following features:

- The tool is provided to users at no cost;
- The tool is voluntary (potential users contact TSA to access the tool);
- The tool is web-based, easily accessible; and

• All ratings are determined by the iser.

Upon completion of the tool assessment, users receive a report that summarizes their inputs. They may then use this report to develop a security plan or to identify areas of potential vulnerability. Users have the option to submit the completed assessment to DHS. If submitted, DHS reviews the assessment for consistency and provides feedback to the users.

Owners and operators within the transportation sector can access information about the tool by visiting TSA's Web site: www.tsa.gov, selecting "Industry Partners," then "Risk Management," then finally selecting the "DHS–VISAT" link. Thus far, TSA has developed modules of the tool for maritime, mass transit, highway bridges, and rail passenger stations, with more in development.

TSA is seeking OMB approval to renew this control number for the maximum three-year period to continue to provide this tool to transportation owners and operators.

Number of Respondents: Of the possible 3,002,450 respondents from the various transportation sectors, TSA expects that approximately 10 percent, or 300,245, will use the tool.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: An estimated 2,401,960 hours annually.

Issued in Arlington, Virginia, on September 19, 2005.

Lisa S. Dean,

Privacy Officer.

[FR Doc. 05–19089 Filed 9–22–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4984-C-03]

Public Housing Graduation Incentive Bonus Program; Correction

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of funding availability; correction.

summary: On June 2, 2005, HUD published its notice of funding availability (NOFA) for the Public Housing Graduation Incentive Bonus program. The NOFA includes a provision that disqualifies applicants that request funding in excess of the applicable maximum award. The Department has determined that this provision was erroneously included in this NOFA. This notice corrects this error by removing the provision from

the NOFA. Except for the changes discussed here, and the other technical change published on July 29, 2005, the original NOFA published on June 2, 2005, is unchanged.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions and technical assistance, applicants may call the Public and Indian Housing Information and Resource Center at 800–955–2232. Hearing- or speech-impaired persons may call the Federal Relay Service at 800–877–8339. (These are toll-free numbers.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 2, 2005 (70 FR 32470), HUD published a NOFA for the Public Housing Graduation Incentive Bonus program. The purpose of the program is to invite Public Housing Authorities (PHAs) to apply for a graduation incentive bonus. The graduation incentive bonus is awarded to PHAs that can show their public housing residents are moving away from long-term dependence on housing assistance. This showing is evidenced by the proportion of households that leaves public housing and end their participation in assisted housing programs during calendar year 2004 plus the average length of stay among public housing residents.

The NOFA announced the availability of up to \$10 million under the Graduation Incentive Bonus program in fiscal year 2005. Eligible applicants are PHAs that operated a public housing program during calendar year 2004, have reported Public and Indian Housing Information Center (PIC) Family Household form HUD-50058 data for residents who ended their residency in public housing during calendar year 2004, have a minimum of 100 dwelling units in management status as reported in PIC as approved by the field office as of January 15, 2005, have a minimum of twenty-five Family Household form HUD-50058 records reported in PIC and have met the minimum threshold criteria based upon its size category.

Following publication of the June 2, 2005, NOFA, HUD determined that paragraph III.C.2. (Excess Funding Requests) was erroneously included in the June 2, 2005, NOFA. That paragraph provides that "Applicants that request funding in excess of the maximum award that they are eligible to receive will not receive funding consideration." This provision, adopted in error in the June 2, 2005, NOFA, is inappropriate as a basis for awarding the funds reserved in the NOFA. As discussed in the June 2, 2005, NOFA, the funding is predetermined and will be awarded based on PHA size and certain