2–152 requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on September 12, 2005, and published in the **Federal Register** on October 6, 2005 (70 FR 58476).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of Midas International Corporation, Muffler Corporation of America Division, Hartford Manufacturing Facility, Hartford, Wisconsin engaged in production of automotive muffler and exhaust products for the aftermarket was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met, nor was there a shift in production from that firm to a foreign country. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers' firm's customers. The survey revealed no imports of automotive muffler and exhaust products during the relevant period. The subject firm did not import automotive muffler and exhaust products nor did it shift production to a foreign country during the relevant period.

The petitioner states that the affected workers lost their jobs as a result of the subject firm "exiting the manufacturing portion of the business" and its consequent decision to purchase automotive muffler and exhaust products from a different vendor. The petitioner alleges that because this vendor has "120 manufacturing facilities in 25 countries", there naturally should be imported automotive muffler and exhaust products sold to the subject firm. The petitioner states that because the new vendor is a global producer of automotive muffler and exhaust products, the workers of the subject firm should be eligible for TAA. To support the above allegations, the petitioner attached news articles from companies'

websites which contain information on Midas International's new supplier of automotive muffler and exhaust products.

A company official was contacted regarding the above allegations. The company official confirmed what was revealed during the initial investigation. In particular, the official stated that Midas International Corporation's actions in ceasing its production of automotive muffler and exhaust products was a reflection of company's strategic desire to be a retailer, combined with the reduction in the size of the overall market for exhaust systems. The official provided the name of the vendor which supplies automotive muffler and exhaust products to Midas International. This is the same vendor indicated by the petitioner in the request for reconsideration.

The Department conducted a survey of the vendor regarding its manufacturing of automotive muffler and exhaust products. The survey revealed that the majority of automotive mufflers and exhaust products sold to Midas International is manufactured in the United States and only a small fraction of automotive mufflers and exhaust products is imported. Moreover, the survey revealed an insignificant amount of vendor's overall imports of automotive muffler and exhaust products during the relevant time period.

The petitioner also attached abstracts from the publication by the United States International Trade Commission (USITC) which contain information on imports of mufflers and exhaust pipes from 1999 to 2003 and a printout from the USITC website which shows an eight percent increase in U.S. aggregate imports of motor vehicle parts from January through August of 2005 when compared with the same period in 2004.

In order to establish import impact, the Department must consider imports that are like or directly competitive with those produced at the subject firm within a year prior to the date of the petition. Thus the period ending in 2003 is outside of the relevant period as established by the current petition date of July 30, 2005. Information on imports of motor vehicle parts does not provide import information on specific types of motor parts, such as automotive mufflers and exhaust products and thus is also irrelevant in this investigation.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the

facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, day 13th of December, 2005.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5–7957 Filed 12–27–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,475, TA-W-58,475A, and TA-W-58,475B]

Pendleton Woolen Mills, Inc., Corporate Headquarters, Portland, OR; Menswear Distribution Center, Milwaukie, OR; Bellevue Plant, Bellevue, NE; Washougal Mill, Washougal, WA; and Pendelton Mill, Pendelton, OR; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on December 7, 2005 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Pendleton Woolen Mills, Inc., Corporate headquarters, Portland Oregon; Menswear Distribution Center, Milwaukie, Oregon; Bellevue Plant, Bellevue, Nebraska; Washougal Mill, Washougal, Washington and Pendleton Mill, Pendleton, Oregon.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 14th day of December 2005.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5–7961 Filed 12–27–05; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4510–30–P**

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,356]

The Rug Barn, Abbeville, SC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on November 15, 2005 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at The Rug Barn, Abbeville, South Carolina. The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 13th day of December, 2005.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5–7954 Filed 12–27–05; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4510–30-P**

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,461 and TA-W-56,461A]

Teradyne, Inc., Currently Known as Amphenol TCS, a Division of Amphenol Corporation, Connectors and Backplane Assemblies Facility and Printed Circuit Board Facility, Including Leased Workers of Microtech Staffing Group, TAC Worldwide and Technical Needs, Nashua, NH; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on March 10, 2005, applicable to workers of Teradyne, Inc., Teradyne Connection Systems (TCS), Connectors and Backplane Assemblies Facility and the Printed Circuit Board Facility, including leased workers of Microtech Staffing Group, TAC Worldwide, and Technical Needs, Nashua, New Hampshire. The notice was published in the Federal Register on April 1, 2005 (70 FR 16848-16849).

At the request of a company official, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers at the Connectors and Backplane Assemblies Facility produce connectors and backplane assemblies; they are not separately identifiable by articles produced. Workers of the Printed Circuit Board Facility produce printed circuit boards.

The subject firm originally named Teradyne, Inc., Teradyne Connection Systems (TCS), was renamed Teradyne, Inc., Amphenol TCS, a Division of Amphenol Corporation on December 1, 2005, due to a change in ownership. The State agency reports that workers' wages at the subject firm are being reported under the Unemployment Insurance

(UI) tax account for Teradyne, Inc., currently known as Amphenol TCS, a Division of Amphenol Corporation, Nashua, New Hampshire.

Accordingly, the Department is amending this certification to reflect a change in ownership.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Teradyne, Inc., currently known as Amphenol TCS, a Division of Amphenol Corporation, Connectors and Backplane Assemblies Facility and the Printed Circuit Board Facility who were adversely affected by a shift in production to Mexico, Malaysia and China.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–56,461 and TA–W–56,461A are hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Teradyne, Inc., currently known as Amphenol TCS, a Division of Amphenol Corporation, Connectors and Backplane Assemblies Facility, Nashua, New Hampshire (TA-W-56,461), and Teradyne, Inc., currently known as Amphenol TCS, A Division of Amphenol Corporation, Printed Circuit Board Facility, Nashua, New Hampshire (TA-W-56,461A) including leased workers of Microtech Staffing Group, TAC Worldwide, and Technical Needs engaged in activities related to the production of connectors and backplane assemblies workers at the Connectors and Backplane Assemblies Facility, Nashua, New Hampshire (TA-W-56,461) and workers engaged in activities related to the production of printed circuit boards working at the Printed Circuit Boards Facility, Nashua, New Hampshire (TA-W-56,461A) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 31, 2004, through March 10, 2007, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 14th day of December 2005.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5–7952 Filed 12–27–05; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 4510–30–P$

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,408]

United States Sugar Corporation Bryant Mill, Bryant, FL; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on November 25, 2005 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at United States Sugar Corporation, Bryant Mill, Bryant, Florida.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12th day of December, 2005.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E5–7960 Filed 12–27–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Mine Safety and Health Administration

Petitions for Modification

The following parties have filed petitions to modify the application of existing safety standards under section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.

1. RS&W Coal Company, Inc.

[Docket No. M-2005-079-C]

RS&W Coal Company, Inc., 207 Creek Road, Klingerstown, Pennsylvania 17941 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1312 (Explosives and detonators in underground magazines) to its RS&W Drift Mine (MSHA I.D. No. 23–01818) located in Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania. The petitioner requests a modification of the existing standard to permit the amount of explosives to be how much explosives are stored in a type two magazine built in accordance with ATF requirements in lieu of limiting the amount of explosives used underground to not more than 48 hours; to permit the use of two (2) magazines separated with 4 inches of hardwood; and to permit location of storage explosives and detonators in the anthracite coal mine gangway to be located 5 feet from the rail and offset from the rib at least 2 feet into solid rock, to protect the magazines from the dangers of moving vehicles and sources of electrical current. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as the existing standard.

2. Canyon Fuel Company, LLC

[Docket No. M-2005-080-C]

Canyon Fuel Company, LLC, 397 South 800 West, Salina, Utah 84654 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1909(b)(6) (Nonpermissible diesel-powered equipment; design and performance