Parking areas in 2 to 3 areas would be established or modified.

Possible Alternatives

Preliminary alternatives which have been identified include the proposed action and the no action alternatives.

Responsible Official

David T. Bull, Forest Supervisor, Bitterroot National Forest, 1801 N. First, Hamilton, MT 59840.

Nature of Decision To Be Made

The Responsible Official will determine whether or not to proceed with the proposed project activities.

Scoping Process

Comments will be accepted during the 30-day scoping period as described in this notice of intent. To assist in commenting, a scoping letter providing more detailed information on the project proposal has been prepared and is available to interested parties. Contact Chuck Oliver, Darby District Ranger at the address listed in this notice of intent if you would like to receive a copy. An open house in Darby, Montana is planned on October 12, 2005 in Darby, Montana. This will be an opportunity for you to interact with team members to clarify the proposed project.

Preliminary Issues

Impacts to the viewshed from the town of Darby.

Comment Requested

This notice of intent initiates the scoping process which guides the development of the environmental impact statement.

Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review

The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact

statement but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day-comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement. To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Additional public comment will be accepted after publication of the DEIS anticipated early in 2006. The Environmental Protection Agency will publish the Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement in the Federal Register. The Forest will also publish a legal notice of availability in the Ravalli Republic, Hamilton, Montana. The comment period on the Draft EIS will begin the day after the legal notice is published. The Final EIS and Decision are expected late in 2006.

Dated: September 15, 2005.

David T. Bull,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 05-18792 Filed 9-20-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-588-804, A-559-801)

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results and Final Results of the Full Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from Japan and Singapore

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 21, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Zev Primor at 202–482–4114 or Fred W. Aziz at 202–482–4023, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Extension of Time Limits

In accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the U.S. Department of Commerce (the Department) may extend the period of time for making its determination by not more than 90 days, if it determines that the sunset review is extraordinarily complicated. As set forth in 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Act, the Department may treat a sunset review as extraordinarily complicated if it is a review of a transition order. The sunset reviews subject to this notice are transition orders. Therefore, the Department has determined, pursuant to section 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Act, that these sunset reviews are extraordinarily complicated and require additional time for the Department to complete its analysis.

The Department's preliminary results of these full sunset reviews were scheduled for September 19, 2005, and the final results were scheduled for January 27, 2006. They are now being extended until December 19, 2005, and April 27, 2006, respectively. These dates are 90 days from the original scheduled dates of the preliminary and final results of these sunset reviews.

This notice is issued in accordance with sections 751(c)(5)(B) and (C)(v) of the Act.

Dated: September 15, 2005.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 05–18852 Filed 9–20–05; 8:45 am]

(BILLING CODE: 3510-DS-SP)