to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed in the "Final Results of Reviews" section below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 7, 2005. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Zev Primor or Edythe Artman, AD/CVD Enforcement 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4114 or (202) 482–3931.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background:

On July 1, 2005, the Department initiated the second sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on lightwalled welded rectangular carbon steel tubing from Argentina and Taiwan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews, 70 FR 38101 (July 1, 2005). The Department received a notice of intent to participate from Allied Tube and Conduit, Hannibal Industries, Leavitt Tube Company, Northwest Pipe Company, Searing Industries, and Western Tube and Conduit (collectively the domestic interested parties) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i) pertaining to sunset reviews. The domestic interested parties claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as manufacturers of a domestic like product in the United States. We received complete substantive responses from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no responses from the respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department has conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of these orders.

Scope of the Orders:

The product covered by these orders is light–walled welded carbon steel pipes and tubes of rectangular (including square) cross-section having a wall thickness of less than 0.156 inch. This merchandise is classified under item number 7306.60.50.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States. It was formerly classified under item number 610.4928 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States.

Analysis of Comments Received:

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated October 31, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the orders were to be revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B–099 of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn. The paper copy and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews:

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on light– walled welded rectangular carbon steel tubing from Argentina and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted–average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Pro- ducers	Weighted– Average Margin (percent)
Argentina.	
All Manufacturers/Producers/Ex-	
porters	56.26
Taiwan.	
Ornatube Enterprise	5.51
Vulcan Industrial Corp	40.97
Yieh Hsing Industries, Ltd	40.97
All Other Manufacturers/Pro-	
ducers/Exporters	29.15

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 31, 2005. Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 05–22152 Filed 11–4–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Paper Clips from the People's Republic of China; Notice of Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order

A-570-826

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On July 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on paper clips from the People's Republic of China ("China"). See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews, 70 FR 38101 (July 1, 2005). On the basis of Notices of Intent to Participate, adequate substantive responses filed on behalf of domestic interested parties, and a lack of response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review. As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping. The dumping margins are identified in the Final Results of Review section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 7, 2005. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hilary Sadler, Esq. or Maureen Flannery, AD/CVD Operations, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4340 or (202) 482–3020, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2005, the Department published the notice of initiation of the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on paper clips from China pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). *See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 38101 (July 1, 2005). On July 11, 2005 and July 16, 2005, the Department received a Notice of Intent to Participate from Officemate International Corporation and ACCO Brands, Inc., the domestic interested parties, within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations. The domestic interested parties claimed interested parties status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as manufacturers, producers, or wholesalers in the United States of a domestic like product. On July 29, 2005, and August 1, 2005, the Department received complete substantive responses from the domestic interested parties within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(3)(i) of the Department's regulations. The Department did not receive a response from any respondent interested parties to this proceeding. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B)of the Act and section 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department conducted an expedited review of this order.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain paper clips, wholly of wire of base metal, whether or not galvanized, whether or not plated with nickel or other base metal (e.g., copper), with a wire diameter between 0.025 inches and 0.075 inches (0.64 to 1.91 millimeters), regardless of physical configuration, except as specifically excluded. The products subject to this order may have a rectangular or ringlike shape and include, but are not limited to, clips commercially referred to as No. 1 clips, No. 3 clips, Jumbo or Giant clips, Gem clips, Frictioned clips, Perfect Gems, Marcel Gems, Universal clips, Nifty clips, Peerless clips, Ring clips, and Glide–On clips. The products subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheading 8305.90.3010 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS").

Specifically excluded from the scope of this order are plastic and vinyl covered paper clips, butterfly clips, binder clips, or other paper fasteners that are not made wholly of wire of base metal and are covered under a separate subheading of the HTSUS.

Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Operations, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated October 31, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the order were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B–099 of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on paper clips from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted–average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/Export- ers/Producers	Weighted Average Margin (percent)
Shanghai Lansheng Corporation Zhejiang Light Industrial	57.64
Products Import & Ex- port Corporation Zhejiang Machinery and	46.01
Equipment Import & Export Corporation China-wide Rate	60.70 126.94

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 31, 2005.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 05–22144 Filed 11–4–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-570-879

Polyvinyl Alcohol from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is conducting the first administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyvinyl alcohol ("PVA") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") covering the period August 11, 2003, through September 30, 2004. We have preliminarily determined that sales have been made below normal value. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of this review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on entries of subject merchandise during the period of review ("POR") for which the importerspecific assessment rates are above de minimis.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. We will issue the final results no later than 120 days from the date of publication of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 7, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lilit Astvatsatrian, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6412.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 1, 2003, the Department published in the Federal Register the antidumping duty order on PVA from the PRC. See Antidumping Duty Order: Polyvinyl Alcohol from the People's Republic of China, 68 FR 56620 (October 1, 2003). On October 1, 2004, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PVA from the PRC for the period March 20, 2003, through September 30, 2004. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation: Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 69 FR 58889 (October 1, 2004). On October