deposit requirements will be effective upon completion of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of stainless steel butt—weld pipe fittings from Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act:

- 1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate established in the final results of review except if a rate is less than 0.50 percent, and therefore *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1) in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero;
- 2) For any previously reviewed or investigated company not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company–specific rate published in the most recent period;
- 3) If the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less than fair value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and
- 4) If neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be the "all others" rate from the LTFV investigation (21.2 percent). See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value; Certain Welded Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Korea, 58 FR 11029 (February 23, 1993).

## **Notice to Importers**

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR § 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 31, 2005.

## Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 05-22139 Filed 11-4-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

International Trade Administration (A-351-819, A-427-811, A-533-808)

Stainless Steel Wire Rods from Brazil, France, and India; Notice of Final Results of Five-year (Sunset) Reviews of Antidumping Duty Orders

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the second sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel wire rods from Brazil, France and India, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). On the basis of notices of intent to participate and adequate substantive responses filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties and inadequate response from respondent interested parties, the Department has conducted expedited sunset reviews of these antidumping duty orders. As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the level indicated in the "Final Results of Reviews" section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 7, 2005.

FOR INFORMATION CONTACT: Jacqueline Arrowsmith or Dana Mermelstein, Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5255 or (202) 482–1391, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

On December 1, 1993, the Department published the Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods from India, 58 FR 63335 (December 1, 1993). On January 28, 1994, the Department published the *Antidumping Duty Order:* Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods from Brazil, 59 FR 4021 and the Amended Final Determination and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods from France, 59 FR 4022. On August 2, 2000, the Department published the Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders: Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, France, and India, 65 FR 47403.

On July 1, 2005, the Department initiated the second sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on

stainless steel wire rods from Brazil, France and India, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See Initiation of Fiveyear ("Sunset") Reviews, 70 FR 38101 (July 1, 2005). The Department received a notice of intent to participate from Carpenter Technology Corporation, Charter Specialty Steel, and Universal & Alloy Products, Inc. (collectively, the domestic interested parties), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as U.S. producers of the domestic like product.

We received a complete substantive response to the notice of initiation from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no responses from respondent interested parties to this proceeding. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted expedited sunset reviews of these orders.

### Scope of the Orders

Imports covered by these orders are certain stainless steel wire rods (SSWR) from Brazil, France and India. SSWR are products which are hot-rolled or hotrolled annealed and/or pickled rounds, squares, octagons, hexagons, or other shapes, in coils. SSWR are made of alloy steels containing, by weight 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent of chromium, with or without other elements. These products are only manufactured by hot-rolling and normally sold in coiled form, and are solid cross-section. The majority of SSWR sold in the United States are round in cross-section shape, annealed and pickled. The most common size is 5.5 millimeters in diameter.

The merchandise subject to these orders is currently classifiable under subheadings 7221.00.0005, 7221.00.0015, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, 7221.00.0075 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS).¹ The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

## Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the Issues and Decision

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The merchandise subject to the scope of these orders was originally classifiable under all of the following HTS subheadings: 7221.00.0005, 7221.00.0015, 7221.00.0020, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0040, 7221.00.0045, 7221.00.0060, 7221.00.0075, and 7221.00.0080. HTSUS subheadings 7221.00.0020, 7221.00.0040, 7221.00.0060, 7221.00.0080 are no longer contained in the HTSUS.

Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Stainless Steel Wire Rods from Brazil, France, and India; Final Results, from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Operations to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated October 31, 2005 (Decision Memo), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the orders were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these sunset reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memo, which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce Building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content

### Final Results of Reviews

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rods from Brazil would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted—average percentage margins.

Manufacturers/Export- ers/Producers	Weighted-Average Margins
Acos Finos Piratini SA Acos Villares SA Electrometal - Metals	26.50 percent 26.50 percent
Especiais S.A	24.63 percent 25.88 percent

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rods from France would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted—average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/Export-	Weighted-Average
ers/Producers	Margins
Imphy Ugine—Savoie All Others	24.51 percent 24.51 percent 24.51 percent

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rods from India would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted—average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/Export-	Weighted-Average
ers/Producers	Margins
Mukand Ltd	48.80 percent

Manufacturers/Export-	Weighted-Average
ers/Producers	Margins
Sunstar Metals Ltd	48.80 percent
Grand Foundry Ltd	48.80 percent
All Others	48.80 percent

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 31, 2005.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 05–22140 Filed 11–4–05; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING$  CODE 3510–DS–S

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration (A-588-854)

Certain Tin Mill Products from Japan; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on certain tin mill products from Japan, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive responses filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and no response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review. As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping. The dumping margins are identified in the Final Results of Review section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 7, 2005. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dana Mermelstein, Office 6, and Dena

Aliadinov, Office 7, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–1391 and (202) 482–3362, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

On July 1, 2005, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on tin mill products from Japan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See Initiation of Fiveyear ("Sunset") Reviews, 70 FR 38101 (July 1, 2005). The Department received notices of intent to participate from two domestic interested parties, United States Steel Corporation (U.S. Steel) and Mittal Steel USA ISG Inc. (Mittal Steel) (collectively, domestic interested parties), within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations. Domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as U.S. producers of the domestic like product. We received complete substantive responses from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). However, we did not receive any response from any respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted expedited sunset reviews of these orders.

## Scope of the Order

The scope of this order includes tin mill flat-rolled products that are coated or plated with tin, chromium or chromium oxides. Flat-rolled steel products coated with tin are known as tin plate. Flat–rolled steel products coated with chromium or chromium oxides are known as tin-free steel or electrolytic chromium-coated steel. The scope includes all the noted tin mill products regardless of thickness, width, form (in coils or cut sheets), coating type (electrolytic or otherwise), edge (trimmed, untrimmed or further processed, such as scroll cut), coating thickness, surface finish, temper, coating metal (tin, chromium, chromium oxide), reduction (single-or double-reduced), and whether or not coated with a plastic material. All products that meet the written physical description are within the scope of this order unless specifically excluded. The following products, by way of example,