

published in the **Federal Register** and in the Palatka Daily News.

Any final action by RUS related to the proposed project will be subject to, and contingent upon, compliance with all relevant Federal, State and local environmental laws and regulations and completion of the environmental review requirements as prescribed in the RUS Environmental Policies and Procedures (7 CFR part 1794).

Dated: October 4, 2005.

Glendon D. Deal,

Director, Engineering and Environmental Staff, USDA/Rural Development/Utilities Programs.

[FR Doc. 05-20309 Filed 10-7-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-15-P

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

Sunshine Act Meeting

In connection with its investigation into the cause of an explosion and fire which occurred at BP's Texas City refinery on March 23, 2005, the United States Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board (CSB) announces that it will convene a community meeting starting at 6 p.m. local time on Thursday, October 27, 2005, at the Charles T. Doyle Convention Center, 2010 5th Avenue North, Texas City, Texas 77590. At the meeting CSB staff will present to the Board the preliminary results of their investigation into this incident. There will be a public comment period after the investigators' presentation.

At approximately 1:20 p.m. on Wednesday, March 23rd, a series of explosions occurred at the BP Texas City refinery during the restarting of a hydrocarbon isomerization unit. Fifteen workers were killed and about 170 others were injured. Many of the victims were in or around work trailers located near a blowdown drum and stack that were open to the atmosphere. The explosions occurred when a distillation tower flooded with hydrocarbons and was over pressurized, resulting in a release of flammable hydrocarbons from the blowdown stack. After the staff presentation, the Board will allow a time for public comment. Following the conclusion of the public comment period, the Board will consider whether the preliminary facts presented necessitate any recommendations prior to the final completion of the Board's investigative report.

All staff presentations are preliminary and are intended solely to allow the Board to consider in a public forum the issues and factors involved in this case.

No factual analyses, conclusions or findings should be considered final. Only after the Board has considered a final staff presentation and approved the staff report next year will there be an approved final record of this incident.

The meeting will be open to the public. Please notify CSB if a translator or interpreter is needed, at least 5 business days prior to the public meeting. For more information, please contact the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board at (202) 261-7600, or visit our Web site at: <http://www.csb.gov>.

Christopher W. Warner,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 05-20443 Filed 10-6-05; 2:28 pm]

BILLING CODE 6350-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Title: Coastal Zone Management

Program Administration.

Form Number(s): None.

OMB Approval Number: 0648-0119.

Type of Request: Regular submission.

Burden Hours: 17,974.

Number of Respondents: 35.

Average Hours Per Response: 35.

Needs and Uses: Coastal zone management grants provide funds to states and territories to implement federally-approved coastal zone management plans; revise assessment documents and multi-year strategies; submit requests to approve amendments or program changes; submit section 306A documentation on their approved coastal zone management plans; and submit coastal management performance measurement data. The funds are also provided to states and territories to develop their coastal management documents. The information submitted is used to determine if activities achieve national coastal management and enhancement objectives and if states and territories are adhering to their approved plans.

Affected Public: State, local or tribal government.

Frequency: Annually, semi-annually and on occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395-3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, FAX number (202) 395-7285, or David_Rostker@omb.eop.gov.

Dated: October 5, 2005.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 05-20339 Filed 10-7-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Membership of the Office of the Secretary Performance Review Board

AGENCY: Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of membership on the Office of the Secretary Performance Review Board.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4), DOC announces the appointment of persons to serve as members of the Office of the Secretary (OS) Performance Review Board (PRB). The OS/PRB is responsible for reviewing performance appraisals and ratings of Senior Executive Service (SES) members. The appointment of these members to the OS/PRB will be for a period of 24 months.

DATES: The effective date of service of appointees to the Office of the Secretary Performance Review Board is upon publication of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary King, Director, Office of Executive Resources, Office of Human Resources Management, Office of the Director, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-3321.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The names, position titles, and type of appointment of the members of the OS/PRB are set forth below by organization:

Department of Commerce, Office of the Secretary, 2005-2007, Performance Review Board Membership

Office of the Secretary

Fred L. Schwien, Director, Executive Secretariat; David S. Bohigian, Deputy

Director, Office of Policy and Strategic Planning; Richard Yamamoto, Director, Office of Security (Alternate).

Office of Assistant Secretary for Administration

Lisa Casias, Deputy Director for Financial Policy.

Economic Development Administration

Mary Pleffner, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Management Services and CFO.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

John E. Jones, Jr., Deputy Assistant Administrator for Weather Services.

Dated: October 5, 2005.

Mary King,

Director, Office of Executive Resources.

[FR Doc. 05-20348 Filed 10-7-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-B5-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-570-863

Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Honey from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from Kunshan Xin'an Trade Co., Ltd., the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the People's Republic of China. The period of review ("POR") is December 1, 2003, through November 30, 2004. For the reasons discussed below, we are rescinding this new shipper review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 11, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anya Naschak, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C., 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6375.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are natural honey, artificial honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, preparations of natural honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, and flavored honey. The subject merchandise includes all grades and colors of honey

whether in liquid, creamed, comb, cut comb, or chunk form, and whether packaged for retail or in bulk form.

The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable under subheadings 0409.00.00, 1702.90.90, and 2106.90.99 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the merchandise under this order is dispositive.

Background

On December 22, 2004, the Department received a request for a new shipper review ("Xinan New Shipper Request") from Kunshan Xin'an Trade Co., Ltd. ("Xinan PRC"). On January 31, 2005, the Department initiated this new shipper review for the period of review ("POR") December 1, 2003, through November 30, 2004. See *Honey from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping New Shipper Review*, 70 FR 6412 (February 7, 2005). On February 1, 2005, we issued the standard section A, C, and D questionnaire to Xinan PRC and its claimed U.S. affiliate, Xin'an USA, Inc. ("Xinan USA") (collectively, "Xinan"). On March 10, 2005, and March 28, 2005, we received Xinan's response to sections A, C, and D of the Department's questionnaire.

On July 18, 2005, the Department extended the time limit for the completion of the preliminary results of this review by 45 days from the original July 30, 2005, deadline, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and section 351.214(i)(2) of the Department's regulations. See *Honey from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of 2003/2004 New Shipper Review*, 70 FR 42033 (July 26, 2005).

On August 10, 2005, the Department completed its preliminary *bona fides* analysis of Xinan's single sale to the United States and stated the Department's preliminary intention to rescind the new shipper review of Xinan, finding that Xinan's single sale to the United States was not a *bona fide* transaction. See Memorandum from James C. Doyle to Barbara E. Tillman: *Bona Fide Analysis for Kunshan Xin'an Trade Co., Ltd.'s Sale in the New Shipper Review of Honey from the People's Republic of China*, dated August 10, 2005 ("Bona Fides Memo"). The Department allowed interested parties an opportunity to provide comments on the Department's *Bona Fides* Memo, as well as the information

placed on the record of review as attachments to the memo. Xinan provided comments on the Department's *Bona Fides Analysis Memo* on August 25, 2005, and the American Honey Producers and the Sioux Honey Association (collectively, "petitioners") provided rebuttal comments on August 31, 2005.

On September 13, 2005, the Department extended the time limit for the completion of the preliminary results of this review by an additional 20 days, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, and section 351.214(i)(2) of the Department's regulations. See *Honey from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of 2003/2004 New Shipper Review*, 70 FR 55109 (September 20, 2005).

Rescission of Review

Concurrent with this notice, we are issuing our memorandum detailing our analysis of the *bona fides* of Xinan's U.S. sale and our decision to rescind based on the totality of the circumstances. See Memorandum from James C. Doyle, Director, Office 9, to Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Operations: *Bona Fides Analysis and Rescission of New Shipper Review of Honey from the People's Republic of China for Kunshan Xin'an Trade Co., Ltd.*, dated October 3, 2005 ("Rescission Memo").

In evaluating whether or not a single sale in a new shipper review is commercially reasonable, and therefore *bona fide*, the Department has considered, *inter alia*, such factors as (1) the timing of the sale; (2) the price and quantity; (3) the expenses arising from the transaction; (4) whether the goods were resold at a profit; and (5) whether the transaction was at an arms-length basis. See *Tianjin Tiancheng Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. v. United States*, 366 F. Supp. 2d 1246 (CIT 2005) ("TTPC"), citing *Am. Silicon Techs. v. United States*, 110 F. Supp. 2d 992, 995 (CIT 2000). However, the analysis is not limited to these factors alone. The Department examines a number of factors, all of which may speak to the commercial realities surrounding the sale of subject merchandise. Although some *bona fides* issues may share commonalities across various Department cases, the Department examines the *bona fide* nature of a sale on a case-by-case basis, and the analysis may vary with the facts surrounding each sale. See TTPC, 366 F. Supp. 2d at 1260, citing *Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of the New Shipper Review*