INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 701-TA-384 and 731-TA-806-808 (Review)]

Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products From Brazil, Japan, and Russia

Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty and countervailing duty orders on certain hot-rolled flat-rolled carbonquality steel products from Brazil and Japan, and termination of the suspended antidumping duty investigation on imports of certain hot-rolled flat-rolled carbon-quality steel products from Russia, would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.2

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on May 3, 2004 (69 FR 24189), and determined on August 6, 2004, that it would conduct full reviews (69 FR 52525, August 26, 2004). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on September 9, 2004 (69 FR 54701). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on March 2, 2005, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on April 28, 2005. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3767 (April 2005), entitled Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products from Brazil, Japan, and Russia (Inv. Nos. 701–TA–384 and 731–TA–806–808 (Review)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 28, 2005.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 05–8969 Filed 5–4–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

Oregon State Plan: Approval of Oregon State Standards

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Approval of Oregon State standards for fall protection, forest activities and steel erection.

SUMMARY: The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) is approving three standards: fall protection, forest activities and steel erection, promulgated by the Oregon Department of Consumer and Business Services pursuant to its OSHA-approved state plan. These standards differ from the equivalent federal standards but have been determined to be "at least as effective" as the federal standards.

On August 9, 2004, OSHA published a Federal Register notice (69 FR 48253) requesting public comment on whether the Oregon standards met both the "at least as effective" criterion and product clause tests of Section 18(c)(2) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act. This notice invited interested persons to submit by September 8, 2004, written comments and views regarding the Oregon state standards and whether they should be approved by the Regional Administrator. OSHA received two comments in response to the fall protection standard.

DATES: Effective Date: May 5, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Barbara Bryant, Director, Office of State Programs, Directorate of Cooperative and State Programs, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N3700, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, telephone (202) 693–2244. You may access Oregon's standards on the state's Web page at http://www.cbs.state.or.us/external/osha/standards/standards. You may also access electronic copies of this **Federal Register** notice, as well as federal OSHA standards, on OSHA's Web page at http://www.osha.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

A. Background

The requirements for adoption and enforcement of safety and health standards by a state with a state plan approved under Section 18(b) of the Act (29 U.S.C. 667) are set forth in Section 18(c)(2) of the Act and in 29 CFR 1902, 1952.7, 1953.4, 1953.5 and 1953.6. OSHA regulations require that states respond to the adoption of new or revised permanent federal standards by state promulgation of comparable standards within six months of OSHA publication in the Federal Register (29 CFR 1953.5(a)). Independent state standards must be submitted for OSHA review and approval. Newly adopted state standards must be submitted for OSHA review and approval under procedures set forth in 29 CFR part 1953, but are enforceable by the state upon adoption and prior to Federal review and approval.

Section 18(c)(2) of the Act provides that if state standards which are not identical to Federal standards are applicable to products which are distributed or used in interstate commerce, such standards must be required by compelling local conditions and must not unduly burden interstate commerce. (This latter requirement is commonly referred to as the "product clause").

On December 28, 1972, notice was published in the Federal Register (37 FR 286228) of the approval of the Oregon plan and the adoption of Subpart D to Part 1952 containing the decision and a description of the state's plan. The Oregon plan provides for the adoption of state standards that are "at least as effective" as comparable federal standards promulgated under Section 6 of the Act. The Administrator of the Oregon Occupational Safety and Health Division (OR-OSHA), Department of Consumer and Business Services, is empowered to create, adopt, modify, and repeal rules and regulations governing occupational safety and health standards following public notice and a hearing in conformance with the state's Administrative Procedures Act. Public notice describing the subject matter of the proposed rule, and where and when the hearing will occur, must be published in the state newspapers at least 30 days in advance of the hearing. The Administrator considers all recommendations by any member of the public in the promulgation process. Whenever the Administrator adopts a standard, the effective date is usually 30 days after signing.

 $^{^{1}}$ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Vice Chairman Deanna Tanner Okun and Commissioner Daniel R. Pearson dissenting.