

| Short description | Diffusion-annealed, non-alloy nickel-plated steel sheet (cold rolled battery grade sheet or CRBG) with an unalloyed nickel plated coating. |
|--|--|
| Thickness of nickel-plated coating | 0 – 8 microns with both sides having a coating of at least 0.2 microns. |
| Thickness of CRBG | 0.035 mm to 0.762 mm. |
| Chemical Specifications: | |
| Carbon (C) | ≤ 0.03 |
| Manganese (Mn) | ≤ 0.60 |
| Phosphorus (P) | ≤ 0.04 |
| Sulfur (S) | ≤ 0.04 |
| Aluminum (Al) | < 0.15 |
| Silicon (Si) | < 0.10 |
| Mechanical Specifications: | |
| Tensile strength | ≤ 70 KSI Maximum |
| Yield | 22 – 55 KSI |
| Elongation | 18% Minimum |
| Hardness | 85 – 150 Vickers |
| Grain Type | Equiaxed or Pancake |
| Grain Size (ASTM) | 7 – 12 |
| Delta r value | +/- 0.3 |
| Lankford value | ≥ 0.7 |

Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review

Pursuant to section 751(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (“the Act”), the Department may revoke an antidumping duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act. 19 CFR 351.222(g)(1)(i) provides that the Department may revoke an order, in whole or in part, based on changed circumstances if “(p)roducers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the order (or part of the order to be revoked) have expressed a lack of interest in the order, in whole or in part.” See also section 781(h)(2) of the Act. In this context, the Department has interpreted “substantially all” production normally to mean at least 85 percent of domestic production of the like product. See *Oil Country Tubular Goods from Mexico: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 64 FR 14213, 14214 (March 24, 1999). See also *Certain Tin Mill Products from Japan: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 66 FR 52109, 52110 (October 12, 2001). U.S. Steel objects to the revocation, in part, of the order and claims that it constitutes over 15 percent of the total domestic production. See *Letter from U.S. Steel*, December 27, 2004.

Metal One has not shown, as required by 351.222(g)(1)(i) of the Department’s regulations, that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product have expressed a lack of interest in the order. Therefore, the Department preliminarily determines that there is insufficient evidence to warrant exclusion of the products included in Metal One’s

changed circumstances review request from the scope of the order.

As Metal One has not met the requirement showing that substantially all of the producers of the domestic like product are no longer interested in the products included in Metal One’s changes circumstances review request, Metal One’s claim that its products is similar to products already excluded from the order is moot.

Public Comment

Any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of publication of this notice. See 19 CFR 351.310(c). Any hearing, if requested, will be held 21 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first working day thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments no later than 14 days after the date of publication of this notice. See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii). Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, which must be limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, may be filed no later than 19 days after the date of publication of this notice. See 19 CFR 351.309(d). Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities.

Consistent with 19 CFR 351.216(e), we will issue the final results of this changed circumstances review no later than 270 days after the date on which this review was initiated.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(I)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216.

Dated: June 15, 2005.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5-3211 Filed 6-20-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-485-803]

Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Romania

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 3, 2005, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published a notice of initiation and the preliminary results of its changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty finding on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate (“carbon steel plate”) from Romania in which we preliminarily determined that Mittal Steel Galati S.A. (“Mittal Steel”) is the successor-in-interest to the S.C. Ispat Sidex S.A. (“Sidex”). See *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Romania: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 22847 (May 3, 2005) (“*Preliminary Results*”). We gave interested parties the opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. We received no comments. Therefore, for these final results, the Department is adopting its preliminary determination that Mittal Steel is the successor-in-interest to Sidex.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 21, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patrick Edwards or Abdelali Elouaradia, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-8029 or (202) 482-1374, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 19, 1993, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on carbon steel plate from Romania. See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Romania*, 58 FR 44167 (August 19, 1993) (“*Order*”). On March 14, 2005, Mittal Steel submitted a letter stating that it is the successor-in-interest to Sidex and, as such, is entitled to receive the same antidumping duty treatment previously accorded to Sidex. See *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Romania: Notice of Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 12651 (March 15, 2005). In that same letter, Mittal Steel explained that on February 7, 2005, Sidex changed its corporate name to Mittal Steel, following the approval of the name change by Sidex’s General Meeting of Shareholders on January 10, 2005. Mittal provided record evidence indicating that the name change was unconditionally recorded and approved by the Trade Register Office of the Galati Tribunal and the National Office of the Trade Registry, a bureau of the Romanian Ministry of Justice, on February 7, 2005. In the March 14, 2005, letter, Mittal Steel also requested that the Department conduct an expedited changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on carbon steel plate from Romania pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act (“the Act”), as amended, and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii). Because the record evidence supporting Mittal Steel’s claim was sufficient, the Department found that an expedited review was practicable and, on May 3, 2005, issued a combined notice of initiation with the preliminary results. See *Preliminary Results*.

In its *Preliminary Results*, the Department provided the interested parties with an opportunity to comment or request a public hearing regarding the Department’s finding that Mittal Steel is the successor-in-interest to Sidex. No comments were submitted, nor was a public hearing requested.

Scope of the Order

For a complete description of the scope of the order, see *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Romania: Notice of Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 12651 (March 15, 2005).

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

For the reasons stated in the *Preliminary Results*, and because we received no comments to the contrary, we continue to find that Mittal Steel is the successor-in-interest to Sidex. We will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to apply the cash deposit rate determination in this changed circumstances review to all entries of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this changed circumstances review. See *Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 68 FR 25327 (May 12, 2003). The cash deposit rate shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review in which Mittal Steel participates.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.216.

Dated: June 13, 2005.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5-3216 Filed 6-20-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-900 and A-580-855]

Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People’s Republic of China and the Republic of Korea

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 21, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Catherine Bertrand, Carrie Blozy (China) or Mark Manning (Korea), AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3207, (202) 482-5403 and (202) 482-5253, respectively.

INITIATION OF INVESTIGATIONS

The Petitions

On May 3, 2005, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) received petitions on imports of diamond sawblades and parts thereof (“diamond sawblades”) from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) and the Republic of Korea (“Korea”) filed in proper form by the Diamond Sawblade Manufacturers’ Coalition (“Petitioner”) on behalf of the domestic industry and workers producing diamond sawblades. The period of investigation (“POI”) for the PRC is October 1, 2004, through March 31, 2005. The POI for Korea is April 1, 2004, through March 31, 2005.

In accordance with section 732(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), Petitioner alleged that imports of diamond sawblades from the PRC and Korea are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value within the meaning of section 731 of the Act, and that such imports are materially injuring and threaten to injure an industry in the United States.

Scope of Investigations

The products covered by these investigations are all finished circular sawblades, whether slotted or not, with a working part that is comprised of a diamond segment or segments, and parts thereof, regardless of specification or size, except as specifically excluded below. Within the scope of these investigations are semifinished diamond sawblades, including diamond sawblade cores and diamond sawblade segments. Diamond sawblade cores are circular steel plates, whether or not attached to