comment), date of submission, and the name of the electronic file on the diskette. The label should also include the following phrase "Disk Copy—Not an Original." Each diskette should contain only one party's pleadings, preferably in a single electronic file. In addition, commenters must send diskette copies to the Commission's copy contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY—B402, Washington, DC 20554.

This proceeding shall be treated as a "permit-but-disclose" proceeding in accordance with the Commission's *ex* parte rules. See 47 CFR 1.1200 and 1.1206. Persons making oral ex parte presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentations must contain summaries of the substance of the presentations and not merely a listing of the subjects discussed. More than a one or two sentence description of the views and arguments presented is generally required. See 47 CFR 1.1206(b). Other rules pertaining to oral and written ex parte presentations in permit-butdisclose proceedings are set forth in section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.1206(b).

Alternative formats (computer diskette, large print, audio recording and Braille) are available to persons with disabilities by contacting Brian Millin, of the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau, at (202) 418–7426, TTY (202) 418–7365, or email at bmillin@fcc.gov. This Public Notice can also be downloaded in Text and ASCII formats at: http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/dro.

Synopsis

Notice is hereby given that the states listed below have applied to the Commission for renewal of the certification of their State Telecommunications Relay Service (TRS) program pursuant to title IV of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), 47 U.S.C. 225 and the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 64.601-605. Current state certifications expire July 25, 2003. Applications for certification, covering the five-year period of July 26, 2003 to July 25, 2008, must demonstrate that the State TRS program complies with the ADA and the Commission's rules for the provision of TRS.

File No: TRS-17-02

Public Utility Commission of Texas State of Texas

State of Texas

File No: TRS-61-02

U.S. Virgin Islands Public Utilities Commission

U.S. Virgin Islands

Federal Communications Commission.

Margaret M. Egler,

Deputy Chief, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau.

[FR Doc. 03–5057 Filed 3–3–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 03-02]

XM International, Inc v. Brilliant Logistics Group, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment

XM International, Inc. ("XM") has filed a complaint against Brilliant Logistics Group, Inc. ("BLG"). XM states that it imports various commodities from the Far East, and that BLG is a licensed Ocean Transportation Intermediary ("OTI") and importer.

XM states that it has a service contract with COSCO Container Lines Company Limited ("COSCO"). XM contends that BLG's representatives asked XM to ship BLG cargo under its contract by claiming that the cargo belonged to XM or an XM subsidiary authorized under the contract. XM claims that BLG offered to pay it for this arrangement. XM rejected this proposal. XM contends that, notwithstanding its rejection, BLG surreptitiously shipped under XM's contract rates by using XM's contract number, and misrepresenting that it was XM's cargo rather than its own (XM advises that this practice is referred to as "code-loading" in the industry). XM alleges that BLG violated section 10(a)(1) of the Shipping Act of 1984 ("Shipping Act") by knowingly and willfully obtaining ocean transportation through an unfair device or means. XM states that it has been damaged because when COSCO became aware of these shipments, it increased the contract's rates and limited the space made available to XM, forcing it to ship on other shipping lines at higher rates. Also, XM contends it lost two customers due to the higher prices attributable to the increased freight charges.

XM asks that BLG be required to answer its charges, and that after hearing, an order be made commanding BLG to: Cease and desist from the alleged Shipping Act violations; establish and put in force such practices as the Commission determines to be lawful and reasonable; pay XM \$1,490,000 in reparations for the unlawful conduct, with interest and attorney's fees or such other sum as the Commission determines to be proper as an award of reparations; and such further order or orders as the Commission determines to be proper.

This proceeding has been assigned to the office of Administrative Law Judges. Hearing in this matter, if any is held, shall commence within the time limitations prescribed in 46 CFR 502.61, and only after consideration has been given by the parties and the presiding officer to the use of alternative forms of dispute resolution, such as those described in subpart U of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, 46 CFR 502.401—502.411.

The hearing, if any, shall include oral testimony and cross-examination in the discretion of the presiding officer only upon proper showing that there are genuine issues of material fact that cannot be resolved on the basis of sworn statements, affidavits, depositions, or other documents or that the nature of the matter in issue is such that an oral hearing and cross-examination are necessary for the development of an adequate record. Pursuant to the further terms of 46 CFR 502.61, the initial decision of the presiding officer in this proceeding shall be issued by February 24, 2004, and the final decision of the Commission shall be issued by June 23, 2004.

Bryant L. VanBrakle,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–4960 Filed 3–3–03; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 et seq.) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The application also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the