[FR Doc. 03–32126 Filed 12–30–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–C

NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION

Fee Rates

AGENCY: National Indian Gaming Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to 25 CFR 514.1(a)(3), that the National Indian Gaming Commission has adopted final annual fee rates of 0.00% for tier 1 and 0.0635% (.000635) for tier 2 for calendar year 2003. These rates shall apply to all assessable gross revenues from each gaming operation under the jurisdiction of the Commission. If a tribe has a certificate of self-regulation under 25 CFR part 518, the final fee rate on class II revenues for calendar year 2003 shall be one-half of the annual fee rate, which is 0.03175% (.0003175).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bobby Gordon, National Indian Gaming Commission, 1441 L Street, NW., Suite 9100, Washington, DC 20005; telephone 202/632–7003; fax 202/632–7066 (these are not toll-free numbers).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Indian Gaming Regulatory Act established the National Indian Gaming Commission which is charged with, among other things, regulating gaming on Indian lands.

The regulations of the Commission (25 CFR part 514), as amended, provide for a system of fee assessment and payment that is self-administered by gaming operations. Pursuant to those regulations, the Commission is required to adopt and communicate assessment rates; the gaming operations are required to apply those rates to their revenues, compute the fees to be paid, report the revenues, and remit the fees to the Commission on a quarterly basis.

The regulations of the Commission and the final rate being adopted today are effective for calendar year 2003. Therefore, all gaming operations within the jurisdiction of the Commission are required to self-administer the provisions of these regulations and report and pay any fees that are due to the Commission by December 31, 2003.

Gary Pechota,

Chief of Staff, National Indian Gaming Commission.

[FR Doc. 03–32125 Filed 12–30–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7565–01–M

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: National Labor Relations Board.

ACTION: Notice.

The National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under provisions of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

Title and Form Number: Supplemental Statement Application for Professional Position in the Office of the General Counsel; NLRB 4560.

Type of Request: New Collection. Number of Respondents: 500. Responses per Respondent: 1. Annual Responses: 500.

Average Burden per Response: .5 Hours.

Annual Burden Hours: 250 Hours. Needs and Uses: This requirement provides the collection of information from applicants applying for professional positions within the Office of the General Counsel. NLRB will use the information as a standard format that will substantially reduce the number of hours currently expended to review applications received for the positions of Attorneys and Field Examiners in the office of the General Counsel.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households.

SUMMARY: The notice is being republished to provide an additional (30) day comment period. The original notice was published on June 27, 2003 (3 FR 16303).

The National Labor Relations Board (NLRB), Office of the General Counsel, in accordance with section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, plans to request approval for the use of NLRB Form 4560, Supplemental Statement Application for Professional Position in the Office of the General Counsel. Using this form will substantially reduce the number of hours currently expended to review applications received for the positions of attorneys and field examiners in the office of the General Counsel.

Currently, applications are received in various forms, *e.g.*, SF–171, OF–612, and resumes.

As a result, required information is not easily obtained and requested information necessary to the Agency's review may be missing altogether. By providing a standard format, all information necessary to the Agency's

review would be addressed and in a consistent format that would facilitate and streamline that review.

Application forms, such as the SF–171 and OF–612, and resumes do not address a very important question that is included on the Supplemental Statement. Specifically, information concerning a candidate that would create an actual or apparent conflict of interest for assignment to a particular office because of a family and/or personal relationship with someone outside the NLRB who regularly does business with that particular NLRB office.

Receiving this information as part of the application package would prevent assignments to offices where these situations may exist.

DATES: Comments should be received on or before January 30, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations on the information collection should be sent to the National Labor Relations Board, Library and Administrative Services Branch, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20570–0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tommie Gregg, Sr., Records Management and Anthony Wonkovich, Human Resources, at address shown above; by telephone at (202) 273–2833, (202) 237–3982; or by facsimile at (202) 273–4286.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Comment is requested on; (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including, whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents. including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dated: December 22, 2003. By Direction of the Board.

Lester A. Heltzer,

 $\label{lem:exact of the exact of the exact$

[FR Doc. 03–32127 Filed 12–30–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7545–01–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Notice of Intent To Seek Approval To Carry Out a New Information Collection

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) is announcing plans to request clearance of this collection. In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13), we are providing opportunity for public comment on this action. After obtaining and considering public comment, NSF will prepare the submission requesting that OMB approve clearance of this collection for no longer than 1 year.

DATES: Written comments on this notice must be received by March 1, 2004 to be assured of consideration. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Suzanne H. Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 295, Arlington, Virginia 22230; telephone (703) 292–7556; or send email to splimpto@nsf.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday. You also may obtain a copy of the data collection instrument and instructions from Ms. Plimpton.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title of Collection: Evaluation of NSF Support for Undergraduate Research Opportunities (URO).

OMB Number: 3145–NEW. Expiration Date of Approval: Not applicable.

Type of Request: Intent to seek approval to carry out a new information collection for one year.

Abstract: Proposed Project: The Directorate for Engineering (ENG) initiated the Research Experiences for Teachers (RET) Supplements activity in FY 2001 to be add-ons to active awards funded by ENG programs. The intent was to build on the popular NSF-wide Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU) Supplements activity by providing opportunities for K-12 teachers to conduct hands-on experiences in the laboratories/facilities of ENG-funded researchers interested in participating in RET. Typically the supplements supported one or two teachers. The assumption was that the teachers could also benefit from involvement in research and direct exposure to the scientific method and transfer what they learned into classroom activities. Since then, ENG has funded RET Site awards, which are similar to REU Sites in that NSF awards

fund groups of teachers to work with faculty members at the same institution and to engage in group activities related to the research. In 2003, community college faculty became eligible as participants in RET awards.

This study of RET will include participants in RET Supplement and Site awards from 2001-2003 funded by the Division of Engineering Education and Centers, the Division of Bioengineering and Environmental Systems, and the Division of Design, Manufacture, and Industrial Innovation. The study will examine whether the scale and programmatic characteristics of the larger group awards, such as those funded as RET Sites, bring about different outcomes and impacts on the teachers and their subsequent instructional and professional activities, compared with those resulting from involvement in the typical small-scale RET Supplement. NSF wishes to know how RET experiences have affected participating teachers' subsequent teaching techniques and content modifications made as a result of teachers' RET activities. In addition, outcomes and impacts beyond the teachers' own classrooms from the research experiences, e.g., follow-up knowledge transfer activities, any formal partnerships formed between the awardee and the teachers' school system/district, or community college, etc. should also be examined. The collection will be done on the World Wide Web.

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 40 minutes per response.

Respondents: Individuals. Estimated Number of Responses per Form: 645.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 430 hours—645 respondents at 40 minutes per response. Frequency of Response: One time.

Comments

Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated,

electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dated: December 24, 2003.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 03–32187 Filed 12–30–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket: 030-19913]

Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for License Amendment for Enviro-Test Laboratories, Casper, WY

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert J. Evans, Senior Health Physicist, Fuel Cycle and Decommissioning Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region IV Office, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Arlington, Texas 76011. Telephone: (817) 860–8234; fax number: (817) 860–8188; e-mail rje@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering the approval of Enviro-Test Laboratories' (the licensee's) decommissioning plan for its former laboratory facility located in Casper, Wyoming, and terminating NRC Materials License 49-21194-01. Enviro-Test Laboratories (the licensee) submitted a decommissioning plan (DP) to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) by letter dated October 1, 2002. The licensee subsequently submitted supplemental information by letters dated June 2 and July 18, 2003. The licensee's request for the proposed action was previously noticed in the Federal Register on June 24, 2003 (68 FR 37572), with a notice of an opportunity to request a hearing and an opportunity to provide comments on the action and its environmental impacts. No requests for hearing or comments were received.

The licensee requested that its former laboratory in Casper, Wyoming, be released for unrestricted use. The NRC has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) in support of these actions in accordance with the