

fair market value, including the appropriate date for such determination, must be set forth in the written settlement agreement.

(e) Nothing in section III (c) shall be construed to preclude the exemption from applying to a settlement that includes a written agreement to: (1) Make future contributions; (2) adopt amendments to the plan; or (3) provide additional employee benefits.

(f) The fiduciary acting on behalf of the plan has acknowledged in writing that it is a fiduciary with respect to the settlement of the litigation on behalf the plan.

(g) The plan fiduciary maintains or causes to be maintained for a period of six years the records necessary to enable the persons described below in paragraph (h) to determine whether the conditions of this exemption have been met, including documents evidencing the steps taken to satisfy sections II (b), such as correspondence with attorneys or experts consulted in order to evaluate the plan's claims, except that:

(1) if the records necessary to enable the persons described in paragraph (h) to determine whether the conditions of the exemption have been met are lost or destroyed, due to circumstances beyond the control of the plan fiduciary, then no prohibited transaction will be considered to have occurred solely on the basis of the unavailability of those records; and

(2) No party in interest, other than the plan fiduciary responsible for record-keeping, shall be subject to the civil penalty that may be assessed under section 502(i) of the Act or to the taxes imposed by section 4975(a) and (b) of the Code if the records are not maintained or are not available for examination as required by paragraph (h) below;

(h)(1) Except as provided below in paragraph (h)(2) and notwithstanding any provisions of section 504(a)(2) and (b) of the Act, the records referred to in paragraph (g) are unconditionally available at their customary location for examination during normal business hours by—

(A) any duly authorized employee or representative of the Department or the Internal Revenue Service;

(B) any fiduciary of the plan or any duly authorized employee or representative of such fiduciary;

(C) any contributing employer and any employee organization whose members are covered by the plan, or any authorized employee or representative of these entities; or

(D) any participant or beneficiary of the plan or the duly authorized

employee or representative of such participant or beneficiary.

(2) None of the persons described in paragraph (h)(1)(B)–(D) shall be authorized to examine trade secrets or commercial or financial information which is privileged or confidential.

#### Section III. Definition

For purposes of this exemption, the terms “employee benefit plan” and “plan” refer to an employee benefit plan described in section 3(3) of ERISA and/or a plan described in section 4975(e)(1) of the Code.

Signed at Washington, DC this 24th of December, 2003.

**Ivan L. Strasfeld,**

*Director, Office of Exemption Determinations, Employee Benefits Security Administration, U.S. Department of Labor.*

[FR Doc. 03–32191 Filed 12–30–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4520–29–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–53,551]

#### Allegheny Ludlum Corporation, Brackenridge Works, Brackenridge, PA

Notice of Termination of Investigation Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on November 17, 2003 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Allegheny Ludlum Corporation, Brackenridge Works, Brackenridge, Pennsylvania.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an earlier petition instituted on November 14, 2003 (TA–W–53,538) that is the subject of an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued. Further investigation in this case would duplicate efforts and serve no purpose; therefore the investigation under this petition has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 19th day of November, 2003.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03–31982 Filed 12–30–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–30–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–42,222 and TA–W–42,222A]

#### EHV–Weidmann Industries, Inc., a Subsidiary of Wicor Americas, St. Johnsbury, Vermont; and Weidmann Systems International, Inc., St. Johnsbury, Vermont; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on November 25, 2002, applicable to workers of EHV–Weidmann Industries, Inc., a subsidiary of Wicor Americas, St. Johnsbury, Vermont. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 23, 2002 (67 FR 78258).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of electrical insulation boards and components.

Information from the company shows that worker separations occurred at Weidmann Systems International, St. Johnsbury, Vermont a sister company of the subject firm. Workers at Weidmann Systems International, Inc. provide sales and customer services supporting the production of electrical insulation boards and components at the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending the certification to include workers of Weidmann Systems International, Inc., St. Johnsbury, Vermont.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of EHV–Weidmann Industries, Inc., a subsidiary of Wicor Americas, St. Johnsbury, Vermont, who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–42,222 is hereby issued as follows:

“All workers of EHV–Weidmann Industries, Inc., a subsidiary of Wicor Americas, St. Johnsbury, Vermont (TA–W–42,222) and Weidmann Systems International, Inc. St. Johnsbury, Vermont (TA–W–42,222A), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 17, 2001, through November 25, 2004, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.”

Signed at Washington, DC this 25th day of November 2003.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-31991 Filed 12-30-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,501]

#### Fishing Vessel (F/V) Exception, Homer, Alaska; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on November 12, 2003 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers of F/V Exception, Homer, Alaska.

The petition regarding the investigation has been deemed invalid. In order to establish a valid worker group, there must be at least three full-time workers employed at some point during the period under investigation. Workers of the group subject to this investigation did not meet this threshold level of employment. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 17th day of November 2003.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-31983 Filed 12-30-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,406]

#### Fishing Vessel (F/V) Patricia Diann, Cordova, Alaska; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on November 3, 2003, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers of Fishing Vessel (F/V) Patricia Diann, Cordova, Alaska.

The investigation revealed that the subject firm did not separate or threaten to separate a significant number or proportion of workers as required by section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. Significant number or proportion of the

workers means that at least three workers in a firm with a workforce of fewer than 50 workers would have to be affected. Separations by the subject firm did not meet this threshold level; consequently the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 18th day of November 2003.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-31985 Filed 12-30-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,941A]

#### Harting, Inc. of North America, Elgin, Illinois; Including an Employee of Harting, Inc. of North America, Located in California; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on March 5, 2003, applicable to workers of Harting, Inc. of North America, Elgin, Illinois. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 19, 2003 (68 FR 13332).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information shows that a worker was separated involving an employee of the Elgin, Illinois facility of Harting, Inc. of North America located in California. This employee provided technical support services for the production of cable assemblies at an affiliated facility Harting Manufacturing, Inc., Elgin, Illinois.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include an employee of the Elgin, Illinois facility of Harting, Inc. of North American, located in California.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Harting, Inc. of North America who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-50,941A is hereby issued as follows:

- "All workers of Harting, Inc. of North America, Elgin, Illinois (TA-W-50,941A), including employees of Harting, Inc. of North America, Elgin, Illinois, located in California

(TA-W-50,834B), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 14, 2002, through March 5, 2005, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC this 21st day of November 2003.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-31990 Filed 12-30-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,250]

#### L.S. Starrett Company, Inc., Alum Bank, Pennsylvania; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on October 24, 2003 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers of L.S. Starrett Company, Inc., Alum Bank, Pennsylvania.

The investigation revealed that the subject firm did not separate or threaten to separate a significant number or proportion of workers as required by section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. Significant number or proportion of the workers means that at least three workers in a firm with a workforce of fewer than 50 workers would have to be affected. Separations by the subject firm did not meet this threshold level; consequently the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 17th day of November 2003.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-31987 Filed 12-30-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-52,626]

#### Paper Converting Machine Company, Green Bay, Wisconsin; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and