

this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of October, 2003.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-29669 Filed 11-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,069]

#### Fishing Vessel (F/V) Family Pride, Kodiak, AK; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on September 30, 2003, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers of F/V Joseph Booney, Cordova, Alaska. Workers at the subject firm produce frozen salmon.

The Department of Labor issued negative determinations applicable to the petitioning group of workers on August 27, 2003 (TA-W-52,462). No new information or change in circumstances is evident which would result in a reversal of the Department's previous determination. Consequently, further investigation would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of October, 2003.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-29670 Filed 11-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,068]

#### Fishing Vessel (F/V) Aquarius, Kodiak, AK; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on October 1, 2003, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers of Fishing Vessel (F/V) Aquarius, Kodiak, Alaska.

The investigation revealed that the subject firm did not separate or threaten

to separate a significant number or proportion of workers as required by section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. Significant number or proportion of the workers means that at least three workers in a firm with a workforce of fewer than 50 workers would have to be affected. Separations by the subject firm did not meet this threshold level; consequently the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of October, 2003.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-29671 Filed 11-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,066]

#### Fishing Vessel (F/V) Deborah Renee, Clarkston, WA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on October 1, 2003, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers of F/V Deborah Renee, Clarkston, Washington.

The investigation revealed that the subject firm did not separate or threaten to separate a significant number or proportion of workers as required by section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. Significant number or proportion of the workers means that at least three workers in a firm with a workforce of fewer than 50 workers would have to be affected. Separations by the subject firm did not meet this threshold level; consequently the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of October, 2003.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-29672 Filed 11-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,037; TA-W-53,037A]

#### Fishing Vessel (F/V) Big Dog, F/V Miss Julie, Palmer, Alaska; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on October 1, 2003, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers of F/V Big Dog, Palmer, Alaska (TA-W-53,037) and F/V Miss Julie, Palmer, Alaska (TA-W-53,037A).

The investigation revealed that the subject firm did not separate or threaten to separate a significant number or proportion of workers as required by section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. Significant number or proportion of the workers means that at least three workers in a firm with a workforce of fewer than 50 workers would have to be affected. Separations by the subject firm did not meet this threshold level; consequently the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of October, 2003.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-29674 Filed 11-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,342]

#### Halmode Apparel, Inc., Roanoke, Virginia; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on October 24, 2003, in response to a worker petition filed on behalf of workers at Halmode Apparel, Inc., Roanoke, Virginia.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an earlier petition instituted on October 6, 2003 (TA-W-53,156), that is the subject of an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued. Further investigation in this case would serve no purpose. Consequently, the investigation under this petition has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 5th day of November, 2003.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-29677 Filed 11-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,336]

#### Henredon Furniture, Industries, Spruce Pine, NC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on October 24, 2003, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Henredon Furniture, Industries, Spruce Pine, North Carolina (TA-W-53,336).

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 18th day of November, 2003.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-29667 Filed 11-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,057]

#### Lucent Technologies, Phoenix, AZ; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on September 30, 2003, in response to a worker petition filed on behalf of workers at Lucent Technologies, Phoenix, Arizona.

This investigation has revealed, through the records of the State Agency, that none of the petitioners were employed by Lucent Technologies. They were employed, and released by, a predecessor firm at the same location, AG Communications Systems.

The Department issued a negative determination applicable to the workers of AG Communications Systems, Phoenix, Arizona, on September 2, 2003 (TA-W-53,057).

Consequently, further investigation would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 18th day of November, 2003.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-29673 Filed 11-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, (19 U.S.C. 2273), the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) number and alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) by (TA-W) number issued during the periods of October and November 2003.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for directly-impacted (primary) worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. the sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

C. increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

II. Section (a) (2) (B) both of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to a foreign county of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and

C. One of the following must be satisfied:

1. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles is a

party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

2. the country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles to a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preference Act, African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act; or

3. there has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with articles which are or were produced by such firm or subdivision.

Also, in order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as an adversely affected secondary group to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(b) of the Act must be met.

(1) Significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) The workers' firm (or subdivision) is a supplier or downstream producer to a firm (or subdivision) that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance benefits and such supply or production is related to the article that was the basis for such certification; and

(3) either—

(A) the workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied for the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; or

(B) a loss or business by the workers' firm with the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

#### Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (Increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B) (No shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W-53,014; *Pulaski Furniture Corp., Martinsville, VA*

TA-W-53,030; *Dayton Superior Corp., Miamisburg, OH*

TA-W-52,974; *Corning Photonics Technology, Corning Lasertron Div., a subsidiary of Corning, Inc., Bedford, MA*

TA-W-53,094; *Eastman Machine Co., Buffalo, NY*

TA-W-53,101; *The Heil Co., d/b/a Heil Trailer International, a wholly owned subsidiary of Dover Corp., Lancaster, PA*

TA-W-53,136; *Edgerton Forge, Inc., a subsidiary of Avis Industrial Corp., Edgerton, OH*