to the plan within the first five plan years beginning after the sale.

Additionally, section 4204(b)(1) provides that if a sale of assets is covered by section 4204, the purchaser assumes by operation of law the contribution record of the seller for the plan year in which the sale occurred and the preceding four plan years.

Section 4204(c) of ERISA authorizes the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("PBGC") to grant individual or class variances or exemptions from the purchaser's bond/ escrow requirement of section 4204(a)(1)(B) when warranted. The legislative history of section 4204 indicates a Congressional intent that the sales rules be administered in a manner that assures protection of the plan with the least practicable intrusion into normal business transactions. Senate Committee on Labor and Human Resources, 96th Cong., 2nd Sess., S.1076, The Multiemployer Pension Plan Amendments Act of 1980: Summary and Analysis of Considerations 16 (Comm. Print, April 1980); 128 Cong. Rec. S10117 (July 29, 1980). The granting of an exemption or variance from the bond/escrow requirement does not constitute a finding by the PBGC that a particular transaction satisfies the other requirements of section 4204(a)(1).

Under the PBGC's regulation on variances for sales of assets (29 CFR part 4204), a request for a variance or waiver of the bond/escrow requirement under any of the tests established in the regulation (sections 4204.12 & 4204.13) is to be made to the plan in question. The PBGC will consider waiver requests only when the request is not based on satisfaction of one of the four regulatory tests or when the parties assert that the financial information necessary to show satisfaction of one of the regulatory tests is privileged or confidential financial information within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4) (Freedom of Information Act).

Under section 4204.22 of the regulation, the PBGC shall approve a request for a variance or exemption if it determines that approval of the request is warranted, in that it-

- (1) Would more effectively or equitably carry out the purposes of Title IV of the Act; and
- (2) Would not significantly increase the risk of financial loss to the plan.

Section 4204(c) of ERISA and section 4204.22(b) of the regulation require the PBGC to publish a notice of the pendency of a request for a variance or exemption in the Federal Register, and to provide interested parties with an

opportunity to comment on the proposed variance or exemption.

## The Request

The PBGC has received a request from the Florida Marlins, L.P. (formerly known as Montreal Expos, L.P.) (the "Buyer") for an exemption from the bond/escrow requirement of section 4204(a)(1)(B) with respect to its purchase of the Florida Marlins Baseball Team from the F.M.B.C. II, L.L.C. (the "Seller") on February 15, 2002. In the request, the Buyer represents among other things that:

- 1. The Seller was obligated to contribute to the Major League Baseball Players Benefit Benefit Plan (the "Plan") for certain employees of the sold operations.
- 2. The Buyer has ageed to assume the obligation to contribute to the Plan for substantially the same number of contribution base units as the seller.
- 3. The Seller has agreed to be secondarily liable for any withdrawal liability it would have had with respect to the sold operations (if not for section 4204) should the Buyer withdraw from the Fund within the five plan years following the sale and fail to pay its withdrawal liability.
- 4. The estimated amount of the unfunded vested benefits allocated to the seller with respect to the operations subject to the sale could be as high as \$11,200,000.
- 5. The amount of the bond/escrow established under section 4204(a)(1)(B) is \$1,254,904.
- 6. The major league clubs have established the Major League Central Fund (the "Central Fund") pursuant to the Major League Constitution. Under this agreement, contributions to the plan for all participating employers are paid by the Office of the Commissioner of Baseball from the Central Fund on behalf of each participating employer in satisfaction of the employer's pension liability under the Plan's funding agreement. The monies in the Central Fund are derived directly from (i) gate receipts from All-Star games; (ii) radio and television revenue from World Series, League Championship Series, Division Series, All-Star Games, and (iii) certain other radio and television revenue, including revenues foreign broadcasts from regular, spring training and exhibition games.
- 7. In support of the waiver request, the requester asserts that:
- 'The Plan is funded directly from Revenues which are paid from the Central Fund directly to the Plan without passing through the hands of any of the clubs. Therefore, the Plan enjoys a substantial degree of security

with respect to contributions on behalf of the clubs. A change in ownership of a club does not affect the obligation of the Central Fund to fund the Plan out of the Revenue. As such, approval of this exemption request would not significantly increase the risk of financial loss to the Plan.

8. During the 2000 Plan year, approximately \$29.3 million was paid into the Plan on behalf of all major

league clubs.

9. A complete copy of the request was sent to the Plan and to the Major League Baseball Players Association by certified mail, return receipt requested.

#### Comments

All interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the pending exemption request to the above address. All comments will be made a part of the record. The PBGC will make the comments received available on its Web site, http://www.pbgc.gov. Copies of the comments and the nonconfidential portions of the request may be obtained by writing the PBGC's Communications and Public Affairs Department (CPAD) at Suite 240 at the above address or by visiting or calling CPAD during normal business hours (202-325-4040).

Issued at Washington, DC, on this 2nd day of July, 2003.

## Steven A. Kandarian,

Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 03-17350 Filed 7-8-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7708-01-P

## **OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT**

**Proposed Collection: Comment** Request for Review of a Revised Information Collection: SF 3104 and SF 3104B

**AGENCY:** Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for review of a revised information collection. SF 3104, Application for Death Benefits/Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS), is used by persons applying for death benefits which may be payable under FERS because of the death of an employee, former employee, or retiree who was covered by FERS at the time

of his/her death or separation from Federal Service. SF 3104B, Documentation and Elections in Support of Application for Death Benefits when Deceased was an Employee at the Time of Death, is used by applicants for death benefits under FERS if the deceased was a Federal employee at the time of death.

Comments are particularly invited on:

- Whether this information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of OPM, and whether it will have practical utility;
- Whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; and
- Ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

It is estimated that approximately 4,873 SF 3104s will be processed annually. This form requires approximately 60 minutes to complete. An annual burden of 4,873 hours is estimated. Approximately 3,188 SF 3104Bs are expected to be processed annually. It is estimated that the form requires approximately 60 minutes to complete. An annual burden of 3,188 hours is estimated. The total annual burden is 8,061.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on (202) 606– 8358, FAX (202) 418–3251 or via E-mail to *mbtoomey@opm.gov*. Please include a mailing address with your request.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposal should be received within 60 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to Ronald W. Melton, Chief, Operations Support Group, Center for Retirement and Insurance Services, Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 3425, Washington, DC 20415–3660.

For information regarding administrative coordination contact: Cyrus S. Benson, Team Leader, Publications Team, RIS Support Services, (202) 606–0623.

Office of Personnel Management.

#### Kay Coles James,

Director.

[FR Doc. 03–17382 Filed 7–8–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-50-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-48115; File No. SR-CBOE-2003-24]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto by the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated to Interpret Rules Relating to Margin Requirements for Certain Complex Options Spreads on a Pilot Basis

July 1, 2003.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on June 8, 2003, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. On June 26, 2003, the CBOE filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.<sup>3</sup> The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

## I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The CBOE proposes to issue a Regulatory Circular to its membership setting forth a clarifying interpretation to CBOE Rule 12.3, Margin Requirements, relating to margin requirements for certain complex option spreads. Below is the text of the proposed Regulatory Circular. Additions are *italicized*.

To: Member Organizations From: Division of Regulatory Services Date: \_\_\_\_\_, 2003 Subject: Margin Requirements for Certain Complex Spreads Exchange: James Adams (312) 786– 7718

Contacts: Richard Lewandowski (312) 786–7183

#### KEY POINTS

- Certain complex option spreads (specified below) are the equivalent of combining two or more spreads that are currently recognized in the margin rules of the Chicago Board Options Exchange (the "Exchange" or "CBOE").
- Because these complex spreads can be shown to equate to aggregations of two or more currently recognized spreads, current margin rules are deemed to provide a margin requirement for each complex spread in that the rules provide a margin requirement for each spread in the equivalent aggregation.
- Member organizations may require margin for these complex spreads of not less than the sum of the margin required on each spread in the equivalent aggregation.
- The margin requirements set forth in this Regulatory Circular will be in effect as a pilot until (Insert date that is one (1) year from the date of approval of the Regulatory Circular by the Commission).

### Discussion

It is known that certain complex spread configurations are the net result of combining two or more spread strategies that are currently recognized in the Exchange's margin rules. Specific complex spread configurations are listed below, along with the currently recognized spreads to which they can be traced. The expiration months, exercise prices, interval between exercise prices, and option premiums used in each configuration are for illustration only. However, as illustrated, the expiration months and sequence of the exercise prices must fit the same pattern, and the intervals between the exercise prices must be equal. Note that netting of contracts in option series common to each of the currently recognized spreads in an aggregation reduces it to the complex spread.

	Feb 45 @ .5	Feb 50 @ 1	Feb 55 @ 2	Feb 45 @ 16.5	Feb 50 @ 12	Feb 55 @ 8	Feb 60 @ 6	Feb 65 @ 5	Apr 60 @ 7
Long Butterfly Long Butterfly					1	-2 1	1 -2	1	
Net—Configuration I					1	<b>– 1</b>	- 1	1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Amendment No. 1 provides that the Regulatory Circular will be in effect as a one-year pilot from the date of approval of the proposed rule change.