

for 7 miles, then turn west and be routed for 1.12 miles, cross the Chattahoochee River, and traverse another mile to connect to the Yellowdirt Substation.

The transmission line conductors and static wire would be supported by concrete, single-pole, self-supporting and guyed structures. Georgia Transmission Corporation will need to purchase and clear an additional 75-feet of easement for 7 miles where the proposed transmission line will parallel Georgia Power Company's existing 500 kV transmission line and 100-feet of easement for 2.12 miles where the transmission line is cross country.

Copies of the Finding of No Significant Impact are available from RUS at the address provided herein or from Ms. Gayle Houston of Georgia Transmission Corporation, 2100 East Exchange Place, Tucker, Georgia 30085-2088 telephone (770) 270-7748. Ms. Houston's e-mail address is gayle.houston@gatrans.com

Dated: December 12, 2002.

Alfred Rodgers,

Acting Assistant Administrator, Electric Program, Rural Utilities Service.

[FR Doc. 03-712 Filed 1-13-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-15-P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the South Dakota Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a planning meeting with briefing of the South Dakota Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 1 p.m. and adjourn at 4 p.m. on Wednesday, February 12, 2003, at the Holiday Inn City Centre, 100 West 8th Street, Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57104. The purpose of the planning meeting with briefing is to review the status of research on criminal justice issues affecting Native Americans and plan future activities.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact John Dulles, Director of the Rocky Mountain Regional Office, 303-866-1040 (TDD 303-866-1049). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, January 6, 2003.

Ivy L. Davis,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.

[FR Doc. 03-693 Filed 1-13-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-802]

Gray Portland Cement and Clinker From Mexico; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On September 10, 2002, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and clinker from Mexico. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter, CEMEX, S.A. de C.V., and its affiliate, GCC Cemento, S.A. de C.V. The period of review is August 1, 2000, through July 31, 2001.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margin is listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 14, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hermes Pinilla or Brian Ellman, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3477 or (202) 482-4852, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 10, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and clinker from Mexico. See *Preliminary Results and Rescission in Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Gray Portland*

Cement and Clinker From Mexico, 67 FR 57379 (September 10, 2002) (*Preliminary Results*).

We invited parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. In October 2001, we received case and rebuttal briefs from the petitioner, the Southern Tier Cement Committee, and from the respondents, CEMEX, S.A. de C.V. (CEMEX), and GCC Cemento, S.A. de C.V. (GCC). The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of Review

The products covered by this review include gray portland cement and clinker. Gray portland cement is a hydraulic cement and the primary component of concrete. Clinker, an intermediate material product produced when manufacturing cement, has no use other than being ground into finished cement. Gray portland cement is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item number 2523.29 and cement clinker is currently classifiable under HTS item number 2523.10. Gray portland cement has also been entered under HTS item number 2523.90 as "other hydraulic cements." The HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The Department's written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product coverage.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review, and to which we have responded, are listed in the Appendix to this notice and addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memorandum) from Susan Kubbach, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, to Faryar Shirzad, Assistant Secretary, dated January 8, 2003, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The Decision Memorandum is on file in Import Administration's Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Department of Commerce Building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly from the Internet at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have corrected certain programming and clerical errors in our preliminary results, where applicable. These changes are discussed in the