## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application 04–14–C–00–SJC To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Norman Y. Mineta San Jose International Airport, San Jose, CA

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Norman Y. Mineta San Jose International Airport under the provisions of the 49 U.S.C. 40117 and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). **DATES:** Comments must be received on or before December 12, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261, or San Francisco Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210, Burlingame, CA 90410–1303.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Ralph G. Tonseth, Director of Aviation, city of San Jose, at the following address: 1732 N. First Street, Suite 600, San Jose, CA 95112. Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the city of San Jose under § 158.23 of Part 158.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Maryls Lingsch, Airports Program Analyst, San Francisco Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210, Burlingame, CA 94010–1303, Telephone: (650) 876–2806. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Norman Y. Mineta San Jose International Airport under the provisions of the 49 U.S.C. 40117 and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On October 30, 2003, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use a PFC submitted by the city of San Jose was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will

approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than January 29, 2004.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

Proposed charge effective date: August 1, 2014.

Proposed charge expiration date: September 1, 2017.

Level of proposed PFC: \$4.50. Total estimated PFC revenue: \$97.197,000.

Brief description of the proposed project: Taxiway Y Reconstruction.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Nonscheduled/ on-demand air carriers filling FAA Form 1800–31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT and at the FAA Regional Airports Division located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the city of San Jose.

Issued in Lawndale, California, on October 31, 2003.

### Ellsworth L. Chan,

Acting Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 03–28265 Filed 11–10–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

Federal Aviation Administration [Policy Statement No. ANE-2002-35.15-R0

## Policy for Propeller Safety Analysis

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; policy statement.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces the availability of policy for propeller safety analysis.

**DATES:** The FAA issued policy statement number ANE–2002–35.15–R0 on October 30, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jay Turnberg, FAA, Engine and Propeller Standards Staff, NAE–110, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803; e-mail: *jay.turnberg@faa.gov*; telephone: (781) 238–7116; fax: (781) 238–7199. The policy statement is available on the Internet at the following address: <a href="http://www.airweb.faa.gov/rgl">http://www.airweb.faa.gov/rgl</a>.

If you do not have access to the Internet, you may request a copy of the policy by contacting the individual listed in this section.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA published a notice in the **Federal Register** on November 21, 2002 (67 FR 70295) to announce the availability of the proposed policy and invite interested parties to comment.

## **Background**

The intent of this policy is to provide guidance for conducting a propeller safety analysis. Although 14 CFR part 35 does not explicitly require a safety analysis, safety analyses are frequently conducted to support part 35 requirements, special conditions, and aircraft manufacture certification requirements. This policy does not establish new requirements.

(Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701–44702, 44704.)

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on October 30, 2003.

### Francis A. Favara,

Acting Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 03–28264 Filed 11–10–03; 8:45 am]

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## Research and Special Programs Administration

[Docket RSPA-98-4957]

# Extension of Existing Information Collection: Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for public comments.

SUMMARY: This notice requests public participation in the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval process regarding an extension of an existing RSPA collection of information. RSPA intends to request OMB approval of information collection 2137–0596, National Pipeline Mapping Program under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and 5 CFR part 1320.

**DATES:** Comments on this notice must be received within 60 days of the publication date of this notice to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to send comments in duplicate to the Dockets Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Dockets Facility, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001 or e-mail to http://

dms.dot.gov. Please identify the docket and notice numbers shown in the heading of this notice.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marvin Fell, (202) 366–6205, to ask questions about this notice; or write by e-mail to *marvin.fell@rspa.dot.gov*.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* National Pipeline Mapping System Program.

*Type of Request:* Extension of existing information collection.

Abstract: The Department of Transportation (DOT), along with other Federal and State agencies, has been working side by side with natural gas and hazardous liquid operators to develop a national pipeline mapping system (NPMS). This system depicts and provides data on the entire United States natural gas transmission and hazardous liquid pipeline system operating in the United States. The Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002 promulgated on December 17, 2002, requires that all transmission pipeline operators provide maps of their pipelines. Additionally, it requires updates when ownership or operation of these lines change.

Estimate of Burden: 1 hour per mile. Respondents: Gas transmission and hazardous liquid operators.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 900.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 157,112 hours.

This document can be reviewed between 10 A.M.—5 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays, at the Dockets Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Room PL–401, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590.

Comments are invited on: (a) The need for the proposed collection of information for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques.

All timely written comments to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also be available to the public in the docket.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 29, 2003.

### Stacey Gerard,

Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety. [FR Doc. 03–28327 Filed 11–10–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–60–P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Research and Special Programs Administration

Pipeline Safety: Corrosion Threat to Newly Constructed Gas Transmission and Hazardous Liquid Pipelines

**AGENCY:** Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice; issuance of advisory bulletin.

SUMMARY: RSPA's Office of Pipeline Safety (OPS) is issuing this advisory bulletin to owners and operators of natural gas and hazardous liquid pipelines to consider the threat from external corrosion during and immediately after construction of new steel pipelines or pipeline segments. Operators are strongly encouraged to determine whether new pipelines are susceptible to interference and damage from stray electrical currents. Operators should carefully monitor and take action to mitigate any detrimental effects.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard Huriaux, (202) 366–4565; or by e-mail, richard.huriaux@rspa.dot.gov. This document can be viewed at the OPS Home page at http://ops.dot.gov. General information about the RSPA/OPS programs may be obtained by accessing RSPA's Home page at http://rspa.dot.gov.

## I. Advisory Bulletin (ADB-03-06)

*To:* Owners and Operators of Gas Transmission and Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Systems.

Subject: Corrosion Threat to Newly Constructed Gas Transmission and Hazardous Liquid Pipelines.

Purpose: To advise owners and operators of natural gas transmission and hazardous liquid pipelines to consider external corrosion as a possible safety risk to newly constructed pipelines and to identify and remediate the detrimental effects of stray currents during and after construction.

Advisory: Each operator of a natural gas transmission or hazardous liquid pipeline should determine whether new steel pipelines are susceptible to detrimental effects from stray electrical currents. Based on this evaluation, an operator should carefully monitor and

take action to mitigate detrimental effects. The operator should give special attention to a new pipeline's physical location, particularly a location that may subject the new pipeline to stray currents from other underground facilities, including other pipelines, and induced currents from electrical transmission lines, whether aboveground or underground. Operators are strongly encouraged to review their corrosion control programs and to have qualified corrosion personnel present during construction to identify, mitigate, and monitor any detrimental stray currents that might damage new pipelines.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# II. Background

This action follows the discovery of substantial external corrosion on a newly constructed gas transmission pipeline. The pipeline had been in service a little over two years when this unexpected corrosion was revealed by a high-resolution, inline inspection tool. The pipe wall pitting was consistent with that caused by underground stray electrical current before a cathodic protection system is installed. In some isolated areas, the pipeline exhibited more than 50% wall loss. Corrosion due to stray current is most often found on pipelines that cross other underground structures (such as other pipelines) or that follow overhead electric transmission lines.

Pipelines are often routed along common use right-of-ways. This presents complicated corrosion scenarios that must be addressed by corrosion engineers. In some instances, the common right-of-way includes high voltage power lines that can induce alternating current on a new pipeline. This can result in significant corrosion damage to the pipeline in a short period. In other instances, the common right-ofway will cross or parallel foreign pipelines. This requires consideration of the effects of electrical interference from foreign pipeline cathodic protection systems, both on the new pipeline and on the existing foreign pipeline.

Corrosion control on gas transmission and hazardous liquid pipelines is addressed in the Federal Pipeline Safety Regulations at 49 CFR part 192, subpart I and part 195, subpart H. Although 49 CFR 192.455(a)(2) and 195.563(a) state that a cathodic protection system must be installed and placed in operation within one year after completion of construction, operators are encouraged to have qualified corrosion personnel identify, mitigate, and monitor any