

rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 351.92 percent; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

#### Notification of Interested Parties

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under section 351.402(f)(2) of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 351.305(a)(3) of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 19, 2003.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-13263 Filed 5-27-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-825]

#### Sebacic Acid From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Intent Not To Revoke the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of changed circumstances antidumping

duty administrative review and intent not to revoke antidumping duty order.

**SUMMARY:** On March 27, 2003, the Department published a notice of preliminary results of changed circumstances review and preliminarily found that there was no reasonable basis to determine that changed circumstances sufficient to warrant revocation exist. In our preliminary results, we gave interested parties an opportunity to comment. See 68 FR 14945 (March 27, 2003). On April 25, 2003, the sole domestic producer of sebacic acid, SST Materials, Inc., doing business as Genesis Chemicals, Inc. (Genesis), submitted a letter in support of the Department's preliminary results. We received no other comments. Therefore, the final results do not differ from the preliminary results, and we find that changed circumstances do not exist to warrant revocation of the order. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 28, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mike Strollo or Gregory E. Kalbaugh at (202) 482-0629 or (202) 482-3693, respectively, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On March 27, 2003, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of preliminary results of changed circumstances review and preliminary intent not to revoke the antidumping duty order for sebacic acid from the People's Republic of China (PRC). See 68 FR 14945. On April 25, 2003, Genesis submitted comments on the Department's preliminary results. Genesis reiterated that it was the sole domestic producer of sebacic acid, produces commercial quantities of sebacic acid in the United States, and noted that since filing both its questionnaire response and additional comments in February 2003, it has increased its production staff and invested additional capital to increase domestic sebacic acid production capacity. We received no other comments from interested parties on the Department's preliminary results.

##### Scope of the Review

The products covered by this review are all grades of sebacic acid, a dicarboxylic acid with the formula (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>8</sub>(COOH)<sub>2</sub>, which include but are not limited to CP Grade (500ppm maximum ash, 25 maximum APHA color), Purified Grade (1000ppm

maximum ash, 50 maximum APHA color), and Nylon Grade (500ppm maximum ash, 70 maximum ICV color). The principal difference between the grades is the quantity of ash and color. Sebacic acid contains a minimum of 85 percent dibasic acids of which the predominant species is the C<sub>10</sub> dibasic acid. Sebacic acid is sold generally as a free-flowing powder/flake.

Sebacic acid has numerous industrial uses, including the production of nylon 6/10 (a polymer used for paintbrush and toothbrush bristles and paper machine felts), plasticizers, esters, automotive coolants, polyamides, polyester castings and films, inks and adhesives, lubricants, and polyurethane castings and coatings.

Sebacic acid is currently classifiable under subheading 2917.13.00.30 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS)*. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

#### Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

Pursuant to §751(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), the Department may revoke an antidumping duty order based on a review under §751(b) of the Act. 19 CFR 351.222(g)(1)(i) provides that the Department may revoke an order, in whole or in part, based on changed circumstances if "(p)roducers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the order (or the part of the order to be revoked) \* \* \* have expressed a lack of interest in the order, in whole or in part \* \* \*" See also §782(h)(2) of the Act. Based on the fact that Genesis objects to the revocation of the antidumping duty order, and has indicated that it comprises the universe of domestic sebacic acid producers, we have determined that there are no grounds for concluding that substantially all of the domestic producers of like product have expressed a lack of interest in maintaining the order.<sup>1</sup> As a result, we determine that changed circumstances sufficient to warrant revocation of the antidumping duty order on sebacic acid from the PRC do not exist. Therefore,

<sup>1</sup> An article from the trade journal "Chemical Market Reporter," dated January 20, 2003, was placed on the record which indicated that: (1) Arizona Chemical Corporation and CasChem, Inc. had been the only domestic producers of sebacic acid but both ceased domestic production of sebacic acid in December 2002; (2) Genesis began producing sebacic acid in December 2002; and (3) Genesis, as of January 2003, was the sole domestic producer of sebacic acid.

the Department is maintaining the order on sebacic acid from the PRC.

This notice is published in accordance with §§ 751(b)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3).

Dated: May 21, 2003.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-13264 Filed 5-27-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-449-804]

#### Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Latvia: Extension of the Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 28, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jim Kemp or Constance Handley at (202) 482-5346 or (202) 482-0631, respectively; Office of AD/CVD Enforcement 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### Time Limits

##### Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department of Commerce (the Department) to complete the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order/finding for which a review is requested and the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary results to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order/finding for which a review is requested, and for the final results to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary results) from the date of publication of the preliminary results.

##### Background

On September 3, 2002, Joint Stock Company Liepajas Metalurgs, a Latvian

producer of subject merchandise, requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Latvia. On October 24, 2002, the Department published a notice of initiation of the administrative review, covering the period January 30, 2001, through August 31, 2002 (67 FR 65336). The preliminary results are currently due no later than June 2, 2003.

#### Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit for the reasons stated in our memorandum from Gary Taverman, Director, Office V, to Holly Kuga, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Commerce building. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results by two months until no later than August 4, 2003. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after publication of the preliminary results notice.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: May 21, 2003.

**Holly A. Kuga,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement II.*

[FR Doc. 03-13262 Filed 5-27-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-357-815]

#### Notice of Correction To the Notice of Intent To Rescind Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From Argentina

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of correction to notice of intent to rescind countervailing duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On May 16, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) issued a notice of intent to rescind the countervailing duty administrative review on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from Argentina (hot-rolled products), covering the period January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2001, and one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Siderar Sociedad

Anomina Industrial & Commercial (Siderar). See *Notice of Intent to Rescind Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Argentina*, 68 FR 26572 (May 16, 2003).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 28, 2003.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephanie Moore or Cindy Robinson, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 6, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3692 or (202) 482-3797, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department inadvertently omitted to indicate that it was issuing a Notice of Preliminary Results and Intent to Rescind Countervailing Duty Administrative Review (CVD) when it issued the Notice of Intent to Rescind Countervailing Duty Administrative Review on Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Argentina. Therefore, this notice of correction serves as an amendment and notification of the issuance of the preliminary results and intent to rescind the CVD review. The Department also omitted the following paragraph. This paragraph is hereby incorporated into the preliminary results and notice of intent to rescind.

#### Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309, interested parties may submit written comments in response to the notice of intent to rescind the countervailing duty administrative review on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from Argentina. Case briefs must be submitted within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice, and rebuttal briefs, limited to arguments raised in case briefs, must be submitted no later than five days after the time limit for filing case briefs. Parties who submit argument in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument; (1) A statement of the issues, and (2) a brief summary of the argument. Case and rebuttal briefs must be served on interested parties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f). Also, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310, within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice, interested parties may request a public hearing on arguments to be raised in the case and rebuttal briefs. Unless the Secretary specifies otherwise, the hearing, if requested, will be held two days after the date for submission of rebuttal briefs. The Department will publish the final results on the rescission of the countervailing duty administrative