

presentation giving the view of state and local governments potentially affected by transportation to the repository. The meeting will conclude with DOE presentations of its plans for designing and operating the surface facilities and the underground emplacement areas of a Yucca Mountain repository.

At the conclusion of each meeting, a public comment period has been scheduled. Those wanting to speak during the public comment periods are encouraged to sign the "Public Comment Register" at the check-in table. A time limit may have to be set on individual remarks, but written comments of any length may be submitted for the record.

A detailed agenda will be available approximately one week before each meeting. Copies of the agendas can be requested by telephone or obtained from the Board's Web site at www.nwtrb.gov. Beginning about March 31, 2003, transcripts of the meetings will be available on the Board's Web site, via e-mail, on computer disk, and on a library-loan basis in paper format from Davonya Barnes of the Board staff.

A block of rooms has been reserved at the Best Western Tuscany Hotel. Reservations must be made by February 3, 2003, to obtain the meeting rate. When making a reservation, please state that you are attending the Nuclear Waste Technical Review meeting. For more information, contact the NWTRB; Karyn Severson, External Affairs; 2300 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1300; Arlington, VA 22201-3367; telephone 703-235-4473; fax 703-235-4495; or by "contact form" at <http://www.nwtrb.gov>.

The Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board was created by Congress in the Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1987. The Board's purpose is to evaluate the technical and scientific validity of activities undertaken by the Secretary of Energy related to disposal of the nation's spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste. In the same legislation, Congress directed the DOE to characterize a site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, to determine its suitability as the location of a potential repository for permanently disposing of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste.

Dated: January 15, 2003.

William D. Barnard,

Executive Director, Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board.

[FR Doc. 03-1258 Filed 1-21-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-AM-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension:

Rule 9b-1, SEC File No. 270-429, OMB Control No. 3235-0480;

Rule 15c2-7, SEC File No. 270-420, OMB Control No. 3235-0479.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 9b-1 Options Disclosure Document

Rule 9b-1 (17 CFR 240.9b-1) sets forth the categories of information required to be disclosed in an options disclosure document ("ODD") and requires the options markets to file an ODD with the Commission 60 days prior to the date it is distributed to investors. In addition, Rule 9b-1 provides that the ODD must be amended if the information in the document becomes materially inaccurate or incomplete and that amendments must be filed with the Commission 30 days prior to the distribution to customers. Finally, Rule 9b-1 requires a broker-dealer to furnish to each customer an ODD and any amendments, prior to accepting an order to purchase or sell an option on behalf of that customer.

There are 5 options markets that must comply with Rule 9b-1. These 5 respondents work together to prepare a single ODD covering options traded on each market, as well as amendments to the ODD. These respondents file no more than one amendment per year, which requires approximately 8 hours per year for each respondent. Thus, the total compliance burden for options markets per year is 40 hours. The approximate cost per hour is \$100, resulting in a total cost of compliance for these respondents of \$4,000 per year (40 hours @ \$100).

In addition, approximately 2,000 broker-dealers must comply with Rule 9b-1. Each of these respondents will process an average of three new customers for options each week and,

therefore, will have to furnish approximately 156 ODDs per year. The postal mailing or electronic delivery of the ODD takes respondents no more than 30 seconds to complete for an annual compliance burden for each of these respondents of 78 minutes, or 1.3 hours. Thus, the total compliance burden per year is 2,600 hours (2,000 broker-dealers X 1.3 hours). The approximate cost per hour to these respondents is \$10 per hour, resulting in a total cost of compliance for these respondents of \$26,000 per year (2,600 hours @ \$10).

The total compliance burden for all respondents under this rule (both options markets and broker-dealers) is 2640 hours per year (40 + 2,600), and total compliance costs of \$30,000 (\$4,000 + \$26,000).

Rule 15c2-7 Identification of Quotations

Rule 15c2-7 (17 CFR 240.15c2-7) enumerates the requirements with which all brokers and dealers must comply when submitting a quotation for a security (other than a municipal security) to an inter-dealer quotation system.

It is estimated that there are 8,500 brokers and dealers. Industry personnel estimate that approximately 900 notices are filed pursuant to Rule 15c2-7 annually. Based on industry estimates that respondents complying with Rule 15c2-7 spend 30 seconds to add notice of an arrangement and 1 minute to delete notice of an arrangement, the staff estimates that, on an annual basis, respondents spend a total of 11.25 hours to comply with Rule 15c2-7, based upon past submissions. The average cost per hour is approximately \$35. Therefore, the total cost of compliance for brokers and dealers is approximately \$393.75.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Kenneth A. Fogash, Acting Associate

Executive Director/CIO, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: January 14, 2003.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-1343 Filed 1-21-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 35-27639]

Filings Under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended ("Act")

January 15, 2003.

Notice is hereby given that the following filing(s) has/have been made with the Commission under provisions of the Act and rules promulgated under the Act. All interested persons are referred to the application(s) and/or declaration(s) for complete statements of the proposed transaction(s) summarized below. The application(s) and/or declaration(s) and any amendment(s) is/are available for public inspection through the Commission's Branch of Public Reference.

Interested persons wishing to comment or request a hearing on the application(s) and/or declaration(s) should submit their views in writing by February 7, 2003, to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, DC 20549-0609, and serve a copy on the relevant applicant(s) and/or declarant(s) at the address(es) specified below. Proof of service (by affidavit or, in the case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. Any request for hearing should identify specifically the issues of facts or law that are disputed. A person who so requests will be notified of any hearing, if ordered, and will receive a copy of any notice or order issued in the matter. After February 7, 2003 the application(s) and/or declaration(s), as filed or as amended, may be granted and/or permitted to become effective.

SCANA Corporation, et al. (70-10087)

SCANA Corporation ("SCANA"), a registered holding company, SCANA's three public-utility subsidiary companies, South Carolina Electric & Gas Company ("SCE&G"), Public Service Company of North Carolina ("PSNC"), South Carolina Generating Company, Inc. ("GENCO"), and SCANA's nonutility subsidiary companies, SCANA Services, Inc. ("SCANA Services"), SCANA Energy

Marketing, Inc., SCANA Resources, Inc., South Carolina Fuel Company, Inc. ("Fuel Company"), South Carolina Pipeline Corporation, SCG Pipeline, Inc., SCANA Energy Trading, LLC, SCANA Public Service Company, LLC, SCANA Communications, Inc., ServiceCare, Inc., Primesouth, Inc., Palmark, Inc., SCANA Development Corporation, SCANA Services, Inc., PSNC Blue Ridge Corporation, PSNC Cardial Pipeline Company and Clean Energy Enterprises Inc. (collectively, the "Applicants"), each located at 1426 Main Street, Columbia, South Carolina 29201 filed an application-declaration ("Application") under sections 6(a), 7, 9(a), 10, 12(b), and 12(c) of the Act and rules 43, 45, 46, 53, and 54, and under the Act.¹

Applicants request authority to engage in a variety of financing transactions, credit support arrangements, and other related proposals, as more fully discussed below, commencing on the effective date of an order issued under this filing and ending April 15, 2006 ("Authorization Period").

I. General Terms and Conditions

Financing by each Applicant will be subject to the following limitations ("Financing Parameters"): (i) The effective cost of capital on debt and preferred or equity-linked financings will not exceed competitive market rates available at the time of issuance for securities having the same or reasonably similar terms and conditions issued by similar companies of reasonably comparable credit quality, provided that in no event will the effective cost of capital on (a) long-term debt borrowings exceed 500 basis points over the comparable term U.S. Treasury securities and (b) short-term debt borrowings exceed 500 basis points over the comparable term London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"); (ii) the maturity of indebtedness will not exceed 50 years, and, preferred stock or preferred or equity-linked securities (other than perpetual preferred stock) will be redeemed no later than 50 years after the issuance thereof, unless converted into common stock; and (iii) the underwriting fees, commissions or other similar remuneration paid in connection with the non-competitive issue, sale or

distribution of securities under this Application will not exceed the greater of (a) 5% of the principal or total amount of the securities being issued or (b) issuance expenses that are generally paid at the time of the pricing for sales of the particular issuance, having the same or reasonably similar terms and conditions issued by similar companies of reasonably comparable credit quality.

Applicants represent that at all times during the Authorization Period, SCANA and each Utility Subsidiary will each maintain common equity (as reflected in the most recent 10-K or 10-Q filed with the Commission under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, ("1934 Act") adjusted to reflect changes in capitalization since the balance sheet date therein) of at least 30% of its consolidated capitalization (common equity, preferred stock, long-term and short-term debt), provided that SCANA will, in any event, be authorized to issue common stock (including under the dividend reinvestment or employment plans described below), to the extent authorized in this filing.

Applicants further represent that, at the time of any security issuance under the authority sought below, the rating of any security issued (or the rating of the same class of security) shall be at least investment grade by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization, as that term is used in paragraphs (c)(2)(vi)(E), (F) and (H) of Rule 15c3-1 under the 1934 Act. If such issuance is of a type of security that is unrated, the issuer shall have a corporate or senior unsecured debt rating of at least investment grade. Applicants propose that the ratings test will not apply to any issuance of common stock or to issuances of indebtedness by GENCO.²

II. Background and Current Proposal

By order dated February 14, 2000,³ the Commission authorized (as supplemented and amended in subsequent Commission orders, collectively, the "Financing Orders"),⁴ SCANA, the Utility Subsidiaries and the Nonutility Subsidiaries to, among other

² According to Applicants, GENCO does not currently have any rated securities outstanding and is not expected to have a security rating during the Authorization Period. Applicants state that, if GENCO receives a security rating during the Authorization Period, the previously outlined ratings test will also apply to any issuance by GENCO.

³ Holding Co. Act Release Nos. 27135 and 27137.

⁴ The Commission issued supplemental orders increasing various financing limitations until February 11, 2003. See Holding Co. Act Release No. 27341 (Jan. 31, 2001) and Holding Co. Act Release No. 27476 (Dec. 19, 2001).

¹ SCANA directly owns all of the issued and outstanding common stock of three public utility companies, PSNC, SCE&G, and GENCO, (collectively referred to as the "Utility Subsidiaries"). All of SCANA's direct and indirect subsidiaries, other than the Utility Subsidiaries, are referred to as the "Nonutility Subsidiaries." The Utility Subsidiaries and Nonutility Subsidiaries are collectively referred to as the "Subsidiaries."