in Certain Bearings from China, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Japan, Romania, Singapore, Sweden, and the United Kingdom, Inv. Nos. AA1921-143, 731-TA-341, 343-345, 391-397, and 399 (Review), USITC Pub. 3309 (June 2000), in which the Commission determined that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on imports of ball bearings from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Singapore, and the United Kingdom would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to a domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. NMB Singapore Ltd. et al v. United States, Consol. Court No. 00-07-00373, Slip Op. 03-115 (September 3, 2003). On October 20, 2003, the Commission published its schedule for the remand proceedings in the **Federal Register**, 68 FR 59950.

Scheduling the Vote

The Commission will vote on the remand determination at a public meeting to be held on Monday, November 17, 2003. The meeting is tentatively scheduled for 11:00 a.m.

Authority: This action is taken under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII.

By order of the Commission. Issued: November 7, 2003.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–28533 Filed 11–13–03; 8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. TA-421-4]

Ductile Iron Waterworks Fittings From China; Notice of Commission Determination To Conduct a Portion of the Hearing in Camera

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Closure of a portion of a Commission hearing to the public.

SUMMARY: Upon request of counsel for Chinese Respondents, the Commission has determined to conduct a portion of its hearing in the above-captioned investigation scheduled for November 6, 2003, in camera. See Commission rules 201.13(m) and 201.35(b)(3) (19 CFR 201.13(m) and 201.35(b)(3)). The remainder of the hearing will be open to the public. The Commission has determined that the seven-day advance notice of the change to a meeting was not possible. See Commission rule 201.35(a), (c)(1) (19 CFR 201.35(a), (c)(1)).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William Gearhart, Office of General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–3091, e-mail wgearhart@usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission believes that counsel has justified the need for a closed session. Counsel seeks a closed session to provide a full discussion of information relating to pricing data, its analysis of domestic industry and Petitioner financial performance, indicators of the extent of competition between domestic product and subject imports, and domestic shipments and domestic producer market share trends. Because such discussions will necessitate disclosure of confidential business information (CBI), they can only occur if a portion of the hearing is held in camera. In making this decision, the Commission nevertheless reaffirms its belief that whenever possible its business should be conducted in public.

The hearing will include the usual public presentations by parties, with questions from the Commission. In addition, the hearing will include in camera sessions for confidential presentations by Chinese Respondents and for questions from the Commission relating to the CBI. For any in camera session the room will be cleared of all persons except for those company officials and their counsel who are authorized to have access to the CBI at issue. See 19 CFR 201.35(b)(1), (2). The time for the party's presentations in the in camera session will be taken from its overall allotment for the hearing. All persons planning to attend the in camera portions of the hearing should be prepared to present proper identification.

Authority: The General Counsel has certified, pursuant to Commission Rule 201.39 (19 CFR 201.39) that, in her opinion, a portion of the Commission's hearing in Inv. No. TA-421-4, Ductile Iron Waterworks Fittings from China, may be closed to the public to prevent the disclosure of CBI.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 5, 2003.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 03–28510 Filed 11–13–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Executive Office for United States Attorneys; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-Day notice of information collection under review: Office of Legal Education Nomination/Confirmation Form.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), **Executive Office for United States** Attorneys has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register Volume 68, Number 146, page 44814 on July 30, 2003, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until December 15, 2003. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202)-395-7285. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- —Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- —Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- —Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic,