## **The Special Conditions**

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the type certification basis for Douglas Models DC-8-61, -61F, -63, -63F, -71, -71F, -72, -72F, -73, and -73F airplanes modified by ABX Air Inc.

1. Protection from Unwanted Effects of High-Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF). Each electrical and electronic system that performs critical functions must be designed and installed to ensure that the operation and operational capability of these systems to perform critical functions are not adversely affected when the airplane is exposed to high-intensity radiated fields.

2. For the purpose of these special conditions, the following definition applies:

<sup>2</sup>*Critical Functions.* Functions whose failure would contribute to or cause a failure condition that would prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the airplane.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on September 10, 2003.

### Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 03–23970 Filed 9–18–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Federal Aviation Administration

# 14 CFR Part 95

[Docket No. 30389; Amdt. No. 444]

# IFR Altitudes; Miscellaneous Amendments

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts miscellaneous amendments to the required IFR (instrument flight rules) altitudes and changeover points for certain Federal airways, jet routes, or direct routes for which a minimum or maximum en route authorized IFR altitude is prescribed. This regulatory action is needed because of changes occurring in the National Airspace System. These changes are designed to provide for the safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace under instrument conditions in the affected areas. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** 0901 UTC, October 30,

2003.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donald P. Pate, Flight Procedure Standards Branch (AMCAFS–420), Flight Technologies and Programs Division, Flight Standards Service, Federal Aviation Administration, Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center, 6500 South MacArthur Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK 73169 (Mail Address: P.O. Box 25082 Oklahoma City, OK 73125)

telephone: (405) 954–4164. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This amendment to part 95 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 95) amends, suspends, or revokes IFR altitudes governing the operation of all aircraft in flight over a specified route or any portion of that route, as well as the changeover points (COPs) for Federal airways, jet routes, or direct routes as prescribed in part 95.

# The Rule

The specified IFR altitudes, when used in conjunction with the prescribed changeover points for those routes, ensure navigation aid coverage that is adequate for safe flight operations and free of frequency interference. The reasons and circumstances that create the need for this amendment involve matters of flight safety and operational efficiency in the National Airspace System, are related to published aeronautical charts that are essential to the user, and provide for the safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace. In addition, those various reasons or circumstances require making this amendment effective before the next scheduled charting and publication date of the flight information to assure its timely availability to the user. The

effective date of this amendment reflects those considerations. In view of the close and immediate relationship between these regulatory changes and safety in air commerce, I find that notice and public procedure before adopting this amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest and that good cause exists for making the amendment effective in less than 30 days.

## Conclusion

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. For the same reason, the FAA certifies that this amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 95

Airspace, Navigation (air).

Issued in Washington, D.C. on September 15, 2003.

# James J. Ballough,

Director, Flight Standards Service.

# Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, part 95 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 95) is amended as follows effective at 0901 UTC,

■ 1. The authority citation for part 95 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40106, 40113, 40114, 40120, 44502, 44514, 44719, 44721.

■ 2. Part 95 is amended to read as follows:

### **REVISIONS TO IFR ALTITUDES & CHANGEOVER POINTS**

[Amendment 444 Effective Date October 30, 2003]

From	То	MEA	
§95.6013 VOR Federal Airway 13 is amended to Read in Part			
McAllen, TX VOR/DME *1600—MOCA	Manny, TX FIX	*5000	
§95.6017 VOR Federal	Airway 17 is amended to Read in Part		
Brownsville, TX Vortac	Harlingen, TX VOR/DME	1600	

# **REVISIONS TO IFR ALTITUDES & CHANGEOVER POINTS—Continued**

[Amendment 444 Effective Date October 30, 2003]

From	То		MEA
Harlingen, TX VOR/DME McAllen, TX VOR/DME *1700—MOCA	McAllen, TX VOR/DME Fator, TX INT		2400 *2500
Fator, TX FIX	*Nelee, TX FIX		**4000
Nelee, TX FIX	Laredo, TX VORTAC		2500
§95.6033 VOR Feder	al Airway 33 is Amended to Read in Part		
Iford, PA VOR/DME *4.800—MOCA			#*10,000
4,800—MOCA Vairs, NY FIX *4,000—MOCA	Buffalo, NY VOR/DME		#*5,000
# BFD R-	006 Unusable, Use BUF R-187		
§95.6407 VOR Federa	al Airway 407 is Amended to Read in Part		
Brownsvile, TX VORTAC Harlingen, TX VOR/DME			1700
From	То	MEA	MAA
	01 Jet Routes 31 is Amended to Read in Part		
	ppi, AZ FIX	23000	4000

[FR Doc. 03–23968 Filed 9–18–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

## 21 CFR Part 520

# Oral Dosage Form New Animal Drugs; Pyrantel Pamoate Suspension

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

## ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the animal drug regulations to reflect approval of an abbreviated new animal drug application (ANADA) filed by First Priority, Inc. The ANADA provides for oral use of pyrantel pamoate suspension in horses and ponies for the removal and control of various internal parasites. **DATES:** This rule is effective September 19, 2003.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lonnie W. Luther, Center for Veterinary Medicine (HFV–104), Food and Drug Administration, 7519 Standish Pl., Rockville, MD 20855, 301–827–8549, email: *lluther@cvm.fda.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** First Priority, Inc., 1585 Todd Farm Dr.,

Elgin, IL 60123, filed ANADA 200–353 for PRIMEX (pyrantel pamoate) Equine Anthelmintic Suspension for oral use in horses and ponies for the removal and control of various internal parasites. First Priority's PRIMEX Equine Anthelmintic Suspension is approved as a generic copy of Pfizer, Inc.'s STRONGID T, approved under NADA 91–739. ANADA 200–353 is approved as of August 19, 2003, and the regulations are amended in 21 CFR 520.2043 to reflect the approval. The basis of approval is discussed in the freedom of information summary.

In accordance with the freedom of information provisions of 21 CFR part 20 and 21 CFR 514.11(e)(2)(ii), a summary of safety and effectiveness data and information submitted to support approval of this application may be seen in the Division of Dockets Management (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

FDA has determined under 21 CFR 25.33(a)(1) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

This rule does not meet the definition of "rule" in 5 U.S.C. 804(3)(A) because it is a rule of "particular applicability." Therefore, it is not subject to congressional review requirements in 5 U.S.C. 801–808.

### List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 520

### Animal drugs.

■ Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs and redelegated to the Center for Veterinary Medicine, 21 CFR part 520 is amended as follows:

# PART 520—ORAL DOSAGE FORM NEW ANIMAL DRUGS

■ 1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 520 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 360b.

#### §520.2043 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 520.2043 *Pyrantel pamoate* suspension is amended in paragraph (b)(1) by removing "000069" and adding in its place "000069, 058829,".

Dated: September 11, 2003.

## Linda Tollefson,

Deputy Director, Center for Veterinary Medicine. [FR Doc. 03–23943 Filed 9–18–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-S