## **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## Office of the Secretary

Bureau of International Labor Affairs; U.S. National Administrative Office; North American Agreement on Labor Cooperation; Notice of Request for Comment

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Labor. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Article 10(1)(a) of the North American Agreement on Labor Cooperation (NAALC) calls for the Council for the Commission for Labor Cooperation to review the operation and effectiveness of the NAALC. The Council completed a review of the Agreement in 1998, for the period 1994-1998, and issued a report titled "Review of the North American Agreement on Labor Cooperation". In that report, the Council agreed to undertake a second review in the year 2002. The U.S. National Administrative Office is seeking public comment for the purpose of that report.

**DATES:** Written comments on the operation and effectiveness of the NAALC should be submitted by December 5, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to the U.S. National Administrative Office, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S– 5205, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lewis Karesh, Acting Director, U.S. National Administrative Office, Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room S–5205, Washington, DC 20210. Telephone: (202) 693–4900 (this is not a toll-free

number).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The North American Agreement on Labor Cooperation (NAALC) was signed by the Presidents of the United States of America and of the United Mexican States, and the Prime Minister of Canada in September 1993 and entered into force on January 1, 1994. Article 10(1)(a) of the NAALC provides that the Council shall "oversee the implementation and develop recommendations on the further elaboration of this Agreement and, to this end, the Council shall, within four years after the date of entry into force of this Agreement, review its operation and effectiveness in light of experience \* \*." The Council carried out the first review of the Agreement in 1998 and issued a report titled "Review of the North American Agreement on Labor Cooperation". In that report, the Council agreed to undertake a second comprehensive review in the year 2002. As part of the review, the U.S. National Administrative Office is seeking public comments on the operation and effectiveness of the NAALC from 1999 to the present. Written comments plus an electronic version (preferred in Microsoft Word format) may be sent to the U.S. National Administrative Office.

A text of the NAALC can be obtained at the following Internet address: http://www.dol.gov/ILAB/regs/naalc/naalc/htm. A text of the first four years review can be obtained at the following Internet address: http://www.naalc.org/english/publications/review.htm or by calling (202) 693–4900.

Signed at Washington, DC, on October 28, 2003.

### Lewis Karesh,

 $\label{lem:action} Acting \ Director, \ U.S. \ National \ Administrative \\ Office.$ 

[FR Doc. 03–27831 Filed 11–4–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–28–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employee Benefits Security Administration**

Proposed Extension of Information Collection Request Submitted for Public Comment; Prohibited Transaction Exemption 88–59 Residential Mortgage Financing Arrangements Involving Employee Benefit Plans

**AGENCY:** Employee Benefits Security Administration, Department of Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA 95). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the **Employee Benefits Security** Administration is soliciting comments on the proposed extension of the information collection provisions of **Prohibited Transaction Class Exemption** 88-59.

A copy of the information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the individual shown in the Addresses section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office shown in the Addresses section on or before January 5, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Gerald B. Lindrew, Department of Labor, Employee Benefits Security Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, (202) 693–8410, FAX (202) 693–4745 (these are not toll-free numbers).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Background

Prohibited Transaction Class Exemption 88–59 provides an exemption from prohibited transaction provisions of the Employment Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) and from certain taxes imposed by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. The exemption permits, under certain conditions, an employee benefit plan to provide mortgage financing to purchasers of residential dwelling units. The mortgage financing may be either by making or participating in loans directly to purchasers or by purchasing mortgage loans or participation interests in mortgage loans originated by a third party. Plan investments in real estate mortgage loans typically involve a continuing relationship between the seller of the mortgage loan and the plan for purposes of servicing the mortgage loan investment. This provision of services by the seller creates a party in interest relationship between such servicer and the investing plan. Accordingly, any subsequent purchase of mortgage loans from such existing party in interest service provider results in a prohibited transaction.

By requiring that records pertaining to the exempted transaction be maintained for the duration of any loan made pursuant to Prohibited Transaction Class Exemption 88–59, this ICR insures that the exemption is not abused, the rights of the participants and beneficiaries are protected, and that compliance with the exemption's conditions can be confirmed. The exemption affects participants and beneficiaries of the plans that are involved in such transactions as well as the seller of the mortgage loan.

## **II. Review Focus**

The Department of Labor (Department) is particularly interested in comments that:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary