City interchange in the south (RP 187) to the Lincoln Road interchange in the north (RP 200). This Draft EIS includes an examination of the purpose and need, alternatives under consideration, travel demand, affected environment, environmental consequences, and mitigation measures as a result of the improvements under consideration. Two build alternatives with five supporting elements and a No-Action Alternative are presented in the Draft EIS and are under consideration by FHWA and MDT.

The FHWA, MDT, and other local agencies invite interested individuals, organizations, and Federal, State, and local agencies to comment on the evaluated alternatives and associated social, economic, or environmental impacts related to the alternatives.

Issued on: February 4, 2003.

#### Dale W. Paulson.

Program Development Engineer, Montana Division, Federal Highway Administration, Helena, Montana.

[FR Doc. 03–3132 Filed 2–7–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–22–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Maritime Administration**

Reports, Forms and Recordkeeping Requirements; Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review

**AGENCY:** Maritime Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this notice announces that the Information Collection abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. The nature of the information collection is described as well as its expected burden. The Federal Register notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection of information was published on November 5, 2002. No comments were received.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before March 12, 2003.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rodney McFadden, Maritime Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone: 202–366–2647; FAX 202–493–2180, or e-mail:

rodney.mcfadden@marad.dot.gov. Copies of this collection can also be obtained from that office. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Maritime Administration (MARAD).

*Title:* Information to Determine Seamen's Reemployment Rights.

OMB Control Number: 2133-0526.

*Type of Request:* Extension of currently approved collection.

Affected Public: U.S. merchant seamen who have completed designated national service during a time of maritime mobilization need and are seeking reemployment with a prior employer.

Form(s): None.

Abstract: MARAD is requesting approval of this collection in an effort to implement provisions of the Maritime Security Act of 1996. These provisions grant reemployment rights and other benefits to certain merchant seamen serving aboard vessels used by the United States during times of national emergencies. The Maritime Security Act of 1996 establishes the procedures for obtaining the necessary MARAD certification for reemployment rights and other benefits.

Annual Estimated Burden Hours: 50 hours.

Addresses: Send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention MARAD Desk Officer.

Comments are Invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

A comment to OMB is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication.

Issued in Washington, DC on February 4, 2003.

## Joel C. Richard,

Secretary, Maritime Administration.
[FR Doc. 03–3123 Filed 2–7–03; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Ex Parte No. 638]

Procedures To Expedite Resolution of Rail Rate Challenges To Be Considered Under the Stand-Alone Cost Methodology

**AGENCY:** Surface Transportation Board, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of public hearing.

SUMMARY: The Surface Transportation Board (Board) will hold a public hearing on Thursday, February 27, 2003, at its offices in Washington, DC, to provide interested persons an opportunity to express their views on the subject of expediting resolution of rail rate challenges to be considered under the Board's Stand-Alone Cost (SAC) methodology. Persons wishing to speak at the hearing should notify the Board in writing.

**DATES:** The public hearing will take place on Thursday, February 27, 2003. Any person wishing to speak at the hearing should file with the Board a written notice of intent to participate, and should indicate a requested time allotment, as soon as possible but no later than February 19, 2003. Each speaker should also file with the Board his/her written testimony by February 21, 2003.

ADDRESSES: An original and 10 copies of all notices of intent to participate and testimony should refer to STB Ex Parte No. 638, and should be sent to: Surface Transportation Board, Attn: STB Ex Parte No. 638, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423–0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT: Jamie P. Rennert, (202) 565–1566. [Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) (Hearing Impaired): (800) 877–8339.]

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Board will hold a public hearing to provide a forum for the expression of views by rail shippers, railroads, and other interested persons, on expediting resolution of rail rate challenges to be considered under the SAC methodology.

Issues. This public hearing follows the Board's review of comments filed in response to the notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) served in this docket on September 4, 2002. In the NPRM, the Board asked for suggestions on ways to streamline resolution of SAC cases, and the Board itself identified several possible measures. These measures included a mandatory prefiling, non-binding mediation process; discovery standards tailored to the

Board's experience in SAC cases; and establishment of an informal expedited process, using Board staff, for resolving discovery disputes. The Board received comments from various parties in response to the NPRM. This hearing will provide a forum for the oral discussion of these and any other proposals that interested persons might wish to offer to expedite the resolution of SAC cases.

Date of Hearing. The hearing will begin at 10 a.m. on Thursday, February 27, 2003, in the 7th floor hearing room at the Board's headquarters in Washington, DC, and will continue, with short breaks if necessary, until every person scheduled to speak has been heard.

Notice of Intent To Participate. Any person wishing to speak at the hearing should file with the Board a written notice of intent to participate, and should indicate a requested time allotment, as soon as possible but no later than February 19, 2003.

Testimony. Each speaker should file with the Board his/her written testimony by February 21, 2003.

Paper Copies. Each person intending to speak at the hearing should submit an original and 10 paper copies of his/her notice of intent to participate (as soon as possible but no later than February 19, 2003) and testimony (by February 21, 2003).

Board Releases Available Via The Internet. Decisions and notices of the Board, including this notice, are available on the Board's Web site at http://www.stb.dot.gov.

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or the conservation of energy resources.

Dated: February 5, 2003.

# Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–3370 Filed 2–7–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-00-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

Surface Transportation Board [STB Ex Parte No. 282 (Sub-No. 20)]

# Railroad Consolidation Procedures— Temporary Trackage Rights Exemption

In this docket, the Board is simultaneously serving and will be publishing a notice of proposed exemption and rulemaking (NPR) in which it proposes to modify its trackage rights class exemption at 49 CFR 1180.2(d). The Board's present rule, codified at 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(7), when invoked, authorizes trackage rights

indefinitely, regardless of any durational provision in the trackage rights agreement between the parties. The authorization may be terminated only by obtaining authority from the Board to discontinue service.

If a carrier wishes to obtain an authorization that expires automatically on a certain date, the carrier must file an individual petition for exemption. Unlike a filing invoking the class exemption, which becomes effective in 20 days by rule, the relief sought in a petition may be given effect only by a specific decision of the Board. The preparation and issuance of such a decision normally takes significantly longer than 20 days.

Carriers seeking authorizations that expire automatically have adopted the practice of filing a notice invoking a class exemption and simultaneously filing a petition asking that the authorization expire on a particular date. The NPR proposes to add to the Board's rules a class exemption for trackage rights that terminate on a particular date, thereby allowing carriers to obtain such rights promptly with a single filing.

In Implementation of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, STB Administrative Matter No. 3, STB Issuance No. 52 (STB served Nov. 8, 2002) (Implementation of the RFA), the Board revised its internal procedures implementing the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) to require, *inter alia*, that the Director of the Office of Proceedings determine whether a proposed rule will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.<sup>1</sup> If the Director determines that the rule will not have such an impact, the Director must issue a "certification of no significant economic impact." This certification must include a statement explaining the factual basis for the certification.2

In accordance with Board RFA procedures, I hereby certify that the proposed rule in this case will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Rather, by eliminating the need for the requesting party to make a second filing,

it will decrease filing costs and increase the efficiency of the regulatory process to the benefit of all filers, including small entities. Moreover, providing temporary trackage rights would not reduce competition. Temporary trackage rights could add service on a line and thereby improve service options or increase competition. Temporary trackage rights proposals that add no service on the line (e.g., overhead, or bridge, service) merely maintain the status quo among carriers and shippers on the line and thus would have no adverse effects for carriers or shippers.

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or the conservation of energy resources.

It is ordered:

1. This certification will be published in the **Federal Register**.

2. This certification will be served on the Chief Counsel for Advocacy, Office of Advocacy, U.S. Small Business Administration, Washington, DC 20416.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Decided: January 31, 2003.

#### Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–3250 Filed 2–7–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915–00–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Surface Transportation Board**

[STB Finance Docket No. 34309]

## The Kansas City Southern Railway Company—Trackage Rights Exemption—Illinois Central Railroad Company

Illinois Central Railroad Company (IC), pursuant to a written trackage rights agreement <sup>1</sup> between the Canadian National Railway Company (CN) and The Kansas City Southern Railway Company (KCS), will grant overhead trackage rights to KCS between the connection to KCS at approximately milepost 160.0 at Jackson, MS, and approximately milepost 67.5 at Palmer, MS (near Hattiesburg, MS), a distance of approximately 92.5 miles (the line).<sup>2</sup>

Continued

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These revised procedures were effective on November 14, 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the Director determines that the rule *may* have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, the NPR must include an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA), and the final rule must include a Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (FRFA). These analyses, in general, describe the justification for the Board's action, any significant alternatives, any mitigating steps that have been or will be taken by the Board, the nature of the impact, and an estimate of the number of entities affected. *See Implementation of the RFA*. at 5–7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The trackage rights agreement was concurrently filed under seal, along with a motion for protective order. A protective order was served in this proceeding on February 4, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> According to KCS, on May 1, 1998, KCS and CN agreed that, contingent upon CN obtaining approval to acquire control of IC, IC would grant overhead trackage rights to KCS over the line. CN was granted such approval in Canadian National Railway Company, Grand Trunk Corporation, and Grand Trunk Western Railroad Incorporated—Control-Illinois Central Corporation, Illinois Central