the wire centers that are entitled to support, each incumbent LEC must provide certain data to the National Exchange Carrier Association (NECA) annually and/or quarterly. State or local telephone companies who want to participate in the federal assistance program must make certain informational showings to demonstrate eligibility. The Commission is submitting this information collection to OMB without any changes since the last approval and is seeking a three year clearance.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0410.

Title: Forecast of Investment Usage Report and Actual Usage of Investment Report.

Form Nos.: FCC Forms 495A and 495B.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 150 respondents; 300 responses.

Estimated Time Per Response: 40 hours.

Frequency of Response: Annual reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 12,000 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Needs and Uses: The Forecast of Investment Usage and Actual Usage of Investment Reports are needed to detect and correct forecast errors that could lead to significant misallocation of network plant between regulated and non-regulated activities. The Commission's purpose is to protect the regulated ratepayer from subsidizing the non-regulated activities of rate regulated telephone companies. The Commission is submitting this information collection to OMB without any changes since the last approval and is seeking a three year clearance.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0931.

Title: Maritime Mobile Service Identity (MMSI).

Form Nos.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Individuals or households, business or other for-profit, federal government.

Number of Respondents: 2,000.

Estimated Time Per Response: .50 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement, third party disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 1,000 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Needs and Uses: This information collection is necessary to require owners of marine VHF radios with Digital Selective Calling (DSC) capability to register information such as name, address, type of vessel with a private entity issuing marine mobile service identities (MMSI). The information would be used by search and rescue personnel to identify vessels in distress and to select the proper rescue units and search methods. The information is used by the private entities to maintain a database used to provide information about the vessel owner in distress using marine VHF radios with DSC capability. If the collection were not conducted, the U.S. Coast Guard would not have access to this information which would increase the time needed to complete a search and rescue operation. The Commission is submitting this information collection to OMB without any changes since the last approval and is seeking a three year clearance.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0936.

Title: Sections 95.1215, Disclosure Policies and 95.1217, Labeling Requirements.

Form Nos.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 20.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1 hour.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 20 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Needs and Uses: The information collections contained in sections 95.1215 and 95.1217 require manufacturers of transmitters for the Medical Implant Communications Service (MICS) to include with each transmitting device a statement regarding harmful interference and to label the device in a conspicuous location on the device. The requirements will allow use of potential life-saving medical technology without causing interference to other users of the 402–405 MHz band. The Commission is submitting this information collection to OMB without any changes since the last approval and is seeking a three year clearance.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–7881 Filed 4–1–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notice of Agreement(s) Filed

The Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreement(s) under the Shipping Act of 1984. Interested parties can review or obtain copies of agreements at the Washington, DC offices of the Commission, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Room 940. Interested parties may submit comments on an agreement to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within 10 days of the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**.

Agreement No.: 011648–007.

Title: APL/Crowley/Lykes/MLL Space Charter and Sailing Agreement.

Parties: American President Lines, Ltd., APL Co. PTE Ltd., Crowley Liner Service, Inc., Lykes Lines Limited, LLC, TMM Lines Limited, LLC.

Synopsis: The subject agreement modification adds Honduras to the Gulf/Caribbean portion of the agreement and expands the Gulf/East Coast of South America portion of the agreement to include the Atlantic Coast of Florida and Trinidad and Tobago. It also adds language to Article 5.2(a) stating that APL will not use slots within its allocation to move cargo to or from Puerto Cortes or Gulfport.

Agreement No.: 011847.

Title: The Pacific Gulf Express Agreement.

Parties: CMA CGM, S.A., P&O Nedlloyd Limited, P&O Nedlloyd B.V.

Synopsis: The agreement establishes a vessel-sharing and sailing agreement between the parties for the purpose of operating a weekly direct service between the U.S. Gulf and inland and coastal point served via such ports on the one hand and ports in the West Coast of Mexico, Panama, Jamaica and ports in the Japan/Hong Kong range (including the People's Republic of China and South Korea), including inland and Coastal points.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Theodore A. Zook,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–7958 Filed 4–1–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730–01–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Ocean Transportation Intermediary License; Applicants

Notice is hereby given that the following applicants have filed with the Federal Maritime Commission an application for license as a Non-Vessel Operating Common Carrier and Ocean Freight Forwarder—Ocean Transportation Intermediary pursuant to section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 as amended (46 U.S.C. app. 1718 and 46 CFR part 515).

Persons knowing of any reason why the following applicants should not receive a license are requested to contact the Office of Transportation Intermediaries, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573.

Non-Vessel Operating Common Carrier Ocean Transportation Intermediary Applicants:

ITS International Container Lines Inc., 99 W. Hawthornie Ave., Suite 216, Valley Stream, NY 11580, Officers: Philip Lam, President (Qualifying Individual), Joseph Lam, Vice President.

Dynasty Freight Consolidator Inc., 100 North Hill Drive, #50, Brisbane, CA 94005, Officer: Hung Cheung Ng, President (Qualifying Individual).

Non-Vessel Operating Common Carrier and Ocean Freight Forwarder Transportation Intermediary Applicants:

TGAX Logistics (USA) LLC, 182–16 149th Rd., Rm. 238, Springfield Garden, NY 11413, Officers: Bosco L. Man, Vice President (Qualifying Individual), Robert Mooney, President.

Boom U.S.A., Inc. dba B.G. Logistics, 2227 NW 79th Avenue, Miami, FL 33122, Officers: Julian Scattolini, Director (Qualifying Individual), Andres Nunez Sorensen, President.

Ocean Freight Forwarder—Ocean Transportation Intermediary Applicants:

LCL Cargo Services, Inc., 8501 NW 17th Street, Miami, FL 33126, Officer: Ron Sonbeek, President (Qualifying Individual).

Inlogix Corp. dba Inlogix, Lot 6 Monterrey Avenue, Geoconsult Bldg., San Juan PR 00920, Officers: Lemuel Toledo Gonzalez, General Manager (Qualifying Individual), Pedro Toledo, Vice President.

Dated: March 28, 2003.

Theodore A. Zook,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–7959 Filed 4–1–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730–01–P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 022 3053]

The Laser Vision Institute, LLC; Analysis To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed consent agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of Federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint that accompanies the consent agreement and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 25, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments filed in paper form should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159–H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. Comments filed in electronic form should be directed to: *consentagreement@ftc.gov,* as prescribed below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew Daynard, FTC, Bureau of Consumer Protection, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326–2805.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and § 2.34 of the Commission's rules of practice, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of 30 days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC home page (for March 26, 2003), on the World Wide Web, at *http://www.ftc.gov/os/2003/03/index.htm.* A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room 130–H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326–2222.

Public comments are invited, and may be filed with the Commission in either paper or electronic form. Comments filed in paper form should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159–H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. If a comment contains nonpublic information, it must be filed in paper form, and the first page of the document must be clearly labeled "confidential." Comments that do not contain any nonpublic information may instead be filed in electronic form (in ASCII format, WordPerfect, or Microsoft Word) as part of or as an attachment to email messages directed to the following email box: *consentagreement@ftc.gov.* Such comments will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with § 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's rules of practice, 16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii)).

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted, subject to final approval, an agreement containing a consent order from The Laser Vision Institute, LLC and its principals, Marco Musa, Max Musa, and Marc'Andrea Musa (collectively, "LVI").

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for 30 days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After 30 days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement or make final the agreement's proposed order.

This matter involves alleged misleading representations about LASIK (laser assisted in situ keratomileusis) refractive surgery services designed to improve the focusing power of the eye by permanently changing the shape of the cornea (the clear covering of the front of the eye), thereby reducing patients' dependence on eyeglasses and contact lenses.

The complaint alleges that LVI failed to substantiate claims that its LASIK surgery services: (1) Eliminate the need for glasses and contacts for life; (2) eliminate the need for reading glasses; and (3) eliminate the need for bifocals. Among other reasons, the complaint alleges that LASIK surgery does not eliminate most peoples' need for reading glasses.

According to the FTC complaint, LVI falsely claimed that consumers will receive a free consultation that determines their candidacy for LASIK. In fact, the complaint alleges that consumers receive a free, initial meeting with an LVI representative during which consumers receive a quoted price for the procedure based on their prescription and other desired services, and are required to pay a $300 deposit before the risks and limitations of LASIK are disclosed to them and their candidacy is determined by a health care professional at a future time. The $300 deposit is non-refundable if, after the consultation, consumers elect not to undergo the procedure. Consumers are refunded $200 of the deposit if they are later rejected as medical candidates.

The proposed consent order contains provisions designed to prevent LVI from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future.