- TA-W-50,654; Arizona Chemical Co., Sebacic Department and Esters Department, Dover, OH: January 21, 2002.
- TA-W-50,742 &A,B; Tweel Home Furnishing, Newark, NJ and Harrison, NJ and Lakewood, NJ: January 24, 2002.
- TA–W–50,938; Rexnord Industries, Inc., Milwaukee, WI: February 21, 2002.
- TA–W–50,953; Advanced Energy, Voorhees, NJ: February 19, 2002.
- TA–W–50,978; The Magnus Group, Inc., d/b/a Progressive Information Technologies, Emigsville, PA: February 20, 2002.
- TA–W–51,062; Ethan Allen, Inc., Dudley Plant, Dudley, MA: February 25, 2002.
- TA-W-51,289 &A; Sun Hill Industries, including temporary workers of Adecco, Scotia, NY and Stamford, CT: March 18, 2002.
- TA–W–51,115; Meadwestvaco Corp., Luke, MD: March 7, 2002.
- TA–W–51,215; Hydromatic Pump, Ashland, OH: March 5, 2002.
- TA–W–51,192; U.S. Textile Corp., Newland, NC: March 12, 2002.
- TA-W-51,233; Universal Stainless and Alloy Products, Bridgeville, PA: March 17, 2002.
- TA–W–51,349; Alpharma, Inc., Hannibal Manufacturing Plant, including leased workers of BASF, Palmyra, MO: March 27, 2002.
- TA-W-51,441; Rochester Button Co., Inc., Kenbridge, VA and South Boston, VA: April 14, 2002.
- TA-W-51,481; Alexandra Fashions, Inc., North Bergen, NJ: March 31, 2002.
- TA-W-51,483; Whiting & Davis, Inc., a div. of Bacou-Dallos and leased workers of Adecco, Attleboro Falls, MA: April 10, 2002.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of (a)(2)(B) (shift in production) of Section 222 have been met.

- TA-W-50,260; Motorola, Inc., Semiconductor Products Sector, Bipolar Manufacturing Center, Mesa, AZ: December 5, 2001.
- TA-W-51,323; Michael Anthony Jewelers, Inc., Mt. Vernon, NY: February 28, 2002.
- TA-W-51,430 &A,B; Triangle Suspension Systems, Inc., DuBois, PA, Brentwood, TN and Fontana, CA: April 3, 2002.
- TA–W–50,615; BP Solor, LLC, Toano, VA: January 18, 2002.
- TA–W–50,650; Davol, Inc., Lawrence, KS: January 22, 2002.
- TA-W–50,733; Nidec America Corp., Power General Div., Canton, MA: January 29, 2002.

- TA–W–51,517; Ace's Sanding Shop, Thomasville, NC: April 14, 2002.
- TA–W–50,743; The compare Corp., Sidney, OH: January 16, 2002.
- TA-W-50,807; MCB, Inc., d/b/a Wild Rose, Los Angeles, CA: January 21, 2002.
- TA–W–51,322; Alburg Door & Window Ltd., Alburg, VT: March 24, 2002.
- TA-W-51,398; Textron Fastening Systems, Syntek Operations, a wholly owned subsidiary of Textron, Inc., including leased workers of QSP Staffing, Rockford, IL: March 21, 2002.
- TA–W–51,416; Weyerhaeuser Box Plant, Laredo, TX: March 18, 2002.
- TA-W–51,444; Lindley Laboratories, Inc., Gibsonville, NC: March 31, 2002.
- TA-W-51,487 & A; R.A.G.S., Inc., Lexington, NC and Richfield, NC: April 11, 2002.
- TA–Ŵ–51,476; Ultra cutting, Inc., Medley, FL: April 2, 2002.

The following certification has been issued. The requirement of upstream supplier to a trade certified primary firm has been met.

TA-W-51,319; Gem Island Enterprises, a/b/a Opal Industries, Morganton, NC: March 18, 2002.

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub.L. 103–182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA– TAA) and in accordance with Section 250(a), Subchaper D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA–TAA issued during the month of April 2003.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA–TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

None

The investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The investigation revealed that the workers of the subject firm did not produce an article within the meaning of Section 250(a) of the Trade Act, as amended.

None

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA– TAA

None

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of April 2003. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C– 5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: May 2, 2003.

Terrence Clark,

Acting Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 03–11552 Filed 5–8–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-42,315]

Alcatel USA Marketing, Inc., Voice Network Division (VND), Wireless Switching Group, EMX 5000 Product Group, Plano, TX; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application received on April 3, 2003, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the

Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice applicable to workers of Alcatel USA Marketing, Inc., Voice Network Division (VND), Wireless Switching Group, EMX 5000 Product Group, Plano, Texas was signed on March 7, 2003, and published in the **Federal Register** on March 26, 2003 (67 FR 14706).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition was filed on behalf of workers at Alcatel USA Marketing, Inc., Voice Network Division (VND), Wireless Switching Group, EMX 5000 Product Group, Plano, Texas engaged in activities related to software and hardware support. The petition was denied because the petitioning workers did not produce an article within the meaning of section 222(3) of the Act.

The petitioner alleges the software and hardware support at Alcatel USA Marketing, Inc., Voice Network Division (VND), Wireless Switching Group, EMX 5000 Product Group, Plano, Texas is an "integral part of the product" made for the customer.

An investigation of this matter, including contact with the company, revealed that a very small portion of the services supplied involve hardware (modifications) and that all of the software support provided is electronically generated to the customer. Electronically generated material does not constitute production within the meaning of Section 222 of the Trade Act.

Only in very limited instances are service workers certified for TAA, namely the worker separations must be caused by a reduced demand for their services from a parent or controlling firm or subdivision whose workers produce an article and who are currently under certification for TAA.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 29th day of April, 2003.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 03–11543 Filed 5–8–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-51,509]

Alpha Omega Jet Services, Inc., Sulphur Springs, TX; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on February 26, 2003 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Alpha Omega Jet Services, Inc., Sulphur Springs, Texas.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 22nd day of April, 2003.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 03–11551 Filed 5–8–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,264]

Atlantic Metal Products Springfield, NJ; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on December 6, 2002, in response to a worker petition filed at a company official's request by the New Jersey State Trade Coordinator on behalf of workers at Atlantic Metal Products, Springfield, New Jersey.

The Department of Labor has been unable to obtain the information necessary to reach a determination on worker group eligibility. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated. Signed at Washington, DC, this 25th day of April, 2003.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 03–11544 Filed 5–8–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-51,555]

BASF Corporation, Anderson, SC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 21, 2003, in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at BASF Corporation, Anderson, South Carolina.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 29th day of April, 2003.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 03–11558 Filed 5–8–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-51,622]

Casco Products, Inc., Bridgeport, CT; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 29, 2003 in response to a worker petition filed by the IUE/CWA on behalf of workers at Casco Products, Inc., Bridgeport, Connecticut.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an active certification issued on July 19, 2002 (TA–W–41,561). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 30th day of April, 2003.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03–11560 Filed 5–8–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P