of these products threaten to disrupt the U.S. market for cotton and man-made fiber and dressing gowns and robes. Due to the vulnerability of the U.S. industry today, even a relatively small increase in low-priced imports from China in the near future could have a considerable impact.

The U.S. Cotton and Man-Made Fiber Dressing Gowns and Robes Industry is Vulnerable to Any Increase in Imports. U.S. production including outward processing of cotton and man-made fiber dressing gowns and robes fell 38.6 percent from 2000 to the year ending June 2003 (from 1,990 thousand dozens to 1,221 thousand dozens), while the share of the market held by U.S. producers fell by 17.9 percentage points (from 30 percent to 12.1 percent) during this period.

[FR Doc. 03–32033 Filed 12–24–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DR-S

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Department of Defense Mandatory Declassification Review Addresses

AGENCY: Department of Defense

ACTION: Notice.

be addressed

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Information Security Oversight Office's Classified National Security Information Directive No. 1, this notice provides Department of Defense addresses to which Mandatory Declassification Review requests may be sent. This notice benefits the public in advising them where to send such requests for declassification review and makes administrative corrections that were previously published on November 17, 2003 (68 FR 64865).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: $\ensuremath{\mathrm{Mr}}.$

Robert Storer, 703–601–4722
The following chart identifies the offices to which mandatory declassification review requests should

- OSD/JS—Washington Headquarters Services, Chief, Declassification and Historical Research Branch, Suite 501, 1155 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202.
- ARMY—Department of the Army, Army Declassification Activity, ATTN: TAPC-PDD, Suite 509, 4600 N. Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA 22203– 1553.
- NAVY—Department of the Navy, Chief of Naval Operations, N09B11, RM 1D469, 2000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, DC 20350–2000.
- AIR FORCE—Department of the Air Force, 11 CS/SCSR (MDR), 1000 Air Force Pentagon, Washington, DC 20330–1000.

- MARINE—Commandant of the Marine Corps, U.S. Marine Corps, 2 Navy Annex, Room 1010, Washington, DC 20830–1775.
- DARPA—Defense Advance Research, Project Agency, 3701 North Fairfax Dr., Arlington, VA 22203–1714.
- DCAA—Director, Defense Contract Audit Agency, ATTN: CPS, 8725 John J. Kingman Rd., Ste. 2135, Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060–6219.
- DIA—Defense Intelligence Agency, ATTN: D A N-1A, Rm E4-234, Washington, DC 20340-5100.
- DISA—Defense Information Systems Agency, ATTN: Security Division, MPS 6, 5111 Leesburg Pike, Ste. 100, Falls Church, VA 22041.
- DSS—Defense Security Service, Office of FOIA & Privacy, 1340 Braddock Place, Alexandria, VA 22314–1651.
- DLA—Defense Logistics Agency, ATTN: DLA/DSS–S, 8725 John J. Kingman Rd., Ste. 2533, Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060– 6221.
- NIMA National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, 4600 Sangamore Rd., Mail Stop D–10, Bethesda, Md. 20816– 5000.
- NSA National Security Agency, Information Policy Office, DC323 Room S2CW113, Suite 6884, Bldg SAB2, 9800 Savage Road, Ft. George G. Meade, MD, 20755–6248.
- DTRA Defense Threat Reduction Agency, ATTN: SCR, 8725 John J. Kingman Rd, Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060– 6201.
- EUCOM U.S. European Command (HQ USEUCOM), Attn: ECJ1–AX (FOIA Officer), SMSgt Greg Outlaw, USAF, Unit 30400, APO, AE 09131.
- SOUTHCOM U.S. Southern Command, Attn: Mr. Marco T. Villalobos, SCJ1– A (FOIA), 3511 NW 91st Avenue, Miami, FL 33172–1217.
- SOCOM U.S. Special Operations Command, Attn: Kathryn Meeks, SOCS–SJS–SI (FOIA), 7701 Tampa Point Boulevard, MacDill AFB, FL 33621–5323.
- CENTCOM U.S. Central Command, Attn: Jacqueline J. Scott, CCJ6–DM, 7115 South Boundary Blvd, MacDill AFB, FL 33621–5101.
- NORTHCOM U.S. Northern Command, HQNORAD, USNORTHCOM/CSM, Attn: Lynn Bruns, 250 Vandenberg Street, Suite B016, Peterson Air Force Base, CO, 80914–3804.
- JFCOM U.S. Joint Forces Command, Attn: Ms. Joyce Neidlinpa, Code J024, 1562 Mitscher Ave, Suite 200, Norfolk, VA 23511–2488.
- PACOM U.S. Pacific Command, Attn: Maureen Jones, USPACOM FOIA Coordinator (J042), Administrative Support Division, Joint Secretariat, Box 28, Camp Smith, HI 96861–5025.

- STRATCOM U.S. Strategic Command, 901 SAC Blvd, STE 1C15, Offutt AFB, NE 68113–6653.
- TRANSCOM U.S. Transportation Command, Chief, Resources Information, Communications, and Records Management, Attn: TCJ6–RII, 508 Scott Drive, Bldg 1961, Scott AFB, IL 62225–5357.

Dated: December 18, 2003.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 03–31793 Filed 12–24–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Intent to Prepare a Joint Draft Environmental Impact Statement/ Environmental Impact Report for the Wilson Creek/Oak Glen Creek Feasibility Study in the City of Yucaipa, San Bernardino County, CA

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), Los Angeles District, in cooperation with the County of San Bernardino Flood Control District, will prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (EIS/EIR) in support of the proposed Wilson Creek/Oak Glen Creek Feasibility Study for flood protection for City of Yucaipa, in San Bernardino County, California. The study will also investigate the feasibility of habitat restoration opportunities and preservation of watershed resources in balance with the need for economic development. The drainage from Wilson Creek/Oak Glen Creek creates a high volume of sediment and debris through the City of Yucaipa. This condition creates a threat of flood damage to existing residential and commercial development and infrastructure along these creeks. The EIS/EIR will address foreseeable environmental impacts, including beneficial effects, associated with alternative flood protection plans. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the County of San Bernardino Flood Control District will cooperate in conducing this Feasibility Study. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is the lead Federal Agency for this study.

DATES: A public scoping meeting is scheduled at the City of Yucaipa Council Chambers, 34272 Yucaipa

Boulevard, Yucaipa, California 92399 from 6 pm to 9 pm on January 14, 2004.

Comments: Written comments concerning the Draft EIS/EIR should be submitted by February 12, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to District Engineer, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, ATTN: CESPL-PD-RL (L. Goodman), P.O. Box 532711, Los Angeles, CA 90053-2325.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Lois Goodman, Environmental Coordinator, telephone (213)–452–3869, or Mr. Robert Stuart, Study Manager, telephone (213)–452–3811. The cooperating entity, the County of San Bernardino Flood Control District, requests that inquiries be addressed to Mr. Jim Borcuk, at (909)–387–7962.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 1.

Authorization. Congress has authorized the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to conduct a Feasibility Study to investigate flood damage prevention and related opportunities along the Wilson Creek and Oak Glen Creek confluence area in Yucaipa, California, pursuant to House Resolution of the Committee on Public Works, dated May 8, 1964. Section 105 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (Pub. L. 99–662, as amended) specifies the cost sharing requirements applicable to this study.

2. Background. The proposed study will investigate the feasibility of providing improved flood protection for the City of Yucaipa in San Bernardino County, California. The city of Yucaipa is located approximately 20 miles southeast of the city of San Bernardino. Wilson and Oak Glen Creeks originate in the San Bernardino Mountains and flow in a southerly direction through the city of Yucaipa, where they join.

Wilson Creek is an earth-bottomed channel from Yucaipa Boulevard, downstream to Interstate 10. A system of rail, cable, wire fencing, and corrugated steel protects the toe of the banks. The channel width averages about 50 feet, and the channel depth is about 10 feet. At Yucaipa Boulevard, the low bridge clearance severely restricts the channel depth. This constriction would likely divert major flood overflows to the southeast, away from the channel, where they could inundate a large portion of the developed area of Yucaipa before returning to the channel near the freeway. Based on Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM), the 100year floodplain is up to one half mile wide along one and one half miles of the north bank of Wilson Creek. The FIRM also indicates a significantly wide floodplain from 2nd street downstream top Oak Glen Boulevard, a distance of

about two miles. Proposed new development along both sides of the creek may increase runoff in the near future. Overflows from Oak Glen Creek could inundate existing structures located along about one mile of the south overbank. The County of San Bernardino Flood Control District owns and operates a series of five large, interconnected, off-line water conservation basins along the south overbank of Wilson Creek, between Fremont Street and Bryant Street.

Proposed Action/Preliminary Alternatives. Two preliminary alternatives, in addition to the No Action alternative, were identified in the Reconnaissance Study. Preliminary Alternative 1 involves converting the off-line water conservation basins on the south overbank of Wilson Creek to flood detention basins. These basins appear to have the potential to contain substantial flood storage volume. Preliminary Alternative 2 involves deepening and widening sections of the channel in order to control the 100-year flood event, possibly in combination with additional detention basins upstream from the flooding problem. Subsequent to completion of the Reconnaissance Study, the City of Yucaipa developed a preliminary plan that includes a series of six interconnected in-stream detention basins at the confluence of Wilson Creek and Oak Glen Creek and immediately upstream on both Creeks. This preliminary plan also includes a nature trail and interpretive features.

4. Scoping Process. All interested Federal, State, County and local resource agencies, as well as Native American peoples, groups with environmental interests, and all interested individuals are encouraged to participate in the scoping process. Benefits of public involvement include: identification of pertinent environmental issues that need to be addressed; identification of issues that are not significant that may be eliminated from detailed study; contribution of useful information, such as published or unpublished data, direct personal experience, or knowledge which may support the decision-making process; assistance in defining the scope of appropriate plans and alternatives to be considered; and recommendations for suitable measures to mitigate potential adverse impacts of implementing plans or alternatives.

A public scoping meeting is scheduled on January 14, 2004 (see DATES). The purpose of the scoping meeting will be to gather information from the general public and interested organizations about issues and concerns that they would like to see addressed in

the EIS/EIR. Comments may be delivered verbally at the meeting or sent in writing to the Los Angeles District. (See DATES and ADDRESSES above.)

5. Availability of the Draft EIS/EIR. The Draft EIS/EIR is expected to be available to the public for review and comment beginning in late 2005.

Dated: December 16, 2003.

Richard G. Thompson,

Colonel, US Army, District Engineer. [FR Doc. 03–31894 Filed 12–24–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-KF-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education. SUMMARY: The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before February 27, 2004.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will