

carried out as intended, and to improve the Agency's ability to assure the continued availability of the facilities financed under the Agency's multiple housing programs to eligible users.

Without the provisions of this regulation, the Agency would be unable to provide the necessary guidance to the Agency's field staff to assist borrowers in processing servicing actions affecting their projects. The Agency also would not be able to quickly respond to servicing requests from borrowers, initiate servicing actions, or establish a uniform procedure for processing such requests from borrowers. The Agency must be able to assure Congress and the general public that all projects financed with Multiple Family Housing funds will be maintained for the purposes for which they are intended and used for the benefit of those they are mandated to serve.

Public Law (Pub. L.) 95-375 provides administrative powers for the Secretary of Agriculture to carry out the provisions of title V of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended. This law provides for making rules and regulations necessary to carry out the purposes of title V. The purpose of the applicable sections 514, 515, 516, and 521 of the Housing Act as stated above is to provide rental housing to eligible low- (including very low-) and moderate-income tenants at affordable rental rates. The Agency has been charged with the responsibility of protecting the interest of the taxpayer's funds and to assure that the objectives of the loans and grants are carried out as intended. In an effort to carry out the responsibilities of assuring that the objectives of the law are met, it is required that information be collected to assure program objectives and integrity is maintained.

Pub. L. 88-352, "Civil Rights Act of 1965," as amended, title VI, Pub. L. 90-284 and 93-383, Pub. L. 93-383, "Sex Discrimination, Executive Order 11246, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act of 1974, and the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 are also applicable to the 514, 515, 516, and 521 programs. Civil Rights compliance reviews are conducted to assure nondiscrimination in these Federally assisted programs. The owners are, therefore, required to keep certain information, such as a list of applicants, list of tenants, verifications of income of the tenants, and records or rejected applicants, and make such information available to the compliance review officer upon request.

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1.7 hours per response.

Respondents: The primary respondents are small business organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 930.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Number of Responses: 930.
Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 1,583 hours.

Copies of this information collection can be obtained from Tracy Givelekian, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch, at (202) 692-0039.

Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to Tracy Givelekian, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development, STOP 0742, 1400 Independence Ave., SW., Washington DC 20250. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: April 7, 2003.

James E. Selmon, III,

Acting Administrator, Rural Housing Service.

[FR Doc. 03-10158 Filed 4-23-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-XV-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-814]

Pure Magnesium From Canada; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of 2001-2002 administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from Canada. The period of review is August 1, 2001, through July 31, 2002. This review covers imports of pure magnesium from one producer/exporter.

We have preliminarily found that sales of subject merchandise have not been made below normal value. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results, we will instruct the Customs Service not to assess antidumping duties.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. We will issue the final results not later than 120 days from the date of publication of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 24, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jarrod Goldfeder or Scott Holland, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0189 or (202) 482-1279, respectively.

Background

On August 31, 1992, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (57 FR 39390) an antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from Canada. On August 6, 2002, the Department published a notice in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 50856) of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" of this order. On August 28, 2002, U.S. Magnesium, LLC ("the petitioner") requested an administrative review of imports of the subject merchandise produced by Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc. ("NHCI") and Magnola Metallurgy Inc. ("Magnola"). On August 30, 2002, NCHI made a request for review and also requested that the Department revoke the antidumping duty order with respect to NHCI. On September 25, 2002, the Department published a notice in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 60210) initiating the review for the period August 1, 2001, through July 31, 2002.

On September 6, 2002, Magnola reported that it had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the August 1, 2001, through July 31, 2002, period of review ("POR"). See "*Partial Rescission*" section, below.

On September 17, 2002, the petitioner submitted comments objecting to NHCI's August 30, 2002, request for revocation. According to the petitioner, NHCI failed to meet the Department's requirements for revocation, as described in 19 CFR 351.222. On

October 15, 2002, NHCI withdrew its August 30, 2002, request for revocation.

On October 9, 2002, the Department issued an antidumping questionnaire to NHCI. On November 22, 2002, we received NHCI's questionnaire response. We issued a supplemental questionnaire to NHCI on January 13, 2003, and received the response on February 10, 2003.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is pure magnesium. Pure unwrought magnesium contains at least 99.8 percent magnesium by weight and is sold in various slab and ingot forms and sizes. Granular and secondary magnesium are excluded from the scope currently classifiable under subheading 8104.11.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule ("HTS"). The HTS item number is provided for convenience and for customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Partial Rescission

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), we are rescinding this review with respect to Magnola, which reported that it made no shipments of subject merchandise during this POR. We examined shipment data furnished by the Customs Service and are satisfied that the record does not indicate that there were U.S. shipments of subject merchandise from Magnola during the POR.

Export Price

For sales to the United States, we used export price ("EP"), as defined in section 772(a) of the Act, because the merchandise was sold directly to the first unaffiliated purchaser in the United States prior to importation. The use of constructed export prices was not warranted based on the facts of the record. EP was based on the packed price to unaffiliated purchasers in the United States. We adjusted the price for billing adjustments. We made deductions, consistent with section 772(c)(2)(A) of the Act, for the following movement expenses: inland freight from the plant to the distribution warehouse, pre-sale warehousing expense, inland freight from the distribution warehouse to the unaffiliated customer, and foreign brokerage and handling.

Normal Value

In order to determine whether there was a sufficient volume of sales of pure magnesium in the home market to serve as a viable basis for calculating NV, we compared NHCI's volume of home market sales of the foreign like product to the volume of U.S. sales of the subject

merchandise, in accordance with section 773(a) of the Act. Because the aggregate volume of home market sales of the foreign like product was greater than five percent of the respective aggregate volume of U.S. sales for the subject merchandise, we determined that the home market provided a viable basis for calculating NV. Therefore, in accordance with section 773(a)(1)(B)(i) of the Act, we based NV on the prices at which the foreign like product was first sold for consumption in the exporting country, in the usual commercial quantities and in the ordinary course of trade.

We calculated NV based on the price to unaffiliated customers. We adjusted the price for billing adjustments. We made adjustments for differences in packing in accordance with sections 773(a)(6)(A) and 773(a)(6)(B)(i) of the Act. We also made adjustments, consistent with section 773(a)(6)(B)(ii) of the Act, for the following movement expenses: inland freight from the plant to the distribution warehouse, warehousing expense, and inland freight from the plant/warehouse to the customer. In addition, we made adjustments for differences in circumstances of sale ("COS") in accordance with section 773(a)(6)(C)(iii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.410. We made COS adjustments by deducting direct selling expenses incurred on home market sales (credit expenses) and adding U.S. direct selling expenses (credit expenses).

Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that NHCI's margin for the period August 1, 2001, through July 31, 2002, is 0.01 percent, *de minimis*.

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 42 days after the publication of this notice, or the first workday thereafter. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the case and rebuttal briefs. Interested parties may submit case briefs within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than 35 days after the date of publication of this notice. Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument with an electronic version included.

The Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of

issues raised in any such written briefs or hearing, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results.

Assessment Rates and Cash Deposit Requirements

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department calculates an assessment rate for each importer of the subject merchandise. Upon issuance of the final results of this administrative review, if any importer-specific assessment rates calculated in the final results are above *de minimis* (i.e., at or above 0.5 percent), the Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on appropriate entries by applying the assessment rate to the entered value of the merchandise. For assessment purposes, we calculate importer-specific assessment rates for the subject merchandise by aggregating the dumping duties due for all U.S. sales to each importer and dividing the amount by the total entered value of the sales to that importer.

Pending the final disposition of a NAFTA panel appeal by NHCI, the Department will not order the liquidation of entries of pure magnesium from Canada exported by NHCI on or after August 1, 2000, at this time (see, letter from Jarrod Goldfeder to NHCI, dated January 28, 2003, granting NHCI's request). Liquidation will occur at the rates described in the final results of review following the final judgement in the NAFTA panel appeals process.

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon completion of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of pure magnesium from Canada entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review (except no cash deposit will be required for the company if its weighted-average margin is *de minimis*, i.e., less than 0.5 percent); (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in the original less-than-fair-value investigation or a previous review, the cash deposit will continue to be the most recent rate published in the final determination or final results for which the manufacturer or exporter received an individual rate; (3) if the exporter is a firm not covered in this or any previous reviews, the cash deposit rate will be 21 percent, the "all others" rate established in Pure Magnesium from

Canada; Amendment of Final Determination of Sales At Less Than Fair Value and Order in Accordance With Decision on Remand (58 FR 62643, November 29, 1993).

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 16, 2003.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-10193 Filed 4-23-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-423-808, A-122-830, A-475-822, A-580-831, A-791-805, A-583-830]

Notice of Correction to the Amended Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of correction to the Amended Antidumping Duty Orders.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 11, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Bolling at (202) 482-3434 or Robert James at (202) 482-0649, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Background

On March 11, 2003, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the amended antidumping duty orders on certain stainless steel plate in coils (stainless steel plate) from Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan. See

Amended Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan, 68 FR 11520 (March 11, 2003) (*Amended Antidumping Duty Orders*).

In the amended antidumping duty orders, the Department inadvertently failed to convert certain old HTS numbers to their new designated HTS number in the Scope of the Orders section. Due to changes in the HTS numbers, subheadings 7219.12.00.05, 7219.12.00.20, 7219.12.00.25, 7219.12.00.50, 7219.12.00.55, 7219.12.00.65, 7219.12.00.70, and 7219.12.00.80 are replaced by 7219.12.00.06, 7219.12.00.21, 7219.12.00.26, 7219.12.00.51, 7219.12.00.56, 7219.12.00.66, 7219.12.00.71, and 7219.12.00.81. We are now correcting the scope of the orders section to reflect those changes. As we note below and in the *Amended Antidumping Duty Orders*, the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes; the written description of the merchandise subject to these orders is dispositive. See Scope of the Orders section below. Additionally, the **Federal Register** is going to correct an inadvertent error it made in the publication of the "All Others" rate for South Africa.

Scope of the Orders

The product covered by these orders is certain stainless steel plate in coils. Stainless steel is an alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject plate products are flat-rolled products, 254 mm or over in width and 4.75 mm or more in thickness, in coils, and annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled. The subject plate may also be further processed (*e.g.*, cold-rolled, polished, etc.) provided that it maintains the specified dimensions of plate following such processing. Excluded from the scope of these orders are the following: (1) Plate not in coils, (2) plate that is not annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled, (3) sheet and strip, and (4) flat bars.

The merchandise subject to this review is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) at subheadings:

- 7219.11.00.30, 7219.11.00.60,
- 7219.12.00.06, 7219.12.00.21,
- 7219.12.00.26, 7219.12.00.51,
- 7219.12.00.56, 7219.12.00.66,
- 7219.12.00.71, 7219.12.00.81,
- 7219.31.00.10, 7219.90.00.10,
- 7219.90.00.20, 7219.90.00.25,

- 7219.90.00.60, 7219.90.00.80,
- 7220.11.00.00, 7220.20.10.10,
- 7220.20.10.15, 7220.20.10.60,
- 7220.20.10.80, 7220.20.60.05,
- 7220.20.60.10, 7220.20.60.15,
- 7220.20.60.60, 7220.20.60.80,
- 7220.90.00.10, 7220.90.00.15,
- 7220.90.00.60, and 7220.90.00.80.

Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to these orders is dispositive.

Amended Antidumping Duty Orders and Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 736(a)(1) of the Tariff Act, the Department will direct Customs officers to assess, upon further advice by the Department, antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the normal value of the merchandise exceeds the export price (or constructed export price) of the merchandise for all relevant entries of stainless steel plate in coils, as described in the "Scope of the Orders" section above, from Belgium, Canada, Italy, Korea, South Africa and Taiwan. These antidumping duties will be assessed on all unliquidated entries of stainless steel plate in coils, other than cold-rolled stainless steel plate in coils, from Belgium, Canada, Italy, Korea, South Africa and Taiwan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after November 4, 1998, the date on which the Department published its notices of preliminary determination in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 59524 through 59544).

Furthermore, effective March 11, 2003, we will instruct the Customs service to require cash deposits on all entries of cold-rolled stainless steel plate in coils, as well as other stainless steel plate in coils subject to these orders, in accordance with the Court's December 12, 2002 opinion in *Allegheny Ludlum v. United States*.

For unreviewed producers, and for "All Others," the applicable weighted-average margins are those established in the original final determinations. For those producers that have been reviewed the applicable weighted-average margins are those established in the investigation or the most recently completed final results of an antidumping administrative review, as noted below:

Producer/manufacturer/ exporter	Cash deposit rate percentage
Belgium:	
ALZ, N.V	3.84 (67 FR 64352)
All Others	9.86