

requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: April 15, 2003.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

■ Part 52 of chapter I, title 40, *Code of Federal Regulations*, is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42.U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart K—[Amended]

■ 2. Section 52.520, is amended:

- a. In paragraph (e) revise entry “Revision to Maintenance Plan for Jacksonville and Southeast Florida Areas” and
- b. In paragraph (e) add a new entry at the end of the table for “Revision to Maintenance Plan for Jacksonville, Florida” to read as follows:

§ 52.520 Identification of plan.

* * * * *
(e) * * *

EPA-APPROVED FLORIDA NON-REGULATORY PROVISIONS

Provision	State effective date	EPA Approval date	Federal Register Notice	Explanation
Revision to Maintenance Plan for Southeast Florida Area	12/10/1999	8/2/2001	66 FR 40137.	
* * * * *				
Revision to Maintenance Plan for Jacksonville, Florida Area	11/28/2001	11/24/03	[Insert citation of publication].	

[FR Doc. 03-10063 Filed 4-23-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[AL-060-200320(a); FRL-7487-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans: Revisions to the Alabama State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is approving miscellaneous revisions to the Alabama State Implementation Plan submitted on March 13, 2003, by the State of Alabama. The revisions include addition of rule of chapter 335-3-1-.15 regarding emission inventory reporting requirements for stationary sources, revision of chapter 335-3-3 regarding removal, handling and disposal of asbestos-containing material, revision of chapter 335-3-8 to make minor technical corrections, and revision of chapter 335-3-17 to incorporate changes made to the Federal regulations regarding transportation conformity.

DATES: This direct final rule is effective June 23, 2003 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by May 27, 2003. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to: Sean Lakeman; Regulatory Development Section; Air Planning

Branch; Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 4; 61 Forsyth Street, SW.; Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960.

Copies of documents relative to this action are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours:

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960.

Alabama Department of Environmental Management, 400 Coliseum Boulevard, Montgomery, Alabama 36110-2059.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sean Lakeman; Regulatory Development Section; Air Planning Branch; Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 4; 61 Forsyth Street, SW.; Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. Mr. Lakeman can also be reached by phone at (404) 562-9043 or by electronic mail at lakeman.sean@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Analysis of State’s Submittal

On March 13, 2003, the State of Alabama through Alabama Department of Environmental Management submitted revisions to chapter 335-3-1 regarding emission inventory reporting requirements for stationary sources, chapter 335-3-3 regarding removal, handling and disposal of asbestos-containing material, chapter 335-3-8 to make minor technical corrections, and revision of chapter 335-3-17 to incorporate changes made to the Federal regulations regarding transportation conformity.

Rule 335-3-1-.15 is being added to implement the Consolidated Emissions Reporting Rule and adopt the emissions inventory reporting requirements for stationary sources under the Federal Consolidated Emissions Reporting Rule.

Rule 335-3-3-.01(e) is being revised to incorporate a federal requirement for removal, handling and disposal of asbestos-containing material. The regulatory requirements for the demolition of a building by intentional burning is found in 40 CFR 61.145(c)(10).

Rule 335-3-8.10(6)(c) is being revised to clarify intent of the rule to ensure that base years later than 2000 would have an equivalent starting point of 90% data availability. Rule 335-3-8-.12(b)3(ii)(I) and (II) and 335-3-8-.12(b)4(i)(I) and (II) are being revised to make minor technical corrections.

Rule 335-3-17-.01 is being revised to incorporate changes made to the Federal regulations regarding transportation conformity. On August 6, 2002, EPA promulgated two minor revisions to the Transportation Conformity Rule under 40 CFR part 93. First, this rule implements a Clean Air Act (CAA) amendment that provides a one-year grace period before conformity is required in areas that are designated nonattainment for a given air quality standard for the first time. Although the grace period is already available to newly designated nonattainment areas as a matter of law, EPA has incorporated the one-year conformity grace period into the conformity rule. Second, this rule changes the point by which a conformity determination must be made following a State’s submission of a control strategy implementation plan or maintenance plan for the first time. This

rule requires conformity to be determined within 18 months of EPA's affirmative finding that the SIP's motor vehicle emissions budgets are adequate. Prior to this action, the conformity rule required a new conformity determination within 18 months of the submission of an initial SIP.

II. Final Action

EPA is approving the aforementioned changes to the State of Alabama's SIP because it is consistent with the CAA and EPA policy. The EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this **Federal Register** publication, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the SIP revision should adverse comments be filed. This rule will be effective June 23, 2003 without further notice unless the Agency receives adverse comments by May 27, 2003.

If the EPA receives such comments, then EPA will publish a document withdrawing the final rule and informing the public that the rule will not take effect. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Parties interested in commenting should do so at this time. If no such comments are received, the public is advised that this rule will be effective on June 23, 2003 and no further action will be taken on the proposed rule. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic

impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4).

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a state rule implementing a Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides

that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by June 23, 2003. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (*See* section 307(b)(2).) Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by June 23, 2003. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (*See* section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: April 15, 2003.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

■ Chapter I, title 40, *Code of Federal Regulations*, is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart B—Alabama

- 2. Section 52.50(c) is amended by:
- a. Adding in numerical order a new entry in Chapter No. 335–3–1 General

Provisions for “Section 335–3–1–.15”; and

- b. Revising entries for “Section 335–3–3–.01”, “Section 335–3–8–.10”, and “Section 335–3–17–.01”.

The revisions and addition read as follows:

§ 52.50 Identification of plan.
 * * * * *
 (c) * * *

EPA APPROVED ALABAMA REGULATIONS

State citation	Title/subject	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation
Section 335–3–1–.15	Emissions Inventory Reporting Requirements.	04/03/03	04/24/03 [Insert citation of publication].	*
Section 335–3–3–.01	Open Burning	04/03/03	04/24/03 [Insert citation of publication].	*
Section 335–3–8–.10	NO _x Allowance Tracking System	04/03/03	04/24/03 [Insert citation of publication].	*
Section 335–3–17–.01	Transportation Conformity	04/03/03	04/24/03 [Insert citation of publication].	*

* * * * *
 [FR Doc. 03–10061 Filed 4–23–03; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 81

[LA–58–1–7522; FRL–7487–4]

Notice of Withdrawal of October 2, 2002, Attainment Date Extension, Determination of Nonattainment as of November 15, 1999, and Reclassification of the Baton Rouge Ozone Nonattainment Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule finalizes EPA’s finding that the Baton Rouge 1-hour ozone nonattainment area (hereinafter referred to as the Baton Rouge area) did not attain the 1-hour ozone national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS or standard) by November 15, 1999, the attainment date for serious nonattainment areas set forth in the Federal Clean Air Act (CAA or Act). As a result of this finding, the Baton Rouge area will be reclassified from a serious to a severe one-hour ozone nonattainment area by operation of law on the effective date of this rule. In addition, EPA is establishing a schedule for Louisiana to submit State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions addressing the CAA’s pollution control requirements for severe ozone nonattainment areas within 12 months of the effective date of this rule and

establishing November 15, 2005, as the date by which the Baton Rouge area must attain the ozone NAAQS. Finally, EPA is adjusting the dates by which the area must achieve a 9% reduction in ozone precursor emissions to meet the 2002 rate-of-progress requirement and is adjusting the contingency measure requirements as they relate to the 2002 ROP milestone. On December 11, 2002, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit issued its decision on EPA’s extension policy used to extend the 1-hour ozone attainment deadline for the Beaumont-Port Arthur, Texas, area, without reclassifying the area. The Court rejected EPA’s extension of Beaumont-Port Arthur’s attainment date because it determined that the CAA precludes such an extension as a matter of law. We are issuing this rule in response to the rejection by the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals of EPA’s use of the extension policy.

DATES: This final rule is effective on June 23, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Copies of documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Air Planning Section (6PD–L), 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733; and the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ), 7920 Bluebonnet Boulevard, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70884. Please contact the appropriate office at least 24 hours in advance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Maria L. Martinez, Air Planning Section (6PD–L), EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross

Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733, telephone (214) 665–2230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever “we, us, or our” is used, we mean EPA. This section provides additional information by addressing the following questions:

Table of Contents

- I. What Is the Background for This Rule?
- II. What Are the National Ambient Air Quality Standards?
- III. What Is the NAAQS for Ozone?
- IV. What Is a SIP?
- V. What Is the Baton Rouge Ozone Nonattainment Area?
- VI. What Does This Action Do?
- VII. What Is the New Attainment Date for the Baton Rouge Area?
- VIII. When Must Louisiana Submit SIP Revisions Fulfilling the Requirements for Severe Ozone Nonattainment Areas?
- IX. What Is the Impact of a Reclassification on the Title V Operating Permit Program?
- X. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. What Is the Background for This Rule?

On May 9, 2001, EPA proposed its finding that the Baton Rouge serious ozone nonattainment area did not attain the 1-hour ozone NAAQS by November 15, 1999, the applicable attainment date (66 FR 23646). The proposed finding was based upon ambient air quality data from the years 1997, 1998, 1999. These data showed that the 1-hour ozone NAAQS of 0.12 parts per million (ppm) had been exceeded on an average of more than one day per year over this three-year period and that the area did not qualify for an attainment date extension under section 181(a)(5). EPA