## PART 558—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR **USE IN ANIMAL FEEDS**

■ 1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 558 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 360b, 371.

■ 2. Section 558.311 is amended: a. In paragraph (b)(4) by removing

"(e)(2) and (e)(3)" and by adding in its place "(e)(2), (e)(3), and (e)(4)";

b. In paragraphs (e)(2)(i) and (e)(3)(i)by revising footnote 1;

c. By redesignating paragraph (e)(4) as paragraph (e)(5); and

d. By adding new paragraph (e)(4). The revisions and addition read as follows:

## §558.311 Lasolocid.

- \* \*
- (e) \* \*
- (2) \* \* \* \*
- (i) \* \*

<sup>1</sup>Content of this vitamin and trace mineral premixes may be varied; however, they should be comparable to those used by the firm for other freechoice feeds. Formulation modifications require FDA approval prior to marketing. Selenium must comply with § 573.920 of this chapter. Ethylenediamine dihydroiodide (EDDI) should comply with FDA Compliance Policy Guide Sec. 651.100 (CPG

- 7125.18).
  - (3) \* (i) \* \* \*

<sup>1</sup>Content of vitamin and trace mineral premixes may be varied; however, they should be comparable to those used for other free-choice liquid feeds. Formulation modifications require FDA approval prior to marketing. Selenium must comply with § 573.920 of this chapter. EDDI should comply with FDA Compliance Policy Guide Sec. 651.100 (CPG 7125.18).

\*

(4) It is used as a free-choice, loose mineral Type C feed as follows:

(i) Specifications.

Ingredient	Percent	International feed No.
Monocalcium Phos- phate (21% P)	57.50	6–01–082
Salt	17.55	6–04–152
Distillers Dried Grains w/Solubles	5.40	5–28–236
Dried Cane Molas- ses (46% Sugars)	5.20	4–04–695
Potassium Chloride	4.90	6–03–755
Trace Mineral/Vita- min Premix <sup>1</sup>	3.35	

Ingredient	Percent	International feed No.
Calcium Carbonate (38% Ca)	2.95	6–01–069
Mineral Oil	1.05	8–03–123
Magnesium Oxide (58% Mg)	1.00	6–02–756
Iron Oxide (52% Fe)	0.10	6–02–431
Lasalocid Type A Medicated Article (68 g per pound)	0.80	

<sup>1</sup>Content of vitamin and trace mineral premixes may be varied. However, they should be comparable to those used for other freechoice loose mineral feeds. Formulation modifications require FDA approval prior to marketing. Selenium must comply with §573.920 of this chapter. EDDI should comply with FDA Compliance Policy Guides Sec. 651.100 (CPG 7125.18).

(ii) Amount. 1,088 grams per ton.

(iii) Indications for use. Pasture cattle (slaughter, stocker, feeder cattle, and dairy and beef replacement heifers): For increased rate of weight gain. Intakes of lasalocid in excess of 200 mg/head/day have not been shown to be more effective than 200 mg/head/day.

(iv) Limitations. Feed continuously on a free-choice basis at a rate of 60 to 300 mg lasalocid per head per day.

(v) Sponsor. See No. 046573 in § 510.600(c) of this chapter. ÷ \* \*

Dated: May 29, 2003.

## Steven D. Vaughn,

Director, Office of New Animal Drug Evaluation, Center for Veterinary Medicine. [FR Doc. 03-15541 Filed 6-18-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160-01-S

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## Indian Arts and Crafts Board

#### 25 CFR Part 309

RIN 1076-AE16

# **Protection of Products of Indian Art** and Craftsmanship; Correction

**AGENCY:** Indian Arts and Crafts Board (IACB), Department of the Interior. **ACTION:** Correction to final regulations.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains a correction to the final regulations for the Indian Arts and Crafts Enforcement Act of 2000 (25 CFR part 309), which were published Thursday, June 12, 2003, (68 FR 35164). The rule clarifies the regulatory definition of "Indian product," as defined under the Indian Arts and Crafts Act of 1990.

## EFFECTIVE DATES: June 13, 2003. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Meridith Z. Stanton, Director, (202) 208-3773 (not a toll free call).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

The final regulations that are the subject of this correction clarify the regulatory definition of "Indian product," as defined under the Indian Arts and Crafts Act of 1990 (Pub. L. 101-644, 104 Stat. 4662).

#### **Need for Correction**

As published, the final regulations contain an error which may prove to be misleading and is in need of clarification.

#### **Correction of Publication**

■ Accordingly, the publication on June 12, 2003 of the final regulations (25 CFR part 309), which were the subject of FR Doc. 03–14827, is corrected as follows:

## Effective Date—[Corrected]

■ On page 35164, in the second column, the effective date of September 10, 2003 is to read July 14, 2003.

#### Meridith Z. Stanton,

Director, Indian Arts and Crafts Board. [FR Doc. 03-15417 Filed 6-18-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

## Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[COTP Los Angeles-Long Beach 01–013]

RIN 1625-AA00 (Formerly RIN 2115-AA97)

## Security Zone; Port Hueneme Harbor, Ventura County, CA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule; change in effective period.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is revising the effective period for a temporary security zone covering all waters within Port Hueneme Harbor in Ventura County, CA. This security zone is needed for national security reasons to protect Naval Base Ventura County and commercial port from potential subversive acts. Entry into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Capitan of the Port Los Angeles-Long Beach, the Commanding Officer of Naval Base Ventura County, or their designated representatives.

**DATES:** The amendment to § 165.T11–060(c) in this rule is effective June 15, 2003. Section 165.T11–060, added at 67 FR 1099, January 9, 2002, effective from 12:01 a.m. PST on December 21, 2001, to 11:59 p.m. PDT on June 15, 2002, as amended by this rule is extended in effect until 11:59 p.m. PST on December 15, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket are part of docket COTP Los Angeles-Long Beach 01–013 and are available for inspection or copying at U.S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Office/ Group Los Angeles-Long Beach, 1001 South Seaside Avenue, Building 20, San Pedro, California, 90731 between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant Junior Grade Rob Griffiths, Assistant Chief of Waterways Management Division, at (310) 732– 2020.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Regulatory Information**

On January 9, 2002, we published a temporary final rule for Port Hueneme Harbor entitled "Security Zone; Port Hueneme Harbor, Ventura County, CA" in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 1097) under § 165.T11–060. The effective period for this rule was from December 21, 2001, through June 15, 2002.

On June 18, 2002, we published a temporary final rule for Port Hueneme Harbor entitled "Security Zone; Port Hueneme Harbor, Ventura County, CA" in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 41341) under § 165.T11–060. The effective period was extended through June 15, 2003.

This temporary final rule further extends the effective period through December 15, 2003.

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM. Due to the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 and the warnings given by national security and intelligence officials, there is an increased risk that further subversive or terrorist activity may be launched against the United States. A heightened level of security has been established around Naval Facilities. The original TFR was urgently required to prevent possible terrorist strikes against the United States and more specifically the people, waterways, and properties in Port Hueneme Harbor and the Naval Base Ventura County. It was anticipated that we would assess the security environment at the end of the effective

period to determine whether continuing security precautions were required and, if so, propose regulations responsive to existing conditions. We have determined the need for continued security regulations exists.

The Coast Guard has determined that designation of a Restricted Area by the Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) under 33 CFR 334 is a more appropriate regulation in this case. On January 13, 2003, ACOE published a notice of proposed rulemaking for Port Hueneme Harbor entitled "United States Navy Restricted Area, Naval Base Ventura County, Port Hueneme, CA" in the Federal Register (68 FR 1791) under 33 CFR 334.1127. The ACOE will utilize the extended effective period of this TFR to issue a Final Rule. This TFR preserves the status quo within the harbor while a permanent Restricted Area is implemented.

For the reasons stated in the paragraphs above under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard also finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**.

#### **Background and Purpose**

On September 11, 2001, terrorists launched attacks on commercial and public structures-the World Trade Center in New York and the Pentagon in Arlington, Virginia-killing large numbers of people and damaging properties of national significance. There is an increased risk that further subversive or terrorist activity may be launched against the United States based on warnings given by national security and intelligence officials. The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) has issued warnings on October 11, 2001 and February 11, 2002 concerning the potential for additional terrorist attacks within the United States. In addition, the ongoing hostilities in Iraq and Afghanistan have made it prudent for important facilities and vessels to be on a higher state of alert because Osama Bin Ladin and his Al Qaeda organization, and other similar organizations, have publicly declared an ongoing intention to conduct armed attacks on U.S. interests worldwide.

These heightened security concerns, together with the catastrophic impact that a terrorist attack against a Naval Facility would have to the public interest, makes these security zones prudent on the navigable waterways of the United States. To mitigate the risk of terrorist actions, the Coast Guard has increased safety and security measures on the navigable waterways of U.S. ports and waterways as further attacks may be launched from vessels within the area of Port Hueneme Harbor and the Naval Base Ventura County.

In response to these terrorist acts, to prevent similar occurrences, and to protect the Naval Facilities at Port Hueneme Harbor and the Naval Base Ventura County, the Coast Guard has established a security zone in all waters within Port Hueneme Harbor. This security zone is necessary to prevent damage or injury to any vessel or waterfront facility, and to safeguard ports, harbors, or waters of the United States in Port Hueneme Harbor, Ventura County, CA.

As of today, the need for a security zone in Port Hueneme Harbor still exists. This temporary final rule will become effective June 15, 2003, and is set to expire 11:59 p.m. PST on December 15, 2003. This will allow the Army Corps of Engineers to utilize the extended effective period of this TFR to complete notice and comment rulemaking for permanent regulations tailored to the present and foreseeable security environment. This revision preserves the status quo within the Port Hueneme Harbor while permanent rules are finalized.

#### **Discussion of Rule**

This regulation that is extending the effective period of the current security zone, prohibits all vessels from entering Port Hueneme Harbor beyond the COLREGS demarcation line set forth in subpart 80.1120 of part 80 of Title 33 of the Code of Federal Regulations without first filing a proper Advance Notification of Arrival as required by part 160 of Title 33 of the Code of Federal Regulations as well as obtaining clearance from Commanding Officer, Naval Base Ventura County "Control 1".

This security zone is established pursuant to the authority of The Magnuson Act regulations promulgated by the President under 50 U.S.C. 191, including subparts 6.01 and 6.04 of part 6 of Title 33 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Vessels or persons violating this section are subject to the penalties set forth in 50 U.S.C. 192 including seizure and forfeiture of the vessel, a monetary penalty of not more than \$10,000, and imprisonment for not more than 10 years.

This rule will be enforced by the Captain of the Port Los Angeles-Long Beach, who may also enlist the aid and cooperation of any Federal, State, county, municipal, and private agencies to assist in the enforcement of this rule. Commanding Officer, Naval Base Ventura County "Control 1" will control vessel traffic entering Port Hueneme Harbor.

## **Regulatory Evaluation**

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not "significant" under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) because this zone will encompass a small portion of the waterway.

## **Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

<sup>1</sup> The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because this zone will encompass a small portion of the waterway.

#### **Assistance for Small Entities**

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104– 121), we offered to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If the rule will affect your small business, organization, or government jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT for assistance in understanding this rule.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1– 888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

## **Collection of Information**

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork

Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

## Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

#### **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

# **Taking of Private Property**

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

### **Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### **Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

## **Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

## **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions **Concerning Regulations That** Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

## Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.lD, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)(42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation because we are establishing a security zone.

A final "Environmental Analysis Check List" and a final "Categorical Exclusion Determination" are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

## List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reports and record keeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

## PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.

■ 2. In temporary § 165.T11–060, revise paragraph (c) to read as follows:

#### §165.T11–060 Security Zone; Port Hueneme Harbor, Ventura County, California.

\*

(c) *Effective period*. This section is effective from 12:01 a.m. PST on

\*

December 21, 2001, until 11:59 p.m. PST on December 15, 2003.

\* \* \* \*

Dated: June 10 2003.

John M. Holmes,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Los Angeles-Long Beach, California. [FR Doc. 03–15531 Filed 6–18–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

# Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation

## 33 CFR Part 401

[Docket No. SLSDC 2003-15136]

RIN 2135-AA18

## Seaway Regulations and Rules: Stern Anchors and Navigation Underway

**AGENCY:** Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation, DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation (SLSDC) and the St. Lawrence Seaway Management Corporation (SLSMC) of Canada, under international agreement, jointly publish and presently administer the St. Lawrence Seaway Regulations and Rules (Practices and Procedures in Canada) in their respective jurisdictions. Under agreement with the SLSMC, the SLSDC is amending the joint regulations by making requirement for stern anchors applicable to large tug and barge combinations and by adding new requirements for manning of the wheelhouse for vessels underway.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on July 21, 2003.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marc C. Owen, Chief Counsel, Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590, (202) 366–6823.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation (SLSDC) and the St. Lawrence Seaway Management Corporation (SLSMC) of Canada, under international agreement, jointly publish and presently administer the St. Lawrence Seaway Regulations and Rules (Practices and Procedures in Canada) in their respective jurisdictions. A notice of proposed rulemaking was published on May 13, 2003 (68 FR 25546). Interested parties have been afforded an opportunity to comment. No comments were received. The amendments are described in the following summary.

Under agreement with the SLSMC, the SLSDC is amending the joint regulations by making requirement for stern anchors applicable to new tug and barge combinations. Some tug and barge combinations that transit the Seaway carry dangerous or hazardous cargo and are just as large, 110 meters or more in combination, as the commercial vessels to which the requirement now applies. Accordingly, the SLSDC is making the requirement that a vessel be equipped with a stern anchor also applicable to these large tug and barge combinations. This will provide increased safety through greater control. Specifically, § 401.15, "Stern anchors", is amended by adding a new subsection to read, "Every integrated tug and barge or articulated tug and barge unit greater than 110m in overall length which is constructed after January 1, 2003, shall be equipped with a stern anchor.'

In addition, the SLSDC is amending the manning requirements for navigation underway to ensure greater safety for all vessels, which includes tugs and tug and barge combinations as well. The rule already requires adequate manning and operation of the propulsion machinery. Inadequate manning of the wheelhouse during mooring and other essential duties also poses serious environmental and safety risks. Accordingly, §401.35, "Navigation underway", is amended by adding two new subsections (c) and (d) to read as follows: "(c) man the wheelhouse of the vessel at all times by either the master or certified deck officer and by another qualified crewmember and (d) have sufficient well rested crewmembers available for mooring operations and other essential duties.

## **Regulatory Evaluation**

This regulation involves a foreign affairs function of the United States and therefore Executive Order 12866 does not apply and evaluation under the Department of Transportation's Regulatory Policies and Procedures is not required.

## **Regulatory Flexibility Act Determination**

The Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation certifies that this regulation will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The St. Lawrence Seaway Regulations and Rules primarily relate to commercial users of the Seaway, the vast majority of whom are foreign vessel operators. Therefore, any resulting costs will be borne mostly by foreign vessels.

## **Environmental Impact**

This regulation does not require an environmental impact statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (49 U.S.C. 4321, *et reg.*) because it is not a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of human environment.

## Federalism

The Corporation has analyzed this rule under the principles and criteria in Executive Order 13132, dated August 4, 1999, and has determined that this proposal does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant a Federalism Assessment.

#### **Unfunded Mandates**

The Corporation has analyzed this rule under title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4, 109 Stat. 48) and determined that it does not impose unfunded mandates on State, local, and tribal governments and the private sector requiring a written statement of economic and regulatory alternatives.

## **Paperwork Reduction Act**

This regulation has been analyzed under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and does not contain new or modified information collection requirements subject to the Office of Management and Budget review.

## List of Subjects

## 33 CFR Part 401

Hazardous materials transportation, Navigation (water), Penalties, Radio, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Vessels, Waterways.
Accordingly, the Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation is amending 33 CFR part 401 as follows:

# PART 401—SEAWAY REGULATIONS AND RULES

#### Subpart A—[Amended]

■ 1. The authority citation for subpart A of part 401 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 983(a) and 984(a)(4), as amended; 49 CFR 1.52, unless otherwise noted.

■ 2. § 401.15 is revised to read as follows:

## §401.15 Stern anchors.

(a) Every ship of more than 110m in overall length, the keel of which is laid after January 1, 1975, shall be equipped with a stern anchor.

(b) Every integrated tug and barge or articulated tug and barge unit greater than 110m in overall length which is constructed after January 1, 2003, shall be equipped with a stern anchor.