implying that workers should be eligible for NAFTA—TAA.

An investigation into this matter revealed that production has not been shifted from the subject firm to Canada in the relevant period. Further, as has been noted in detail above, there is no evidence of products like or directly competitive with those produced at the subject firm.

Finally, throughout the reconsideration request, the petitioner alleges that several non-manufacturing functions have been or may be shifted to domestic and Canadian facilities, including the "Training Center", "Customization Engineering", the "Technical Publication Department" and the "Spare Orders Department." The petitioner appears to assert that these shifts should somehow qualify workers for NAFTA-TAA assistance.

None of the above-mentioned departments involve production in context with worker eligibility for NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance, and thus have no relevance in this investigation. Only in very limited instances are service workers certified for NAFTA-TAA, namely the worker separations must be caused by a reduced demand for their services from a parent or controlling firm or subdivision whose workers produce an article and who are currently under certification for NAFTA-TAA.

In conclusion, the workers at the subject firm did not meet the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 250(a) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of April 2003.

## Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03–10750 Filed 4–30–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

## NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

## **Meetings of Humanities Panel**

**AGENCY:** The National Endowment for the Humanities.

**ACTION:** Additional notice of meetings.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended), notice is hereby given that the following meetings of the Humanities Panel will be held at the Old Post Office, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daniel Schneider, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, DC 20506; telephone (202) 606–8322. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Endowment's TDD terminal on (202) 606–8282.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed meetings are for the purpose of panel review, discussion, evaluation and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by the grant applicants. Because the proposed meetings will consider information that is likely to disclose trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential and/or information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that these meetings will be closed to the public pursuant to subsections (c)(4), and (6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

1. *Date:* May 20, 2003. *Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2003 deadline.

2. Date: May 21, 2003. Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2003 deadline.

3. Date: May 22, 2003. Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2003 deadline.

4. *Date:* May 23, 2003. *Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2003 deadline.

5. *Date:* May 28, 2003. *Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. *Room:* 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2003 deadline.

6. *Date:* May 30, 2003. *Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2003 deadline.

### Daniel Schneider,

Advisory Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 03–10794 Filed 4–30–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7536–01–P

### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

## Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation. **ACTION:** Submission for OMB review; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), and as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, the National Science Foundation (NSF) is inviting the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on this proposed continuing information collection. This is the second notice for public comment; the first was published in the Federal Register at 67 FR 69573 on December 24, 2002 and no comments were received. NSF is forwarding the proposed submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance simultaneously with the publication of this second notice.

**DATES:** Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received by OMB within 30 days of publication in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Written comments regarding (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NSF, including whether the information will

have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of NSF's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; or (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for National Science Foundation, 725-17th Street, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, and to Teresa R. Pierce, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 295, Arlington, Virginia 22230 or send e-mail to tpierce@nsf.gov. Copies of the submission may be obtained by calling (703) 292-7555.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Teresa R. Pierce, NSF Reports Clearance Officer at (703) 292–7555 or send e-mail to *tpierce@nsf.gov*.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title of Collection:* 2003 Survey of Doctorate Recipients.

OMB Approval Number: 3145–0020. Abstract: The Survey of Doctorate Recipients (SDR) has been conducted biennially since 1973. The 2003 SDR will consist of a sample of individuals under the age 76 who have earned research doctoral degrees in science and engineering from U.S. institutions. The purpose of this longitudinal study is to provide national estimates on the doctoral science and engineering workforce and changes in employment, education and demographic characteristics. The study is one of three components of the Scientists and Engineers Statistical Data System (SESTAT), which produces national estimates of the size and characteristics of the nation's science and engineering population.

The National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as subsequently amended, includes a statutory charge to "\* \* \* provide a central clearinghouse for the collection, interpretation, and analysis

of data on scientific and engineering resources, and to provide a source of information for policy formulation by other agencies of the Federal Government." The SDR is designed to comply with these mandates by providing information on the supply and utilization of nation's doctorate level scientists and engineers. Collected data will be used to produce estimates of the characteristics of these individuals. They will also provide necessary input into the SESTAT labor force data system, which produces national estimates of the size and characteristics of the country's science and engineering population.

The Foundation uses this information to prepare congressionally mandated reports such as Women, Minorities and Persons with Disability in Science and Engineering and Science and Engineering Indicators. The NSF publishes statistics from the survey in many reports, but primarily in the biennial series, Characteristics of Doctoral Scientists and Engineers in the United States. A public release file of collected data, designed to protect respondent confidentiality, will be made available to research on CD–ROM and on the World Wide Web.

The National Opinion Research Corporation at University of Chicago will conduct the study for NSF. Data will be obtained by self-administered mail and web questionnaires, and computer assisted telephone interviews beginning October 2003. The survey will be collected in conformance with the Privacy Act of 1974 and the individual's response to the survey is voluntary. NSF will insure that all information collected will be kept strictly confidential and will be used only for research or statistical purposes, and preparing scientific reports and articles.

Expected Respondents: A statistical sample of approximately 40,000 U.S. doctorates will be contacted in 2003. A total response rate in 2001 was 83%.

Burden on the Public: The amount of time to complete the questionnaire may vary depending on an individual's circumstances; however, on average it will take approximately 25 minutes to complete the survey. We estimate that the total annual burden will be 14,167 hours during the year.

Dated: April 25, 2003.

## Teresa R. Pierce,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 03–10700 Filed 4–30–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

#### **National Science Board**

# **Committee on Education and Human Resources; Sunshine Act Meetings**

DATE AND TIME: May 5, 2003, 1 p.m.–2 p.m. Open session.

**PLACE:** The National Science Foundation, Stafford One Building, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room 130, Arlington, VA 22230.

**STATUS:** This meeting will be open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Monday, May 5, 2003.

## Open Session (1 p.m. to 2 p.m.)

Consideration of the recommendation of the NSB/EHR Committee Task Force on National Workforce Policies for Science and Engineering to transmit its draft report (NSB/NWP 03–09) to the full Board to be considered for approval as a draft NSB report for public comment.

## **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gerard Glaser, Executive Officer, NSB,

Gerard Glaser, Executive Officer, NSB (703) 292–7000, www.nsf.gov/nsb.

#### Gerard Glaser,

Executive Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–10810 Filed 4–28–03; 4:15 pm]  $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 7555–01-M$ 

#### RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

## Agency Forms Submitted for OMB Review

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) has submitted the following proposal(s) for the collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for review and approval.

## Summary of Proposals(s)

- (1) *Collection title:* Railroad Service and Compensation Reports.
- (2) Form(s) submitted: BA-3a, BA-4, BA-4 (Internet).
  - (3) *OMB Number:* 3220–0008.
- (4) Expiration date of current OMB clearance: 2–28–2005.
- (5) *Type of request:* Revision of a currently approved collection.
- (6) Respondents: Business or other for-profit.
- (7) Estimated annual number of respondents: 579.
  - (8) Total annual responses: 1,028.
- (9) Total annual reporting hours: 37,927.
- (10) *Collection description:* Under the Railroad Retirement Act and the