

of the city of Massillon, 840-acre Stark County Intermodal Facility, 12 acres located at 8045 Navarre Road, SW operated by Peoples Cartage, 40-acre Ford Industrial Park, adjacent to the City of Canton, 18 acres located at 2207 Kimball Road, SE., Canton, operated by Peoples Cartage, 158-acre Sawburg Commerce Industrial Park on the west side of Alliance, and 38-acre Detroit Diesel warehouse located at 515 11th Street, SE., Canton, Stark County, Ohio.

Site 5 (2,347 acres total)—located at the Mansfield Lahm Airport complex, State Route 13 at South Airport Road, Mansfield (includes the airport facility's four industrial parks, airport fueling facilities, and the 91-acre Gorman-Rupp facility, Mansfield, Ohio; and,

Site 6 (309 acres)—Kinder-Morgan/Pinney Dock and Transport Company, Inc. Facility located at 1149 East 5th Street, Ashtabula, Ohio.

The applicant is now requesting authority to update, expand and reorganize the zone as described below. The proposal also requests authority to reduce certain existing sites, and to add several new industrial park sites. Overall, the zone would be reduced by 217 acres.

Site 1 will be reorganized and expanded by deleting 7 acres from the southern portion of the Akron-Canton Regional Airport and adding it to a parcel south of Airport Drive; deleting 79 acres from the southeast and northwestern portions of the Ascot Industrial Park and adding 23 acres to the southern portion of the Park; deleting 18 acres from the rail line portion of the Prosper Industrial Park and adding 18 acres to the southeastern portion of the Park; and adding the 56-acre Akron-Fulton Municipal Airport and three new industrial park sites as follows: 55-acre Streetsboro Road Industrial Park; Hudson; 35-acre Freeway Drive Industrial Park, Macedonia; and, 23-acre Hy-Ko Business Park, Northfield. Overall, the reorganized Site 1 would cover 668 acres.

Site 2 will be reorganized by deleting 393 acres from the southern portion of the Youngstown-Warren Regional Airport and adding two new industrial parks as follows: 110-acre Lordstown Industrial Park, Trumbull County and the 100-acre Centerpointe Business Park, Austintown, Mahoning County, Ohio. The reorganized Site 2 would cover 1,188 acres.

Site 4: will be reorganized by deleting 21 acres from the southern portion of the Intermodal Facility and 31 acres from the northern and southern portions of the 91-acre MDF Industrial Park, Massillon. The applicant also requests to add the 52-acre Cloverleaf Park in Massillon. Total acreage will remain at 1,197.

Site 5: will be modified by deleting 308 acres from the southwestern portion of the Mansfield Lahm Airport. The reorganized Site 5 would cover 2,039 acres.

New Site 7: will involve the Interstate Commerce Center/Frost Road Commerce Center in Streetsboro (149 acres) and the Commerce Industrial Park (12 acres), Portage County, Ohio.

No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below.

1. Submissions via Express/Package Delivery Services: Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building—Suite 4100W, 1099 14th Street NW., Washington, DC 20005; or

2. Submissions via the U.S. Postal Service: Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB—Suite 4100W, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The closing period for their receipt is October 27, 2003. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to November 10, 2003).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at the Foreign-Trade Zones Board's Executive Secretary at the first address listed above, and at the Office for the U.S. Department of Commerce Export Assistant Center, 600 Superior Avenue East, Suite 700, Cleveland, Ohio 44114.

Dated: August 15, 2003.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-21845 Filed 8-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 41-2003]

Proposed Foreign-Trade Zone—Lubbock, TX; Application and Public Hearing

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the City of Lubbock, Texas, to establish a general-purpose foreign-trade zone at sites in Lubbock, Texas, adjacent to the Lubbock Customs port of entry. The FTZ application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the FTZ Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally

filed on August 18, 2003. The applicant is authorized to make the proposal under Senate Bill 691 of the 70th Legislature of the State of Texas (Regular Session, 1987), codified as Tex. Rev. Civ. Stat. Ann. Art. 144601.

The proposed zone would consist of two sites covering 2,393 acres in the Lubbock area of west Texas: *Site 1* (693 acres, 3 parcels)—within the 3,000-acre Lubbock International Airport complex, 5401 N. Martin Luther King, Jr., Blvd., Lubbock; and, *Site 2* (1,700 acres, 3 parcels)—within the 2,467-acre Reese Technology Center, 9801 Reese Blvd, Lubbock. The Lubbock International Airport complex is located within an Enterprise Zone. The Reese Technology Center was formerly the Reese Air Force Base and is currently being developed for commercial use as a business center for distribution, manufacturing and other industrial development. Site 1 is owned by the applicant and Site 2 is owned by the Lubbock Reese Redevelopment Authority.

The application indicates a need for zone services in the Lubbock, Texas, area. Several firms have indicated an interest in using zone procedures for warehousing/distribution activities. Specific manufacturing approvals are not being sought at this time. Requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

As part of the investigation, the Commerce examiner will hold a public hearing on September 23, 2003, at 1 p.m., City of Lubbock City Council Chambers, 1625—13th Street, Lubbock, Texas 79457.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at one of the following addresses:

1. Submissions via Express/Package Delivery Services: Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building—Suite 4100W, 1099—14th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005; or

2. Submissions via the U.S. Postal Service: Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB—Suite 4100W, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The closing period for their receipt is October 27, 2003. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to November 10, 2003).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Foreign-Trade Zones Board's Executive Secretary at the first address listed above, and at the Lubbock International Airport, 5401 Martin Luther King Boulevard, Lubbock, Texas 79401.

Dated: August 19, 2003.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-21843 Filed 8-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-827]

Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Extension of Time Limits.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 27, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Stolz or Magd Zalok, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4474 or (202) 482-4162, respectively.

TIME LIMITS:

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department of Commerce (the Department) to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 245-day time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and the time limit for the final determination to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary determination) from the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

Background

On January 22, 2003, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils from the People's Republic of China, covering the period December 1, 2001, through November 30, 2002. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 68 FR 3009, 3010 (January 22, 2003). The preliminary results are currently due no later than September 2, 2003.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit. Therefore the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results by 120 days until no later than December 31, 2003. *See* Decision Memorandum from Thomas Futtner, Acting Office Director for Import Administration, Group II, Office IV to Holly A. Kuga, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group II, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Department's main building. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results notice.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: August 19, 2003.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group II.

[FR Doc. 03-21904 Filed 8-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-602-805, A-484-802, A-419-802, A-588-864, A-791-818, A-570-889]

Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide From Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, South Africa and the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 27, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Catherine Bertrand (Australia) at 202-482-3207, Doug Kirby (Greece) at 202-482-3782, John Drury (Ireland) at 202-482-0195, Brandon Farlander (Japan) at 202-482-0182, Matthew Renkey (South Africa) at 202-482-2312, Rachel Kreissl (PRC) at 202-482-0409 or Alex Villanueva at 202-482-3208, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Initiation of Investigations

The Petition

On July 31, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("Department") received an antidumping duty petition ("Petition") filed in proper form by Kerr-McGee Chemical LLC ("Kerr-McGee or Petitioner"). Kerr-McGee is a domestic producer of electrolytic manganese dioxide ("EMD"). On August 13, 2003, Petitioner submitted information to supplement the Petition ("Supplemental Response"). Additionally, on August 13, 2003, the Department asked Petitioner to clarify the sales-below-cost allegations and the countries for which the allegations were made. *See Memorandum to the File from Alex Villanueva, Case Analyst through James C. Doyle, Program Manager; EMD: Regarding Sales-Below-Cost Allegations*, dated August 13, 2003. On August 14, 2003, Petitioner submitted a letter indicating that the sales-below-costs allegations were made only for Ireland, Japan and South Africa. Consequently, Petitioner did not request a sales-below-cost allegation for Australia and Greece. On August 20, 2003, Petitioner submitted revised lost sales and revenue information. In accordance with section 732(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), Petitioner alleges imports of EMD from Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, South Africa and the People's Republic of China ("PRC") are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value within the meaning of section 731 of the Act, and that such imports are materially injuring, or threatening material injury to, the U.S. industry.

The Department finds that Petitioner filed its Petition on behalf of the domestic industry because it is an interested party as defined in section 771(9)(C) of the Act, and it has demonstrated sufficient industry support with respect to the investigations it is presently seeking. *See Determination of Industry Support for the Petition* section below.