record and the enrollment information as certified to VA.

(iii) The educational institution location with administrative capability can specify the location where the student is training when sending certifications concerning that student to VA.

(iv) The educational institution location with administrative capability maintains a list of all programs approved for VA training for each location for which it has administrative capability.

(v) The educational institution location with administrative capability has all required records for each location for which it has administrative capability available for review by State approving agency representatives and or VA officials.

(2) If the educational institution wants to consolidate administrative capability at one or more locations in a different State, the State approving agency will refer the matter to the Director, Education Service for approval. The Director, Education Service may approve the request in whole or in part when the educational institution can demonstrate the following:

(i) The location with administrative capability maintains all records and accounts that § 21.4209 requires for each student attending the location (or locations) without administrative capability. These records may be originals, certified copies, or in an electronically formatted record keeping system.

(ii) The educational institution has an employee (or employees) physically present at the location with administrative capability who is able to discuss with or explain to VA the relationship between the student's record and the enrollment information as certified to VA.

(iii) The educational institution location with administrative capability can identify the location where the student is training when sending certifications concerning that student to VA.

(iv) The educational institution location with administrative capability maintains a list of all programs approved for VA training for each location for which it has administrative capability.

(v) The educational institution location with administrative capability either—

(A) Has all required records for each location for which it has administrative capability available for review by State approving agency representatives and/or VA officials, or (B) If located in a different State than the State approving agency representative or the VA official, has the ability and agrees to send copies of any records requested to the State approving agency representative and/or VA official from that location.

(3) The educational institution may locate the administrative capability at its primary administrative offices if the primary administrative offices are not co-located at the main campus.

(4) The State approving agency or the Director, Education Service, as the case may be, may withdraw an approval to consolidate administrative capability for good cause.

(Authority: 38 U.S.C. 3672)

(e) *Combined approval.* The State approving agency will combine the approval of courses offered by an extension of an educational institution with the approval of the main campus or the branch campus that the extension is dependent on. The State approving agency will list the extension and courses approved on the notice of approval sent to the educational institution pursuant to § 21.4258.

(Authority: 38 U.S.C. 3672)

[FR Doc. 03–16265 Filed 6–27–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8320–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[NC-85-200241(b); FRL-7395-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans State of North Carolina: Approval of Miscellaneous Revisions to the Mecklenburg County Air Pollution Control Ordinance

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On September 24, 1997, The North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural resources submitted revisions to the Mecklenburg County Air Pollution Control Ordinance (MCAPCO). These revisions include the addition of new requirements for permits under MCAPCO Section 1.5200 Air Quality Permits, and the adoption of new rules under MCAPCO Section 1.5600 Transportation Facility Procedures. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and

anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no significant, material, and adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before July 30, 2003.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to: Randy Terry at the EPA, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960.

Copies of the State submittal(s) are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours:

- Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. Randy Terry, (404) 562– 9032.
- Mecklenburg County Department of Environmental Protection, 700 North Tryon Street, Charlotte, North Carolina 28202–2236.
- North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, 512 North Salisbury Street, Raleigh, North Carolina 27604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Randy B. Terry at (404) 562–9032, or by electronic mail at *terry.randy@epa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: September 20, 2002.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. 03–173 Filed 6–27–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[SIP NO. CO-001-0075b; FRL-7512-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; State of Colorado; Credible Evidence

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Proposed rule. **SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to take direct final action approving a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Governor of Colorado on July 31, 2002. The July 31, 2002 submittal revises Colorado Air Quality Control Commission (AQCC) Common Provisions Regulation by adding a credible evidence rule. The intended effect of this action is to make the credible evidence rule Federally enforceable. Also, the Governor's July 31, 2002 submittal contains other SIP revisions which will be addressed separately. In the "Rules and Regulations"

section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the preamble to the direct final rule. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing on or before July 30, 2003. ADDRESSES: Written comments may be mailed to Richard R. Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, Mailcode 8P-AR, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Copies of the State documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection at the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Air Pollution Control Division, 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South, Denver, Colorado 802246-1530. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laurel Dygowski, EPA, Region 8, (303) 312–6144.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final

action of the same title which is located in the Rules and Regulations Section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: June 2, 2003. **Robert E. Roberts,** *Regional Administrator, Region 8.* [FR Doc. 03–16027 Filed 6–27–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

41 CFR Parts 300-3, 301-50, 301-52, 301-70, and 301-73

[FTR Case 2003-303]

RIN 3090-AH83

Federal Travel Regulation; eTravel Service (eTS)

AGENCY: Office of Governmentwide Policy, General Services Administration (GSA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The General Services Administration (GSA) is proposing to amend the Federal Travel Regulation (FTR) by revising the term Travel Management System (TMS) to Travel Management Service and by revising its definition. New terms and definitions for the eTravel Program Management Office (PMO) will also be added. This proposed rule will also amend the FTR requirements dealing with employees' use of their agencies' Travel Management Service (TMS) and the eTravel Service (eTS). The eTravel PMO will provide migration planning tools, coordinate migration planning meetings, and provide migration planning support beginning July 2003. This proposed rule requires agencies to begin implementing the eTS no later than December 31, 2004, and to complete migration to eTS for full agency-wide use by September 30, 2006. This proposed rule will also require agencies to submit migration plans and schedules to the eTravel PMO no later than March 31, 2004. This proposed rule specifies that award of a task order to a vendor under the impending eTS Master Contract for implementation services, authorization and travel claim services, and (if applicable) reservation and fulfillment services will constitute the beginning of eTS implementation for an agency. Agency-wide use of the eTS (accommodating FedTrip through September 30, 2004, and agencycontracted Travel Management Center support, if applicable) for all travel management processes and travel claim submissions will constitute complete

migration. Under this proposed rule, agencies will be required to allocate the budget and personnel resources necessary to support eTS implementation, training, and use data exchange. This will include programming agency funds to establish interfaces between the eTS standard data output and their applicable business systems. This proposed regulation is in accordance with the President's Management Agenda for fiscal year 2002.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before July 30, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to—General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat (MVA), 1800 F Street, NW., Room 4035, ATTN: Laurie Duarte, Washington, DC 20405.

Submit electronic comments via the Internet to *FTRCase.2003–303@gsa.gov*.

Please submit comments only and cite FTR Case 2003–303 in all correspondence related to this proposed rule.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Regulatory Secretariat, Room 4035, GS Building, Washington, DC, 20405, (202) 208–7312, for information pertaining to status or publication schedules. For clarification of content, contact Umeki Thorne, Program Analyst, Office of Governmentwide Policy, Travel Management Policy, at (202) 208–7636. Please cite FTR case 2003–303.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

The President's Management Agenda (PMA) for fiscal year 2002 identified five Governmentwide goals to improve Federal management and deliver results. As a result of the PMA, a governmentwide task force known as QuickSilver was established to address performance gaps in existing Government systems as they relate to E-Government, and to improve internal efficiency and effectiveness throughout the Federal Government. Accordingly, in support of the PMA, the Government is procuring the eTravel Service (eTS). The eTS will automate and consolidate the Federal Government's travel process in a web-centric environment, covering all aspects of travel from authorizations and reservations to travel claims and voucher reconciliation. It will eliminate the paper process still in place in many agencies, while leveraging administrative, financial and information technology best practices. The eTS will support reengineering of the entire travel process to realize significant cost savings and improved