NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Documents Containing Reporting or Recordkeeping Requirements: Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

- 1. Type of submission: Revision.
- 2. The title of the information collection: "10 CFR Part 35, Medical Use of Byproduct Material—Recognition of Specialty Boards, Proposed Rule and Burden Revision to NRC Form 313A, Training and Experience and Preceptor Statement."
- 3. The form number if applicable: NRC Form 313A.
- 4. How often the collection is required: Part 35—one-time only; NRC Form 313A—upon application or amendment to a license.
- 5. Who will be required or asked to report: Specialty boards requesting the NRC to recognize their certification processes or recognized boards responding to NRC requests for information when being considered for delisting and materials licensees permitting board-certified individuals to work as radiation safety officers, authorized medical physicists, authorized nuclear pharmacists, or authorized users.
- 6. An estimate of the number of responses: 857.
- 7. The estimated number of annual respondents: 857.
- 8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:
- 10 CFR Part 35—341 reporting hours (0.4 hours per response); NRC Form 313A—889 recordkeeping hours (1.0 hours per individual).
- 9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies: Applicable.
- 10. Abstract: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is proposing to amend its regulations governing the medical use of byproduct material to change its requirements for recognition of specialty boards whose certifications may be used to demonstrate the adequacy of the training and experience of individuals to serve as radiation

safety officers, authorized medical physicists, authorized nuclear pharmacists or authorized users. The proposed rule would also revise the requirements for demonstrating the adequacy of training and experience for pathways other than the board certification pathway. This rulemaking is necessary to address the training and experience issue for recognition of specialty board certifications.

Submit, by January 2, 2004, comments that address the following questions:

- 1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
 - 2. Is the burden estimate accurate?
- 3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
- 4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the submittal may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O1 F23, Rockville, MD 20852. The proposed rule indicated in "The title of the information collection" is or has been published in the Federal Register within several days of the publication date of this Federal Register Notice. The OMB clearance package and rule are available at the NRC's worldwide web site: http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/ doc-comment/omb/index.html for 60 days after the signature date of this notice and are also available at the rule forum site, http://ruleforum.llnl.gov. Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer by January 2, 2004: OMB Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0010 and 3150–0120), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395–3087.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, (301) 415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 25th day of November 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Brenda Jo. Shelton**,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–29899 Filed 12–1–03; 8:45 am]

ganay Information Calla

NUCLEAR REGULATORY

COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

summary: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

- 1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Revision.
- 2. The title of the information collection: General Licensee Registration.
- 3. The form number if applicable: NRC Form 664.
- 4. How often the collection is required: Annually.
- 5. Who will be required or asked to report: General Licensees of the NRC who possess devices subject to registration under 10 CFR 31.5.
- 6. An estimate of the number of annual responses: 3,000.
- 7. The estimated number of annual respondents: 3,000.
- 8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 1,000 hours annually (3,000 respondents x 20 minutes per form).
- 9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies: Not Applicable.
- 10. Abstract: NRC Form 664 is used by NRC general licensees to make reports regarding certain generally licensed devices subject to registration. The registration program allows NRC to better track general licensees, so that they can be contacted or inspected as necessary, and to make sure that generally licensed devices can be identified even if lost or damaged, and to further ensure that general licensees are aware of and understand the requirements for the possession of devices containing byproduct material. Greater awareness helps to ensure that general licensees will comply with the requirements for proper handling and disposal of generally licensed devices

and would reduce the potential for incidents that could result in unnecessary radiation exposure to the public and contamination of property.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide Web site: http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by January 2, 2004. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date.

OMB Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0198), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395–3087.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301–415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of November, 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Beth C. St. Mary**,

Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–29903 Filed 12–1–03; 8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 52-008]

Dominion Nuclear North Anna, LLC; Notice of Hearing and Opportunity To Petition for Leave To Intervene; Early Site Permit for the North Anna ESP Site

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the regulations in Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50, Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities, Part 52, Early Site Permits, Standard Design Certifications, and Combined Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants, and Part 2, Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings and Issuance of Orders, notice is hearby given that a hearing will be held, at a time and place to be set in the future by the Commission or designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (Board). The hearing will consider the application

dated September 25, 2003 filed by Dominion Nuclear North Anna, LLC (Dominion) pursuant to subpart A of 10 CFR part 52 for an early site permit (ESP). The application requests approval of a site owned by Virginia Electric and Power Company and Old Dominion Electric Cooperative in Louisa County, Virginia, approximately 7 miles east northeast of Mineral, Virginia and 40 miles north northwest of Richmond, Virginia, as a location for two or more new nuclear reactors that would, if authorized for construction and operation in a separate licensing proceeding under subpart C of 10 CFR part 52 or under 10 CFR part 50, have a capacity of no more than 8600 Megawatts (thermal) additional for the site. The docket number established for this application is 52-008.

The hearing will be conducted by a Board which will be designated by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel or by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission). Notice as to the membership of the Board will be published in the **Federal Register** at a later date.

The NRC staff will complete a detailed technical review of the application and will document its findings in a safety evaluation report (SER) and an environmental impact statement (EIS). In addition, the Commission will refer a copy of the application to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) in accordance with 10 CFR 52.23, and the ACRS will report on those portions of the application that concern safety. Upon receipt of the ACRS report and completion of the NRC staff's SER and EIS, the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, NRC, will propose findings on the following issues:

Issues Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as Amended

(1) Whether the issuance of an ESP will be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public (Safety Issue 1); and, (2) whether, taking into consideration the site criteria contained in 10 CFR part 100, a reactor, or reactors, having characteristics that fall within the parameters for the site, can be constructed and operated without undue risk to the health and safety of the public (Safety Issue 2).

Issue Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as Amended

Whether, in accordance with the requirements of subpart A of 10 CFR $\,$

part 51, the ESP should be issued as proposed.

The Board will conduct the hearing in accordance with subpart G of 10 CFR part 2. If the hearing is contested as defined by 10 CFR 2.4, the presiding officer will consider Safety Issues 1 and 2 and the issue pursuant to NEPA set forth above.

If the hearing is not a contested proceeding as defined by 10 CFR 2.4, the presiding officer will determine: whether the application and the record of the proceeding contain sufficient information, and the review of the application by the Commission's staff has been adequate to support a negative finding on Safety Issue 1 above, and an affirmative finding on Safety Issue 2 above, as proposed to be made by the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation; and whether the review conducted by the Commission pursuant to NEPA has been adequate.

Regardless of whether the proceeding is contested or uncontested, the presiding officer will: (1) Determine whether the requirements of Section 102(2) (A), (C), and (E) of NEPA and subpart A of 10 CFR part 51 have been complied with in the proceeding; (2) independently consider the final balance among the conflicting factors contained in the record of the proceeding with a view to determining the appropriate action to be taken; and (3) determine, after considering reasonable alternatives, whether the ESP should be issued, denied, or appropriately conditioned to protect environmental values.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.714, any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who desires to participate as a party shall file a written petition for leave to intervene. Petitions must set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, including the reasons why the petitioner should be permitted to intervene with particular reference to the factors set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d)(1), and the specific aspect or aspects of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which the petitioner wishes to intervene.

The Commission, the presiding officer, or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board designated to rule on petitions to intervene shall, in ruling on petitions to intervene, consider the following factors, among other things: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding, (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding, and (3) the possible effect of any order that may