

none of these tests did the tether hook malfunction or improperly perform in any manner. Evenflo is confident that the non-compliance has no adverse impact of the dynamic performance of the child restraints.

Based on the above, Evenflo argued that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. Accordingly, Evenflo requested that it be exempted from the notice and remedy procedures of the Vehicle Safety Act.

You may submit comments on the application described above. Your comments must be written and in English. To ensure that your comments are correctly filed in the Docket, please include the docket number of this document in your comments. Please submit two copies of your comments, including the attachments, to Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Comments may also be submitted to the docket electronically by logging onto the Dockets Management System Web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>. Click on "Help & Information" or "Help/Info" to obtain instructions for filing the document electronically.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated below will be considered. The application and supporting materials, and all comments received after the closing date, will also be filed and will be considered to the extent possible. When the application is granted or denied, the notice will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Comment closing date: October 30, 2003.

(49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8)

Issued on: September 25, 2003.

Stephen R. Kratzke,

Associate Administrator for Rulemaking.

[FR Doc. 03-24742 Filed 9-29-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA 2003-16066; Notice 1]

Subaru of America, Inc., Receipt of Application for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

Subaru of America, Inc. (Subaru) has determined that approximately 2,531 model year 2004 Subaru Impreza STi vehicles do meet the labeling requirements mandated by Federal

Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 108, S7.7(e) on "*headlamp ballast.*"

Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h), Subaru has petitioned for a determination that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety and has filed an appropriate report pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, "Defect and Noncompliance Reports." A copy of the petition may be found in this docket.

This notice of receipt of an application is published under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120 and does not represent any agency decision or other exercise of judgment concerning the merits of the application.

The affected vehicles were produced during the period of February 4, 2003 through July 9, 2003 at Ichikoh Industries, Ltd (Ichikoh), the HID headlamp assembly supplier. The affected headlamps are equipped with a ballast that is currently registered in docket No. NHTSA-98-3397. However, ballast units without all of the label information required in FMVSS No. 108, S7. 7 (e) were used by Ichikoh to assemble a complete headlamp assembly.

Subaru believes that this noncompliance on ballast marking is inconsequential for motor vehicle safety for the following reasons: (1) The ballast (part no.: NZMIC111LAC1000) and ignition module (part no.: NZMIC211LAC1000) used in these headlamp assemblies are the same ones as registered by Matsushita Electric Works, Ltd. according to part 564 except they are missing the information label. For this reason, Subaru believes that this noncompliance will not affect the luminous intensity distribution, mechanical performance or any other headlamp performance characteristic required by FMVSS No. 108. (2) The ballast is designed to have high durability during the vehicle's lifetime and Subaru believes that the ballast, as well as the headlamp assembly, will not need to be replaced from a lack of durability. (3) A properly affixed ballast information label, which is on the bottom surface of the ballast, is not visible unless the headlamp assembly is removed from the vehicle.

Interested persons are invited to submit written views, arguments, and data on the application described above. Comments must refer to the docket and notice number cited at the beginning of this notice and be submitted by any of the following methods: Mail: Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, Nassif Building, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, 20590-001. Hand Delivery: Room PL-401 on the plaza

level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC. Fax: 1-202-493-2251, or submit to Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

It is requested, but not required, that two copies of the comments be provided. The Docket Section is open on weekdays from 10 am to 5 pm except Federal Holidays. Comments may be submitted electronically by logging onto the Docket Management System Web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>. Click on "Help" to obtain instructions for filing the document electronically.

The application and supporting materials and all comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated below will be considered. All comments received after the closing date will also be filed and will be considered to the extent possible. When the application is granted or denied, the notice will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Comment closing date: October 30, 2003.

Authority: (49 U.S.C. 301118, 301120; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8).

Issued on: September 25, 2003.

Stephen R. Kratzke,

Associate Administrator for Rulemaking.

[FR Doc. 03-24743 Filed 9-29-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[Finance Docket 34075]

Six County Association of Governments—Construction and Operation—Rail Line Between Levan and Salina, UT

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement.

SUMMARY: On July 30, 2001 the Six County Association of Governments (SCAOG) filed a Petition for Exemption with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 10502 for authority for construction of a new rail line between Levan and Salina, Utah. The project would involve approximately 45 miles of new rail line and ancillary facilities. Because the construction and operation of this project has the potential to result in

significant environmental impacts, the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) has determined that the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is appropriate. The purpose of this Notice of Intent is to notify individuals and agencies interested in or affected by the proposed project of the decision to require an EIS. SEA will hold public scoping meetings as part of the EIS process.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background: The proposed project, known as the Central Utah Rail Project, includes construction and operation of approximately 45 miles of new rail line connecting the existing Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) line near Levan, Utah to a proposed coal transfer terminal facility near Salina, Utah. Implementation of the proposed project would restore rail service to the Sevier Valley, providing a more direct connection to rail service for the coal industry (primarily the Southern Utah Fuels Company), provide rail service to other shippers in the Sevier Valley, and reduce the number of trucks on highways in the Sevier Valley. The EIS will analyze the potential impacts of the proposed route, the "no-build" alternative, and an alternative alignment.

Environmental Review Process: The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process is intended to assist the Board and the public in identifying and assessing the potential environmental consequences of a proposed action before a decision on the proposed action is made. SEA is responsible for ensuring that the Board complies with NEPA and related environmental statutes. The first stage of the EIS process is scoping. Scoping is an open process for determining the scope of environmental issues to be addressed in the EIS. SEA will soon develop and make available a draft scope of study for the EIS and provide a period for the submission of written comments on it. Concurrently, scoping meetings will be held to provide further opportunities for public involvement and input into the scoping process. The dates, time and locations for the scoping meetings are as follows: Wednesday, October 22, 2003, 6 p.m. to 8 p.m., North Sevier High School, 350 West 400 North, Salina, Utah, School Office (435) 529-3717.

Thursday, October 23, 2003, 6 p.m. to 8 p.m., Gunnison City Hall, 38 West Center Street, Gunnison, Utah, Office (435) 528-7969.

Following the issuance of a draft scope and the comment period, SEA will issue a final scope of study for the EIS.

After issuing the final scope of study, SEA will prepare a Draft EIS (DEIS) for the project. The DEIS will address those environmental issues and concerns identified during the scoping process. It will also contain SEA's preliminary recommendations for environmental mitigation measures. The DEIS will be made available upon its completion for public and agency review and comment. SEA will prepare a Final EIS (FEIS) that considers comments on the DEIS from the public and agencies. In reaching its decision in this case, the Board will take into account the DEIS, the FEIS, and all environmental comments that are received.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Phillis Johnson-Ball, Section of Environmental Analysis, Surface Transportation Board, 1925 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20423-0001, at 1-202-565-1530. (TDD for the hearing impaired 1-800-877-8339). The website for the Surface Transportation Board is <http://www.stb.dot.gov>.

By the Board, Victoria Rutson, Chief, Section of Environmental Analysis.

Vernon A. Williams,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-24740 Filed 9-29-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-00-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Docket No. AB-854X]

**Allegheny & Eastern Railroad, Inc.—
Abandonment Exemption—in Elk and
Cameron Counties, PA**

Allegheny & Eastern Railroad, Inc. (A&E), has filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1152 Subpart F *Exempt Abandonments* to abandon an 18.9-mile line of railroad between milepost 131, near St. Marys, in Elk County, and milepost 149.9, southeast of Emporium, in Cameron County, PA. The line traverses United States Postal Service Zip Codes 15834 and 15857.¹

A&E has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; (2) any overhead traffic on the line can be rerouted over other lines; (3) no formal complaint filed by a user

¹ Pursuant to 49 CFR 1150.50(d)(2), the railroad must file a verified notice with the Board at least 50 days before the abandonment or discontinuance is to be consummated. While the applicant initially indicated a proposed consummation date of October 30, 2003, because the verified notice was filed on September 11, 2003, consummation may not take place prior to October 31, 2003. By letter filed on September 22, 2003, applicant's representative confirmed that the consummation date will be after October 31, 2003.

of rail service on the line (or by a state or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Board or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of complainant within the 2-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7 (environmental reports), 49 CFR 1105.8 (historic reports), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the abandonment shall be protected under *Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment-Goshen*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) must be filed. Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on October 31, 2003, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues,² formal expressions of intent to file an OFA under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),³ and trail use/rail banking requests under 49 CFR 1152.29 must be filed by October 14, 2003. Petitions to reopen or requests for public use conditions under 49 CFR 1152.28 must be filed by October 21, 2003, with: Surface Transportation Board, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423-0001.

A copy of any petition filed with the Board should be sent to A&E's representative: Eric M. Hocky, Gollatz, Griffin & Ewing, P.C., Four Penn Center, Suite 200, 1600 JFK Blvd., Philadelphia, PA 19103.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*.

A&E has filed an environmental report which addresses the abandonment's effects, if any, on the environment and historic resources. SEA will issue an environmental assessment (EA) by October 6, 2003. Interested persons may obtain a copy of

² The Board will grant a stay if an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) in its independent investigation) cannot be made before the exemption's effective date. See *Exemption of Out-of-Service Rail Lines*, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any request for a stay should be filed as soon as possible so that the Board may take appropriate action before the exemption's effective date.

³ Each OFA must be accompanied by the filing fee, which currently is set at \$1,100. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).