

aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implication under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

#### Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or safety that may disproportionately affect children.

#### Environment

The Coast Guard has considered the environmental impact of this action and has determined under figure 2-1, paragraph 34(g) Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, that this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation.

#### Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that Order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a

significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05-1(g), 6.04-1, 6.04-6, and 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.

■ 2. Temporary § 165.T07-075 is added to read as follows:

#### § 165.T07-075 Safety Zone: Offshore from Coral Reef Yacht Club Miami, FL.

(a) *Regulated area.* The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone encompassing a 350-foot circle surrounding a barge in approximate position 25°44.10 N, 80°14.30 W offshore from Coral Reef Yacht Club in Miami for the Coral Reef Yacht Club 4th of July fireworks display.

(b) *Regulations.* In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, anchoring, mooring or transiting in this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port, Miami, FL.

(c) *Effective dates:* This rule is effective from 9 p.m. on July 4, 2003 until 10 p.m. on July 4, 2003.

Dated: May 27, 2003.

**James A. Watson, IV,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Miami.*

[FR Doc. 03-14025 Filed 6-3-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-15-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

#### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR 165

[COTP Miami 03-083]

RIN 1625-AA00

#### Safety Zone; City of Stuart 4th of July Fireworks Display

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary fixed safety

zone in the St. Lucie River 1200 feet from the shore of City Hall in Stuart, FL. The safety zone is established to protect boaters from the hazards associated with the City of Stuart 4th of July fireworks display being held in the St. Lucie River 1200 feet from the shore of City Hall in Stuart, FL. This rule is necessary to ensure safety of life on the navigable waters of the United States.

**DATES:** This safety zone is effective from 9 p.m. on July 4, 2003 until 10 p.m. on July 4, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket COTP Miami 03-083 and are available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Office Miami, 100 MacArthur Causeway, Miami Beach, FL 33139 between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** BMC D. Vaughn and/or BM3 A. Harless at Coast Guard Group Miami, ATON/Deck Miami Beach, FL, tel: (305) 535-4317.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Regulatory Information

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553 (b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM. Publishing an NPRM and delaying the rule's effective date is unnecessary and contrary to public safety because immediate action is necessary to protect the public and waters of the United States. Moreover, an NPRM is unnecessary due to the limited amount of time this rule will be in effect.

For the same reasons, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**.

#### Background and Purpose

The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone encompassing a 1680-foot circle surrounding a barge in approximate position 27°12.55 N, 080°15.00 W in the St. Lucie River 1200 feet from the shore of City Hall for the City of Stuart 4th of July fireworks display. This rule is needed to increase safety in Stuart, FL from 9 p.m. July 4, 2003 to 10 p.m. on July 4, 2003 during the City of Stuart 4th of July fireworks display due to the significant number of vessels in the area for this event. The safety zone is created to provide for the safety of the spectator craft in the vicinity in the St. Lucie River 1200 feet from the

shore of City Hall in Stuart, FL. Vessels are prohibited from anchoring, mooring, or transiting within this zone, unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Miami. The safety zone encompasses the area in the St. Lucie River 1200 feet from the shore of City Hall in Stuart, FL.

This regulation is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential cost and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that order. The Office of Management and Budget has exempted it from review under the order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) because these regulations will only be in effect for a short period of time, and the impacts on routine navigation are expected to be minimal.

#### Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. “Small entities” include small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominate in their field, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under section 605 (b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact upon a substantial number of small entities because the regulations will only be in effect for 2 hours and vessels may be allowed to transit the zone with the express permission of the Captain of the Port of Miami.

#### Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), we offer to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If the rule will affect your small business, organization, or government jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** for assistance in understanding this rule.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you

wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

#### Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

#### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implication under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

#### Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or safety that may disproportionately affect children.

#### Environment

The Coast Guard has considered the environmental impact of this action and has determined under figure 2–1, paragraph 34(g) Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, that this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation.

#### Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that Order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.

■ 2. Temporary § 165.T07–083 is added to read as follows:

#### § 165.T07–083 Safety Zone: St. Lucie River 1200 feet from the shore off of City Hall Stuart, FL.

(a) *Regulated area.* The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone encompassing a 1680-foot circle surrounding a barge in approximate position 27°12.55 N, 80°15.00 W in the St. Lucie River 1200 feet from the shore off of City Hall in Stuart for the City of Stuart 4th of July fireworks display.

(b) *Regulations.* In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, anchoring, mooring or transiting in this zone is prohibited

unless authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port, Miami, FL.

(c) *Effective dates:* This rule is effective from 9 p.m. on July 4, 2003 until 10 p.m. on July 4, 2003.

Dated: May 27, 2003.

**James A. Watson, IV,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Miami.*

[FR Doc. 03-14024 Filed 6-3-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[COTP Miami 03-082]

RIN 1625-AA00

#### Safety Zone; Rivera Beach 4th of July Fireworks Display

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary fixed safety zone in the ICW Northwest of Peanut Island in Rivera Beach, FL. The safety zone is established to protect boaters from the hazards associated with the Rivera Beach 4th of July fireworks display being held in the ICW Northwest of Peanut Island in Rivera Beach. This rule is necessary to ensure safety of life on the navigable waters of the United States.

**DATES:** This safety zone is effective from 9 p.m. on July 4, 2003 until 10 p.m. on July 4, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket COTP Miami 03-082 and are available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Office Miami, 100 MacArthur Causeway, Miami Beach, FL 33139 between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** BMC D. Vaughn and/or BM3 A. Harless at Coast Guard Group Miami, ATON/Deck Miami Beach, FL, tel: (305) 535-4317.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Regulatory Information

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553 (b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a NPRM.

Publishing a NPRM and delaying the rule's effective date is unnecessary and contrary to public safety because immediate action is necessary to protect the public and waters of the United States. Moreover, a NPRM is unnecessary due to the limited amount of time this rule will be in effect.

For the same reasons, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**.

#### Background and Purpose

The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone encompassing a 850-foot circle surrounding a barge in approximate position 26°46.06 N, 080° 02.09 W in the ICW Northwest of Peanut Island in Rivera Beach for the Rivera Beach 4th of July fireworks display. This rule is needed to increase safety in Rivera Beach from 9 p.m. July 4, 2003 to 10 p.m. on July 4, 2003 during the Rivera Beach 4th of July fireworks display due to the significant number of vessels in the area for this event. The safety zone is created to provide for the safety of the spectator craft in the vicinity in the ICW Northwest of Peanut Island in Rivera Beach, FL. Vessels are prohibited from anchoring, mooring, or transiting within this zone, unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Miami. The safety zone encompasses the area in the ICW Northwest of Peanut Island in Rivera Beach, FL.

This regulation is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential cost and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that order. The Office of Management and Budget has exempted it from review under the order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) because these regulations will only be in effect for a short period of time, and the impacts on routine navigation are expected to be minimal.

#### Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612), we considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. "Small entities" include small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their field, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under section 605 (b) that this rule will not

have a significant economic impact upon a substantial number of small entities because the regulations will only be in effect for 2 hours and vessels may be allowed to transit the zone with the express permission of the Captain of the Port of Miami.

#### Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-121), we offer to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If the rule will affect your small business, organization, or government jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** for assistance in understanding this rule.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1-888-REG-FAIR (1-888-734-3247).

#### Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520).

#### Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132. Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

#### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.