relevant geographic market; and by increasing the likelihood of, or facilitating, collusion or coordinated interaction in the relevant geographic market.

IV. Resolution of the Competitive Concerns

The Commission has provisionally entered into an Agreement Containing Consent Order with Respondents Southern Union and CMS in settlement of the Complaint. The Agreement Containing Consent Order contemplates that the Commission would issue the Complaint and enter the Proposed Order to remedy the likely anticompetitive effects arising from the proposed acquisition, as alleged in the Complaint.

The parties have agreed to a proposed consent order that requires Southern Union to terminate the Management Services Agreement with AIG for the management of the Central pipeline by Southern Union's wholly-owned subsidiary, Energy Worx, prior to the proposed acquisition. Southern Union and AIG terminated the Management Services Agreement on May 12, 2003. In addition, the Proposed Order prohibits Southern Union and CMS from transferring any ownership interest in the Panhandle pipeline to AIG. The Proposed Order remedies the anticompetitive effects that are likely to result from common ownership and/or common management of the Panhandle pipeline and the Central pipeline in the relevant geographic market.

Paragraph II of the Proposed Order requires Respondents SU and CMS, prior to the acquisition date, to secure the consent or waiver of AIG for the termination of the Management Services Agreement and to absolutely terminate the Management Services Agreement. The Proposed Order explicitly prohibits Southern Union and CMS from consummating the proposed transaction until the agreement has been terminated. Following the acquisition, Respondent SU shall not, directly or indirectly, operate or manage the Central Pipeline. Additionally, the Proposed Order prohibits Respondent SU from acquiring any ownership interest in AIG or the Central pipeline. This paragraph is designed to ensure that Southern Union will not have an ownership interest in AIG, or any role in managing or operating the Central pipeline.

Paragraph III of the Proposed Order prohibits Respondents Southern Union and CMS from transferring any ownership interest in Southern Union, Panhandle or the Panhandle pipeline to AIG. If either Respondent SU or CMS transfers a non-public ownership interest in Southern Union, Panhandle, or the Panhandle Pipeline to someone other than AIG, it must transfer such interest subject to a restriction that prohibits the sale of such interest to AIG. Paragraph III is designed to prevent the parties from providing any interest in the Panhandle pipeline to AIG.

Paragraphs IV through VII contain standard reporting, notice and access provisions. Pursuant to Paragraph IV, Respondents are required to submit to the Commission a verified written report of compliance every thirty days until the Order is complied with and annually for nine years after the first year the Order becomes final. Paragraph V of the Proposed Order provides for notification to the Commission in the event of any corporate changes in the Respondents. Paragraph VI requires that Respondents provide the Commission with access to their facilities and employees for the purposes of determining or securing compliance with the Proposed Order. Finally, Paragraph VII terminates the Order ten years from the date it becomes final.

V. Opportunity for Public Comment

The Proposed Order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this thirty day comment period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the Proposed Order and the comments received and will decide whether it should withdraw from the Proposed Order or make final the agreement's Proposed Order.

By accepting the Proposed Order subject to final approval, the Commission anticipates that the competitive problems alleged in the Complaint will be resolved. The purpose of this analysis is to invite public comment on the Proposed Order and to aid the Commission in its determination of whether it should make final the Proposed Order contained in the agreement. This analysis is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the Proposed Order, nor is it intended to modify the terms of the Proposed Order in any way.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–14032 Filed 6–3–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6750–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary

[Document Identifier: OS-0937-0166]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, HHS. In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services, is publishing the following summary of proposed collections for public comment. Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including any of the following subjects: (1) The necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to minimize the information collection burden.

Type of Information Collection Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Title of Information Collection: 42 CFR subpart B: Sterilization of Persons in federally Assisted Family Planning Projects; Form No.: OMB #0937–0166; Use: These regulations and informed consent procedures are associated with Federally funded sterilization services. Selected consent forms are audited during the site visits and program reviews by Federal programs to ensure compliance with the regulations and protection of individual's rights.

Frequency: On Occasion. Affected Public: Individuals or households, not for profit institutions, and/or State, local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 50,000. Total Annual Responses: 50,000. Total Annual Hours: 50,000.

To obtain copies of the supporting statement and any related forms for the proposed paperwork collections referenced above, or E-mail your request, including your address, phone number, OS document identifier, to *John.Burke@hhs.gov.*, or call the Reports Clearance Office on (202) 690–8356. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collections must be mailed within 30 days of this notice directly to the OMB desk officer; OMB Human Resources and Housing Branch, Attention: Allison Eydt (OMB #0937– 0166, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: May 27, 2003.

John P. Burke, III,

Paperwork Reduction Act Reports Clearance Officer, Office of the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services.

[FR Doc. 03–13956 Filed 6–3–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4150–34–M

BILLING CODE 4150-34-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day-03-72]

Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer on (404) 498–1210.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Send comments to Anne O'Connor, CDC Assistant Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS-D24, Atlanta, GA 30333. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

Work-related assaults treated in hospital emergency departments (0920– 0575)—Extension—The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Centers for Disease

Control and Prevention (CDC). Workplace violence, both fatal and non fatal, is recognized as an important occupational safety and health issue. Various data systems have provided fairly detailed information on fatal workplace violence, but much less is known about the circumstances and risk factors for non-fatal workplace violence. A number of strategies have been suggested for reducing the incidence and severity of workplace violence in various settings (e.g., taxicabs, health care, law enforcement, social services), but again, little empirical knowledge exists about what has been implemented and what impact such strategies may have. The report, Workplace Violence: A *Report to the Nation*, published by the University of Iowa based on recommendations from a workshop of experts states, "* * research focused on a much broader understanding of the scope and impact of workplace violence is urgently needed to reduce the human and financial burden of this significant public health problem." In 2000, there were 677 workplace homicides in the U.S. From 1993–1999, there were an estimated 1.7 million nonfatal victimizations "while at work or on duty" every year, accounting for 18 percent of all violent crime during the seven-year period. In December 2001, Congress directed NIOSH to "* * develop an intramural and extramural prevention research program that will target all aspects of workplace violence

The Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) maintains a database of injuries treated in a nationally-representative sample of U.S. hospital emergency departments (ED) called the National Electronic Injury Surveillance System (NEISS). Data routinely collected through NEISS include a brief narrative description of the injury event as well as basic demographic information, intent and mechanism of injury, work-relatedness, principal diagnosis, part of body affected, location where the injury occurred, involvement of consumer products, and disposition at ED discharge. For assaults, summary data are also being collected on the relationship of the perpetrator to the injured person and the context (e.g., altercation, robbery, sexual assault, etc.). For work-related cases, occupation and industry information is collected. The data system does not, however, include any information on issues such as the specific workplace circumstances and

risk factors for workplace violence, security measures in place in the workplace and whether they were utilized/worked appropriately, training in workplace violence risk factors and prevention strategies, previous incidents of workplace violence, return to work after assault, and other specific workplace violence information.

For the last ten years, NIOSH has been collaborating with CPSC to collect surveillance data on work-related injuries treated in the NEISS EDs. In addition, NIOSH has utilized the capacity of NEISS to incorporate followback surveys. Follow-back surveys allow collection of first-hand, detailed knowledge that does not exist in administrative or other records. CPSC routinely uses this mechanism to collect information on various types of injuries (e.g., fireworks-related injuries, injuries to children in baby walkers, etc.). NIOSH has used this mechanism to collect information on the circumstances of injury, training, protective equipment (if appropriate), and other issues important to more fully understanding the risk factors for workrelated injuries and to make appropriate recommendations for preventing other such injuries in the future.

The current proposed study will consist of a telephone interview survey of workers treated in NEISS hospital emergency departments for injuries sustained during a work-related assault over a one-year period. CPSC will hire a contractor to conduct the actual telephone interviews. NIOSH will review potential cases to identify those cases that should be forwarded to the contractor for interview. The survey includes an extended narrative description of the injury incident as well as items regarding general workplace organization; personal characteristics of the worker; work tasks at the time of the assault; training on workplace violence risk factors and prevention strategies; security measures in place and how they impacted the outcome of the incident; medical care received for injuries; time away from work; and return to work after the assault. This study will provide critical information for understanding the nature and impact of nonfatal assault among U.S. workers. In combination with data collected from other sources, this information will ultimately contribute to the prevention of violence in the workplace. The only cost to respondents is their time in participating in the survey.