proprietary trade names such as "Durphynox 17." ³

Finally, three specialty stainless steels typically used in certain industrial blades and surgical and medical instruments are also excluded from the scope of this order. These include stainless steel strip in coils used in the production of textile cutting tools (e.g., carpet knives).⁴ This steel is similar to ASTM grade 440F, but containing, by weight, 0.5 to 0.7 percent of molybdenum. The steel also contains, by weight, carbon of between 1.0 and 1.1 percent, sulfur of 0.020 percent or less, and includes between 0.20 and 0.30 percent copper and between 0.20 and 0.50 percent cobalt. This steel is sold under proprietary names such as "GIN4 Mo." The second excluded stainless steel strip in coils is similar to AISI 420-J2 and contains, by weight, carbon of between 0.62 and 0.70 percent, silicon of between 0.20 and 0.50 percent, manganese of between 0.45 and 0.80 percent, phosphorus of no more than 0.025 percent and sulfur of no more than 0.020 percent. This steel has a carbide density on average of 100 carbide particles per square micron. An example of this product is "GIN5" steel. The third specialty steel has a chemical composition similar to AISI 420 F, with carbon of between 0.37 and 0.43 percent, molybdenum of between 1.15 and 1.35 percent, but lower manganese of between 0.20 and 0.80 percent, phosphorus of no more than 0.025 percent, silicon of between 0.20 and 0.50 percent, and sulfur of no more than

0.020 percent. This product is supplied with a hardness of more than Hv 500 guaranteed after customer processing, and is supplied as, for example, "GIN6." 5

Amendment of Final Results

On February 10, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published its final results for its review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Germany for the period of July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001. See Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Germany, (Final Results) 68 FR 6716 (February 10, 2003).

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(c), on February 11, 2003, ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH and ThyssenKrupp VDM GmbH (hereafter referred to as TKN) timely filed an allegation that the Department made ministerial errors in the *Final Results*. Petitioners did not comment on the *Final Results*.

TKN contends that in its *Final Results*, the Department inadvertently did not convert the U.S. sales and expense data of Ken-Mac, an affiliated reseller, from a per-pound basis to a perhundredweight basis, consistent with other U.S. sales and expenses. In addition, TKN notes that the Department deducted indirect selling expenses incurred in the home market (DINDIRSU) from U.S. price for only Krupp Hoesch Steel Products, Inc. (KHSP)'s U.S. sales. The Department, however, did not include DINDIRSU in the CEP offset. *See* TKN's February 10, 2003 submission.

The Department's regulations define a ministerial error as one involving "addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication or the like, and any other similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial." 19 CFR 351.224(f).

After reviewing TKN's allegations we have determined, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224, that the Final Results includes several ministerial errors. We agree with both allegations: we unintentionally overlooked converting Ken-Mac's U.S. sales and expense data from a per-pound basis to a perhundredweight basis. Moreover, we unintentionally omitted DINDIRSU in the CEP offset for KHSP's U.S. sales. See 19 CFR 351.412(f). Therefore, we are amending the *Final Results* to the reflect the correction of the above-cited ministerial errors described above. All changes to the margin program can be found in the analysis memorandum. See Memorandum to the File from Patricia Tran through Robert James, Program Manager, "Analysis for TKN for the Amended Final Results of the Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Germany" for the period of July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001, dated March 17, 2003.

The revised weighted-average dumping margin is as follows:

| Manufacturer / Exporter | Final Weighted-Average Margin (percentage) | Amended Final Weighted- Average Margin (percentage) |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| тки | 4.77 | 4.74 |

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Duty Administrative Review

Department of Commerce.

administrative review.

AGENCY: Import Administration,

International Trade Administration,

ACTION: Extension of time limits for the

preliminary results of antidumping duty

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce

("the Department") is extending the

[A-583-831]

International Trade Administration

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils

from Taiwan: Extension of Time Limits

for Preliminary Results of Antidumping

Consequently, we are issuing and publishing these amended final results and notice in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: March 17, 2003.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 03–6931 Filed 3–21–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S time limits for the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review of stainless steel sheet and strip ("SSSS") from Taiwan.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 24, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter Mueller, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5811.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2002, the Department published a notice of opportunity to

 $^{^{\}scriptscriptstyle 3}$ "Durphynox 17" is a trademark of Imphy, S.A.

⁴ This list of uses is illustrative and provided for descriptive purposes only.

⁵ "GIN4 Mo," "GIN5" and "GIN6" are the proprietary grades of Hitachi Metals America, Ltd.

request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on SSSS from Taiwan. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 67 FR 44172 (July 1, 2002). On July 30, 2002, Yieh United Steel Corporation ("YUSCO") and Chia Far Industrial Factory Co. Ltd.("Chia Far"), Taiwanese producers of subject merchandise, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of their sales of subject merchandise during the period of review ("POR"). On July 31, 2002, petitioners ¹ requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of Chia Far, YUSCO, Tung Mung Development Co., Ltd. ("Tung Mung") and Ta Chen Stainless Pipe Co., Ltd. ("Ta Chen"). On August 27, 2002, the Department published a notice of initiation of a review of SSSS from Taiwan covering the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 67 FR 55000 (August 27, 2002). The preliminary results of review are currently due on April 2, 2003.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations, state that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time specified, the administering authority may extend the 245-day period to issue its preliminary results by 120 days. Completion of the preliminary results of this review within the 245-day period is impracticable for the following reasons:

The review involves a large number of transactions and complex adjustments;
All companies include sales and cost investigations which require the Department to gather and analyze a significant amount of information pertaining to each company's sales practices, manufacturing costs and corporate relationships; and

• The review involves examining complex relationships between the producers and their customers and suppliers.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 90 days until July 1, 2003. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results. This notice is issued and published in accordance with Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations.

March 14, 2003.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III. [FR Doc. 03–6934 Filed 3–21–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-824]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit of the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit of the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review of stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Italy.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limit of the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review of stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Italy.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 24, 2003. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Catherine Bertrand, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3207.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2002, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Italy. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 67 FR 44172 (July 1, 2002). On July 29, 2002, Thyssen Krupp Acciai Speciali S.p.A. ("TKAST"), an Italian producer of subject merchandise requested that the Department conduct an administrative review. On August 27, 2002, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils, for the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 67 FR 55000 (August 27, 2002). The preliminary results of this administrative review are currently due no later than April 2, 2003.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of a review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results within the statutory time limit of 245 days from the date on which the review was initiated. Due to the complexity of issues present in this administrative review, such as home market affiliated downstream sales, and complicated cost accounting issues, the Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete this review within the original time period provided in section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations. Therefore, we are extending the due date for the preliminary results by 120 days, until no later than July 31, 2003. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: March 14, 2003.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III. [FR Doc. 03–6935 Filed 3–21–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

¹Petitioners are Allegheny Ludlum Corporation, AK Steel Corporation, Butler Armco Independent Union, J&L Specialty Steel, Inc., United States Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO/CLC, and Zanesville Armco Independent Organization.