- F. How could the appointment and role of the U.S. Ambassador be improved?
- Ġ. Is the United States' negotiating strength improved or hindered by the use of an appointed political representative working with career spectrum managers and ITU experts from other countries?

H. Assuming the continued appointment of a WRC ambassador, at what point does the Ambassador's appointment need to be effective?

- I. During conference preparatory meetings, administrations meet to agree on the final report of studies, which is used as the technical basis at a WRC. Is it important to bring the Ambassador on board in some capacity prior to the conference preparatory meeting? If so, how can this be accomplished?
- 6. Budgeting WRC Activities
- A. Funding for the WRC Ambassador has been an ongoing concern. To ensure the Ambassador and the delegation staff are able to complete their missions, is it necessary to provide the Ambassador with an operational budget? Is so, how can representational funds best be used to conduct outreach efforts?
- B. What facilities are critical to the functioning of the delegation and the Ambassador at the conference site?
- C. Recognizing that agencies and companies send representatives to the delegation to participate in debates, negotiations, and outreach efforts, how should support be provided to cover the Editorial Committee of each WRC?
- 7. Outreach and Consultations With Other Countries
- A. Are consultations with other administrations needed? If so, at what point in the process should they begin?
- B. Is it important to work with other countries outside of the ITU study groups and the conference preparatory meeting? If so, why and how can this be improved?
- G. Should the Country Contact/
 Outreach program that is developed and utilized at a conference be maintained between conferences? If so, how can this be accomplished? Who should lead this effort? What role can the private sector play?
- D. Should WRC outreach activities be integrated with other international activities of the State Department, NTIA and FCC? If so, how?
- E. How effective were the Delegation Consultations prior to WRC–03? Were they started in a timely manner?
- 8. Training
- A. Are trained and qualified Federal Government Spokespersons and issue

- coordinators available throughout the WRC preparatory process and especially at the Conference?
- B. Are training programs needed for spokespersons and delegates? If so, what should they consist of?
- C. Is preparatory training needed for general participation in ITU-R Study Groups in support of WRC activities? If so, what should it consist of?
- D. What steps should be taken to maintain a cadre of experienced personnel in the Federal government in order for them to assume leadership and spokesperson roles at future WRCs?
- 9. WRC Domestic Implementation Process
- A. In the past, the United States has been faced with challenges regarding the implementation of WRC decisions. What can be done to improve this process?
- B. The GAO report noted that Federal agencies are concerned that WRC allocation decisions of primary interest to the Federal government go without action, how can the process be improved to ensure equal treatment of both government and private sector interests?
- C. Should FCC/NTIA develop a plan and schedule to complete rulemaking for each WRC agenda item? If so, within what timeframe of WRC completion should the plan be executed?

General Areas

- A. In broad terms, what goals should the United States have for WRCs? How should these goals be established?
- B. How effective has the United States been in the WRC process?
- C. What have been the benefits and costs of regional preparation for WRCs?
- D. How often should WRCs occur and what, if any, limitations should the U.S. support regarding WRC agendas.
- E. Over the years, there has been concern among WRC participants (government and non-government) regarding staffing issues. Do NTIA and the Federal agencies have sufficient staff with appropriate expertise to support spectrum management activities in the WRC preparation process?

Dated: October 20, 2003.

Kathy Smith,

Chief Counsel.

[FR Doc. 03–26789 Filed 10–22–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-60-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:

Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, DoD.

TIME AND DATE: 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., November 4, 2003.

PLACE: Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Board of Regents Conference Room (D3001), 4301 Jones Bridge Road, Bethesda, MD 20814–4799.

STATUS: Open—under "Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3)).

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

- 8 a.m. Meeting—Board of Regents
 - (1) Approval of Minutes—August 4, 2003
 - (2) Faculty Matters
 - (3) Departmental Reports
 - (4) Financial Report
 - (5) Report—President, USUHS
 - (6) Report—Dean, School of Medicine
 - (7) Report—Dean, Graduate School of Nursing
 - (8) Comments—Chairman, Board of Regents
 - (9) New Business

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Charles Mannix, Executive Secretary, Board of Regents, (301) 295–3981.

Dated: October 10, 2003.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 03–26849 Filed 10–21–03; 10:34 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education.
SUMMARY: The Leader, Regulatory
Information Management Group, Office
of the Chief Information Officer invites
comments on the submission for OMB
review as required by the Paperwork
Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 24, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Lauren Wittenberg, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington,