Nasdaq believes that the originally approved ViewSuite pricing is reasonably related to the costs of creating and operating the product and reflects its eventual value to subscribers. As SuperMontage becomes established and understood by investors and the trading community, Nasdaq believes that the existing pricing will be appropriate for the ViewSuite product. At present, because SuperMontage and ViewSuite are still new products, Nasdaq is seeking to maximize adoption of the products through lower prices and by simplifying user entitlements for potential new subscribers.

To support broad dissemination of the data and understanding by its customers, Nasdaq proposes to simplify and reduce the pricing for ViewSuite on a pilot basis. The pilot would be for one year. The sole ViewSuite entitlement would include ADAP, Prime, and NQDS service, as those products are defined in Rule 7010(q). Nasdaq does not believe that it is appropriate to offer a standalone data package of the incremental ViewSuite data that is not contained in NQDS. This is because the incremental information consists of supplemental quotation and order information (NQDS contains all market participants' best quotes) that might be misleading to subscribers as a stand-alone package.

As with the existing ViewSuite pricing, both professional and nonprofessional fees would be offered. Monthly controlled device fees for existing ViewSuite subscribers would be reduced, with the exception of professional subscribers to DepthView. ¹⁰ Nasdaq believes that the impact of the effective increase in the fees to professional DepthView users will be minimal; Nasdaq's research suggests that, in general, these users will willingly purchase the additional information contained in the ViewSuite entitlement once the charge for this information is reduced.

Nasdaq would continue to distribute both detailed and aggregate data from SuperMontage but decisions on how to display the data would be left to vendors' discretion (subject to the SEC Vendor Display Rule). Any Enterprise License Agreements will remain in effect for their specified term.

2. Statutory Basis

Nasdaq believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of section 15A of the Act,¹¹ in general and with section 15A(b)(5) of the Act,¹² in particular, in that the proposal provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility or system which the NASD operates or controls. Nasdaq represents that the proposed pilot programs are available to all distributors of the ViewSuite products.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

Nasdaq does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as amended.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

A. by order approve such proposed rule change, or

B. institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549– 0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the NASD. All submissions should refer to file number SR–NASD–2003–111 and should be submitted by September 11, 2003.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority. $^{13}\,$

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–21448 Filed 8–20–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-48353; File No. SR-NASD-2003-126]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. To Extend the Pilot Period for Rules Relating to Bond Fund Volatility Ratings

August 15, 2003.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on August 7, 2003, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the NASD. The NASD filed the proposal pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(Å) of the Åct,³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder,⁴ which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission.⁵ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

⁵ The NASD provided written notice of its intention to file the proposed rule change on August 5, 2003. The Commission reviewed the NASD's submission, and told the NASD it was acceptable to file the proposed rule change immediately. The NASD asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay. *See* Rule 19b– 4(f)(6)(iii). 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6)(iii).

 $^{^{10}}$ Fees would be generally reduced, as follows: TotalView Professional: - \$80

TotalView Non-Professional: -\$136

PowerView Professional: -\$5

PowerView Non-Professional: -\$15

 $DepthView\ Professional: \ \ +\20

DepthView Non-Professional: -\$11

Nasdaq believes that the increase in Depth View pricing reflects the addition of NQDS, which cannot be discounted because it is part of the UTP Plan, as described above.

¹¹15 U.S.C. 78*0*–3.

^{12 15} U.S.C. 780-3(b)(5).

^{13 1917} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The NASD proposes to extend the expiration date of the pilot period for the NASD's rules concerning bond mutual fund volatility ratings. The current pilot is scheduled to expire on August 31, 2003. The proposed rule change extends the pilot period until August 31, 2005. The text of the proposed rule change is below. Proposed new language is in italics; proposed deletions are in brackets.

IM–2210–5. Requirements for the Use of Bond Mutual Fund Volatility Ratings

(This rule and Rule 2210(c)(3) will expire on August 31, [2003] 2005, unless extended or permanently approved by [the Association] NASD at or before such date.)

(a) through (c) No change.

* * * *

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the NASD included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The NASD has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

Background and Description of the NASD's Rules on Bond Mutual Fund Volatility Ratings. On February 29, 2000, the Commission approved the adoption of NASD Interpretive Material 2210–5, which permits members and their associated persons to include bond fund volatility ratings in supplemental sales literature (mutual fund sales material that is accompanied or preceded by a fund prospectus).⁶ The Commission also approved at that time new NASD Rule 2210(c)(3), which sets forth the filing requirements and review procedures applicable to sales literature containing bond mutual fund volatility

ratings. Previously, the NASD staff interpreted NASD rules to prohibit the use of bond fund volatility ratings in sales material.

IM-2210-5 permits the use of bond fund volatility ratings only in supplemental sales literature and only if certain conditions are met:

• The word "risk" may not be used to describe the rating.

• The rating must be the most recent available and be current to the most recent calendar quarter ended prior to use.

• The rating must be based exclusively on objective, quantifiable factors.

• The entity issuing the rating must provide detailed disclosure on its rating methodology to investors through a tollfree telephone number, a web site, or both.

• A disclosure statement containing all of the information required by the rule must accompany the rating. The statement must include such information as the name of the entity issuing the rating, the most current rating and the date it was issued, and a description of the rating in narrative form containing certain specified disclosures.

Rule 2210(c)(3) requires members to file bond mutual fund sales literature that includes or incorporates volatility ratings with the Advertising Regulation Department of the NASD ("Department") at least 10 days prior to use for Department approval. If the Department requests changes to the material, the material must be withheld from publication or circulation until the requested changes have been made or the material has been refiled and approved.

IM-2210-5 and the new Rule 2210(c)(3) initially were approved on an 18-month trial basis that was scheduled to expire on August 31, 2001.⁷ On August 10, 2001, the NASD filed with the Commission a proposed rule change that was effective upon filing that extended the effectiveness of IM-2210-5 and Rule 2210(c)(3) an additional two years until August 31, 2003.⁸

Proposed Rule Change to Extend the Expiration Date of IM-2210-5 and Rule 2210(c)(3). As indicated in the Commission's order approving IM-2210-5 and Rule 2210(c)(3), the NASD requested the 18-month trial period to provide an opportunity to assess whether the rule had facilitated the dissemination of useful, understandable

7 Id.

information to investors, and whether it had prevented the dissemination of inappropriate and misleading information.⁹ During the initial 18month pilot period, the Department received very few filings that contained bond fund volatility ratings. Although these filings generally met the rule's requirements, the staff did not believe that it had received a sufficient number of filings to adequately evaluate the rule's effectiveness. Accordingly, in July 2001, the NASD Regulation, Inc. Board of Directors authorized a rule filing with the Commission to extend the pilot for two years. The NASD subsequently filed with the Commission a proposed rule change, which was effective upon filing, to extend the pilot period until August 31, 2003.10

Since August 2001, the Department has continued to receive very few filings under this rule. During the entire period from February 2000, when the rule was first approved, until the present, the NASD has received a total of 41 submissions from three NASD members. In general, these filings met the requirements of IM-2210-5. However, the staff does not believe that it has received a sufficient number of filings to adequately evaluate the rule's effectiveness.

In particular, the NASD believes that, because of the low interest rates over the last two years, bond mutual funds have had little reason to distribute sales material that contains volatility ratings. The NASD believes that it needs to review the rule in an environment in which there is greater demand for sales literature that includes bond mutual fund volatility ratings to determine the rule's effectiveness. The NASD believes there is a reasonable probability that such environment will exist over the next two years.

Accordingly, the NASD proposes to extend the expiration date of IM–2210– 5 and Rule 2110(c)(3) for an additional two years, until August 31, 2005, to allow more filings to be made. Before this period expires, the staff will evaluate the rule and determine whether to recommend that the rule be eliminated, modified, or permanently approved as is. The proposal contains no substantive changes to the way in which the pilot has operated during the past two years; it only extends the pilot period by an additional two years.

2. Statutory Basis

The NASD believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of section 15A(b)(6) of the

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 42476 (February 29, 2000), 65 FR 12305 (March 8, 2000) (SR-NASD-97-89).

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 44737 (August 22, 2001), 66 FR 45350 (August 28, 2001) (SR–NASD–2001–49).

⁹ See footnote 6, supra.

¹⁰ See footnote 8, supra.

Act,¹¹ which requires, among other things, that the NASD's rules be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, protect investors and the public interest. The NASD believes that extending the expiration date of IM–2210–5 and Rule 2210(c)(3) will provide the additional experience necessary to fully analyze and evaluate the provisions.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The NASD does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as amended.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not:

(i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest;

(ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and

(iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act ¹² and Rule 19b–4(f)(6) thereunder.¹³ At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

The NASD has asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay. The Commission believes waiving the 30day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Such waiver will allow the pilot to operate without interruption through August 31, 2005. For these reasons, the Commission designates the proposal to be effective and operative upon filing with the Commission.¹⁴

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposal is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the NASD. All submissions should refer to file number SR-NASD-2003-126 and should be submitted by September 11, 2003.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁵

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–21449 Filed 8–20–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 4454]

Culturally Significant Objects Imported for Exhibition Determinations: Cultural Artifacts From Iraq

AGENCY: Department of State. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the following determinations: Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Act of October 19, 1965 (79 Stat. 985; 22 U.S.C. 2459), Executive Order 12047 of March 27, 1978, the Foreign Affairs Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998 (112 Stat. 2681, et seq.; 22 U.S.C. 6501 note, et seq.), Delegation of Authority No. 234 of October 1, 1999, and Delegation of Authority No. 236 of October 19, 1999, as amended, I hereby determine that the historic and modern books, documents, parchment scrolls, and other items discovered in early May 2003 in the basement of the Mukhabahrat in

Baghdad, most of which pertain to the Jewish community, imported from abroad for temporary exhibition in the United States, including restoration necessary thereto, are of cultural significance. The objects are imported pursuant to an agreement with the foreign owner or custodian. I also determine that their temporary exhibition or display by the National Archives and Records Administration, or another educational or cultural institution, is in the national interest. Public Notice of these Determinations is ordered to be published in the Federal Register.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information, including available descriptive materials, contact Lorie J. Nierenberg, Assistant Legal Adviser for Public Diplomacy and Public Affairs, Office of the Legal Adviser, U.S. Department of State (telephone: (202) 619–5078). The address is U.S. Department of State, SA–44, 301 4th Street, SW., Room 700, Washington, DC 20547–0001.

Dated: August 18, 2003

Patricia S. Harrison,

Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State. [FR Doc. 03–21573 Filed 8–20–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4710–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 4453]

Bureau of Political—Military Affairs: Directorate of Defense Trade Controls; Notifications to the Congress of Proposed Commercial Export Licenses

AGENCY: Department of State. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Department of State has forwarded the attached Notifications of Proposed Export Licenses to the Congress on the dates shown on the attachments pursuant to sections 36(c) and 36(d) and in compliance with section 36(f) of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2776).

EFFECTIVE DATE: As shown on each of the twenty-eight letters.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Peter J. Berry, Director, Office of Defense Trade Controls Licensing, Directorate of Defense Trade Controls, Bureau of Political-Military Affairs, Department of State (202 663–2700).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 36(f) of the Arms Export Control Act mandates that notifications to the Congress pursuant to sections 36(c) and

^{11 15} U.S.C. 780-3(b)(6).

^{12 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

^{13 17} CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹⁴ For purposes only of accelerating the operative date of this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on

efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

¹⁵ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).