Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107–314). Section 332 authorizes DoD to waive the prohibition at 10 U.S.C. 2465(a), to permit contractor performance of security-guard functions at military installations or facilities to meet the increased need for such functions since September 11, 2001.

Three respondents submitted comments on the interim rule. Two of the respondents expressed their support for the rule. A third respondent stated that a contracting officer's representative (COR) may be appointed to an installation's Provost Marshal or Security Office and questioned whether the rule should specify that contracting offices must ensure that CORs are duly trained. DoD believes that training of CORs is already adequately addressed in DFARS 201.602-2(2), which states that a COR must be "qualified by training and experience commensurate with the responsibilities to be delegated in accordance with department/agency guidelines." Therefore, DoD believes that no change to the rule is needed, and has adopted the interim rule as a final

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

DoD certifies that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., because the rule applies only to security-guard functions in excess of those being performed on military installations or facilities as of September 10, 2001. While the rule is expected to result in additional opportunities for small business concerns to perform security-guard functions, the overall impact is not expected to be substantial.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the rule does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 237

Government procurement.

Michele P. Peterson,

Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

Interim Rule Adopted as Final Without Change

Accordingly, the interim rule amending 48 CFR part 237, which was

published at 68 FR 7443 on February 14, 2003, is adopted as a final rule without change.

[FR Doc. 03–21310 Filed 8–20–03; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

48 CFR Part 252

[DFARS Case 2003-D007]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Caribbean Basin Country—Dominican Republic

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD). **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: DoD has issued a final rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to add the Dominican Republic to the list of Caribbean Basin countries whose products DoD may acquire under the Trade Agreements Act, in accordance with a determination of the United States Trade Representative.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 21, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Amy Williams, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council,

OUSD(AT&L)DPAP(DAR), IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062. Telephone (703) 602–0328; facsimile (703) 602–0350. Please cite DFARS Case 2003–D007.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

This final rule amends the clause at DFARS 252.225–7021, Trade Agreements, to add the Dominican Republic to the definition of "Caribbean Basin country." The rule implements the direction of the United States Trade Representative to treat the products of the Dominican Republic as eligible products in acquisitions subject to the Trade Agreements Act (68 FR 27883, May 21, 2003).

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

This rule will not have a significant cost or administrative impact on contractors or offerors, or a significant effect beyond the internal operating procedures of DoD. Therefore, publication for public comment is not required. However, DoD will consider comments from small entities concerning the affected DFARS subpart in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Such comments should cite DFARS Case 2003–D007.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the rule does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 252

Government procurement.

Michele P. Peterson,

Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

- Therefore, 48 CFR Part 252 is amended as follows:
- 1. The authority citation for 48 CFR Part 252 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 41 U.S.C. 421 and 48 CFR Chapter 1.

PART 252—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

252.225-7021 [Amended]

- \blacksquare 2. Section 252.225–7021 is amended as follows:
- lacktriangle a. By revising the clause date to read "(AUG 2003)"; and
- b. In paragraph (a)(1) by adding, in alphabetical order, "Dominican Republic" to the list of countries.

[FR Doc. 03–21313 Filed 8–20–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

48 CFR Part 252

[DFARS Case 2002-D016]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Liability for Loss Under Vessel Repair and Alteration Contracts

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD). **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: DoD has issued a final rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to increase a contractor's liability for loss or damage under vessel repair and alteration contracts, from \$5,000 to \$50,000 per incident. The increased dollar ceiling is based on adjustments for inflation, the need to provide a financial incentive for contractors to minimize loss and damage, and common insurance practices.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 21, 2003. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Euclides Barrera, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, OUSD(AT&L)DPAP(DAR), IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062. Telephone (703) 602–0296; facsimile (703) 602–0350. Please cite DFARS Case 2002–D016.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

DoD uses the clause at DFARS 252.217–7012, Liability and Insurance, in master agreements for repair and alteration of vessels. The clause holds a contractor liable for loss or damage resulting from defective contractor workmanship and materials, and contains a liability ceiling for any other contractor-incurred loss or damage. This rule increases the contractor's liability ceiling from \$5,000 to \$50,000 per incident.

DoD published a proposed rule at 68 FR 7491 on February 14, 2003. One respondent submitted comments on the proposed rule. A summary of DoD's analysis of the comments is provided below:

Comment: The respondent took issue with the increase in the contractor's liability ceiling from \$5,000 to \$50,000, and instead recommended a ceiling of \$7,465 based on actual inflation experienced by the shipbuilding industry since 1982 when the \$5,000 ceiling was established.

DoD Response: Do not concur. The increase was not based solely on inflation factors. The increase from \$5,000 to \$50,000 was determined to be appropriate as a result of a Navy study of incidents of contractor-incurred damages under vessel repair and alteration contracts during a recent 3year period, which indicated that 70 percent of the incidents were for amounts below \$50,000, whereas only 30 percent of the incidents were for amounts of \$5,000 or less. The objective of the increase is to provide a financial incentive for contractors to minimize loss and damage.

Comment: The respondent does not agree with DoD's position that the increased dollar ceiling is necessary to provide a financial incentive for contractors to minimize loss or damage. The clause at DFARS 252.217–7012 already provides a strong financial incentive for contractors to minimize loss or damage. Under the clause, the Government's assumption of risk is

essentially limited to loss or damage resulting from accidents. To require contractors to assume more of the costs associated with accidental damage to vessels will not necessarily result in a reduced number of occurrences, but will force contractors to price the costs of assumption of additional risks (due to higher ceilings) into their cost proposals for Navy ship repair work.

DoD Response: Do not concur. Increasing the ceiling is consistent with the commercial insurance practice of setting a deductible that lowers claim frequency, eliminates insubstantial claims, and provides an incentive for the insured to avoid losses. Any increased contract costs that might result from the higher ceiling should be offset by the reduced number of claims submitted to the Government.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

This rule may have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq. DoD has prepared a final regulatory flexibility analysis, which is summarized as follows:

This rule increases a contractor's liability for loss or damage to a Government vessel, materials, or equipment, from \$5,000 to \$50,000 per incident. The rule will apply to small entities that have a master agreement with DoD for repair and alteration of vessels. There is no available estimate of the total number of small entities that will be subject to the rule. However, the Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEA), which is responsible for the maintenance and repair of the majority of vessels, has collected data indicating that, during the period from May 1997 to October 2002, there were 61 occurrences of contractor-caused damages. Of those, 13 occurrences (21 percent) were attributed to small entities. Entities with master agreements for repair and alteration of vessels will need to increase their insurance coverage from \$5,000 to \$50,000. DoD considered using a liability ceiling of

less than \$50,000, but believes the \$50,000 ceiling to be appropriate because—

- 1. This ceiling should capture a majority of claims, since a NAVSEA study has shown that 70 percent of incidents of contractor-incurred damages during a recent 3-year period were for amounts less than \$50,000;
- 2. The increase should provide an incentive for contractors to reduce the number of such occurrences, thereby reducing vessel "down-time" for maintenance and repair and making more efficient use of scarce maintenance dollars; and
- 3. The increase is consistent with the commercial insurance practice of setting a deductible that lowers claim frequency, eliminates insubstantial claims, and provides an incentive for the insured to avoid losses.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the rule does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 252

Government procurement.

Michele P. Peterson,

Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

- Therefore, 48 CFR Part 252 is amended as follows:
- 1. The authority citation for 48 CFR Part 252 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 41 U.S.C. 421 and 48 CFR Chapter 1.

PART 252—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

252.217-7012 [Amended]

- \blacksquare 2. Section 252.217–7012 is amended as follows:
- a. By revising the clause date to read "(AUG 2003)"; and
- b. In paragraph (b)(6), by removing "\$5,000" and adding in its place "\$50.000".

[FR Doc. 03–21311 Filed 8–20–03; 8:45 am]