

on the safe harbor, the rates that it compares to the nationwide urban rate benchmark shall include the access charges and other mandatory monthly rates included in the rate survey published in the most recent annual *Reference Book of Rates, Price Indices, and Expenditures for Telephone Service*. The *Reference Book of Rates, Price Indices, and Expenditures for Telephone Service* is available for public inspection at the Commission's Reference Center at 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554 and on the Commission Web site at [www.fcc.gov/wcb/iatd/lec.html](http://www.fcc.gov/wcb/iatd/lec.html).

(c) *Definition of "rural area."* For the purposes of this section, a "rural area" is a non-metropolitan county or county equivalent, as defined in the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Revised Standards for Defining Metropolitan Areas in the 1990s and identifiable from the most recent Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) list released by OMB. At a state's discretion, a "rural area" may also include any wire center designated by the state as rural for the purposes of this section. In the event that a state designates a wire center as rural, it must provide an explanation supporting such designation in its certification pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section.

(d) *Schedule for certification.* Annual certifications are required on the schedule set forth in § 54.313(d)(3), beginning October 1, 2004. Certifications due on October 1 of each year shall pertain to rates as of the prior July 1. Certifications filed during the remainder of the schedule set forth in § 54.313(d)(3) shall pertain to the same date as if they had been filed on October 1.

(e) *Effect of failure to certify.* In the event that a state fails to certify, no eligible telecommunications carrier in the state shall receive support pursuant to § 54.309.

[FR Doc. 03-30826 Filed 12-12-03; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 03-3853, MB Docket No. 03-97, RM-10683]

#### Digital Television Broadcast Service; Juneau, AK

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission, at the request of Capital Community Broadcasting, Inc., substitutes DTV channel \*10 for DTV channel \*6 at Juneau, Alaska. See 68 FR 19486, April 21, 2003. DTV channel \*10 can be allotted to Juneau, Alaska, in compliance with the principle community coverage requirements of section 73.625(a) at reference coordinates 58-18-04 N. and 134-25-21 W. with a power of 0.748, HAAT of -320.3 meters and with a DTV service population of thousand 26. Since the community of Juneau is located within 400 kilometers of the U.S.-Canadian border, concurrence from the Canadian government was obtained for this allotment. With this action, this proceeding is terminated.

**DATES:** Effective January 20, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Pam Blumenthal, Media Bureau, (202) 418-1600.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MB Docket No. 03-97, adopted December 2, 2003, and released December 5, 2003. The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC. This document may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC, 20554, telephone 202-863-2893, facsimile 202-863-2898, or via e-mail [qualexint@aol.com](mailto:qualexint@aol.com).

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Digital television broadcasting, Television.

■ Part 73 of title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 73—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

#### § 73.622 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 73.622(b), the Table of Digital Television Allotments under Alaska, is amended by removing DTV channel \*6 and adding DTV channel \*10 at Juneau.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Barbara A. Kreisman,**  
Chief, Video Division, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 03-30880 Filed 12-12-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 03-3852, MM Docket No. 00-198, RM-9980]

#### Digital Television Broadcast Service; Corpus Christi, TX

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission, at the request of KVOA Communications, Inc., substitutes DTV channel 13 for DTV channel 50 at Corpus Christi, Texas. See 65 FR 61299, October 17, 2000. DTV channel 13 can be allotted to Corpus Christi, Texas, in compliance with the principle community coverage requirements of Section 73.625(a) at reference coordinates 27-44-28 N. and 97-36-08 W. with a power of 160, HAAT of 291 meters and with a DTV service population of 501 thousand. Since the community of Corpus Christi is located within 275 kilometers of the U.S.-Mexican border, concurrence from the Mexican government has been obtained for this allotment. With this action, this proceeding is terminated.

**DATES:** Effective January 20, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Pam Blumenthal, Media Bureau, (202) 418-1600.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 00-198, adopted December 2, 2003, and released December 5, 2003. The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC. This document may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., CY-B402, Washington, DC, 20554, telephone 202-863-2893, facsimile 202-863-2898, or via e-mail [qualexint@aol.com](mailto:qualexint@aol.com).

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Digital television broadcasting, Television.

■ Part 73 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 73—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for Part 73 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

**§ 73.622 [Amended]**

■ 2. Section 73.622(b), the Table of Digital Television Allotments under Texas, is amended by removing DTV channel 50 and adding DTV channel 13 at Corpus Christi.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Barbara A. Kreisman,**

*Chief, Video Division, Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 03-30882 Filed 12-12-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-U

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE****48 CFR Parts 232 and 252**

[DFARS Case 2002-D001]

**Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Electronic Submission and Processing of Payment Requests**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** DoD has adopted as final, with changes, an interim rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement Section 1008 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001. Section 1008 requires contractors to submit, and DoD to process, payment requests in electronic form.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 15, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Thaddeus Godlewski, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, OUSD (AT&L) DPAP (DAR), IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3062. Telephone (703) 602-2022; facsimile (703) 602-0350. Please cite DFARS Case 2002-D001.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****A. Background**

DoD published an interim rule at 68 FR 8450 on February 21, 2003, to implement Section 1008 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106-398). Section 1008 requires contractors to submit, and DoD to process, payment requests in electronic form. Seventeen sources submitted comments on the interim rule. A discussion of the comments is provided below. Differences between the interim and final rules are discussed in the DoD response to Comment 9 below. In addition, DoD has revised the language at 232.7002(a)(6)(ii) and 252.232-7003(c) to further address the involvement of the contract administration office in decisions to permit exceptions to the rule.

1. *Comment:* The rule should permit agencies to implement procedures identifying criteria for exemptions, incorporation of the DFARS clause in existing contracts, and the method for documenting an alternate payment method between the contracting officer, the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS), and the vendor when the vendor cannot comply with the DFARS policy.

*DoD Response:* Concur in part. The DFARS rule does not preclude agencies from providing guidance to contracting officers to address the particular situations of the agency that may warrant an exemption from the policy. DoD believes the language at DFARS 232.7002(a)(1) through (6) provides sufficient flexibility for agencies to implement this policy without needing specific additional exemption authority.

As for existing contracts, DoD does not believe it is necessary to specify whether the clause applies retroactively. Policy on the applicability of DFARS changes is provided in DFARS 201.304(6), which states, “\* \* \* Unless guidance accompanying a change states otherwise, contracting officers must include any new or revised clauses, provisions, or forms in solicitations issued on or after the effective date of the change.” This rule does not deviate from the policy in DFARS 201.304(6) and, therefore, requires no additional instructions regarding applicability.

2. *Comment:* The rule should provide leeway to exclude classified procurement systems from any mandated changes implemented.

*DoD Response:* Concur. Classified invoices are exempt from the electronic submission requirement in accordance with DFARS 232.7002(a)(3).

3. *Comment:* How will DoD know that contracting offices are fully functional? Comments were submitted in response to the proposed rule published on May 31, 2002, which expressed concerns regarding the ability of agency software to comply with the electronic submission requirements.

*DoD Response:* In response to a comment received on the proposed rule published at 67 FR 38057 on May 31, 2002, DFARS 232.7002(a)(6) was added to the interim rule. This paragraph permits the contracting officer to authorize a contractor to submit a payment request in other than electronic form until such time as the agency is able to process payments electronically. Knowing which contracting offices are fully functional is an internal DoD implementation issue, which is outside the scope of this case. DoD anticipates that agencies will implement internal

controls to monitor progress in complying with this policy.

4. *Comment:* One company indicated that it was not set up to send electronic invoices or accept electronic purchase orders. The company does not accept e-mail or FAX orders, and does not accept credit cards. Changing to all electronic payments would be a hardship to a small company such as itself that does limited Government business.

*DoD Response:* DoD believes this situation is adequately addressed at DFARS 232.7002(a)(6), which permits an exception to the policy if the contractor is unable to submit a payment request in electronic form.

5. *Comment:* There is a concern that one DoD payment office may be implementing a policy stating that, once it begins paying under contract via Wide Area WorkFlow-Receipt and Acceptance (WAWF-RA), there shall be no invoices on that contract that will be paid manually.

*DoD Response:* DoD believes it should be a rare instance where manual payments are needed after payment has been made electronically under a contract. However, DoD believes this situation is adequately addressed at DFARS 232.7002(a)(6), which permits submission of a payment request in other than electronic form if the contractor is unable to submit or DoD is unable to receive a payment request in electronic form, and the parties (contracting officer, payment office, contract administration office, and contractor) agree on an alternative method. While a particular payment office may have a general policy such as the one described by the respondent, DoD anticipates that such a policy would be flexible enough to accommodate unique circumstances that may arise during contract performance.

6. *Comment:* How will vendors know what DFAS payment systems are available to accept invoicing through WAWF-RA and whether there will be staff available for testing of different payment systems for electronic invoicing? In addition, will purchasing agencies have all the required information to give vendors for electronic invoicing when orders are called in for supplies?

*DoD Response:* Information regarding DFAS and electronic payment is available at [www.dfas.mil/ecedi](http://www.dfas.mil/ecedi). If the required information is not available on that web site, the cognizant contracting officer should be able to assist the contractor.

7. *Comment:* There should be a 6-month grace period during which paper invoices will continue to be acceptable