However, persons who wish to bring matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the Committee staff before or after the meeting. Public input sessions will be provided and individuals who made written requests by March 27, 2003 will have the opportunity to address the committee at those sessions.

Dated: February 20, 2003. James F. Giachino, Designated Federal Official. [FR Doc. 03–4462 Filed 2–25–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Natural Resources Conservation Service

### Notice of Proposed Changes to Section IV of the Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG) of the Natural Resources Conservation Service in Michigan

**AGENCY:** Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Michigan, Department of Agriculture. **ACTION:** Notice of availability of proposed changes in Michigan NRCS FOTG, section IV for review and comment.

**SUMMARY:** It is the intention of NRCS in Michigan to issue revised conservation practice standards in section IV of the FOTG. The revised standards include: Animal Trails and Walkways—575 Channel Stabilization—584 Drainage Water Management (Ac.)—554 Irrigation Regulating Reservoir (No.)— 552

Land Reconstruction, Abandoned Mined Land (Ac.)—543

Land Reconstruction, Currently Mined Land (Ac.)—544

Lined Waterway or Outlet (Ft.)—468 Terrace—600

**DATES:** Comments will be received for a 30-day period commencing with this date of publication.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Inquire in writing to Kevin Wickey, Assistant State Conservationist for Technology, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 3001 Coolidge Road, Suite 250, E. Lansing, MI 48823. Copies of these standards will be made available upon written request. You may submit electronic requests and comments to

Kevin.Wickey@mi.usda.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kevin Wickey 517–324–5279.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 393 of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996

states that revisions made after enactment of the law, to NRCS state technical guides used to carry out highly erodible land and wetland provisions of the law, shall be made available for public review and comment. For the next 30 days, the NRCS in Michigan will receive comments relative to the proposed changes. Following that period, a determination will be made by the NRCS in Michigan regarding disposition of those comments and a final determination of change will be made.

Dated: February 13, 2003.

### Ronald C. Williams,

State Conservationist, E. Lansing, Michigan. [FR Doc. 03–4451 Filed 2–25–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–16–P

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

# Natural Resources Conservation Service

## Notice of Proposed Changes to Section IV of the Tennessee Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG)

**AGENCY:** Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Tennessee, Department of Agriculture. **ACTION:** Notice of availability of proposed changes in the Tennessee NRCS Field Office Technical Guide,

section IV, for review and comment. **SUMMARY:** It has been determined by the NRCS State Conservationist for Tennessee that changes must be made in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide, specifically in practice standards Contour Buffer Strip (Code 332); Residue Management, No Till/Strip Till (Code 329A); and Residue Management, Mulch Till (Code 329B) to account for

improved technology. These practice standards can be used in systems that treat highly erodible cropland.

**DATES:** Comments will be received for a 30-day period commencing with the date of this publication.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Inquire in writing to James W. Ford, State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), 675 U.S. Courthouse, 801 Broadway, Nashville, Tennessee, 37203, telephone number (615) 277–2531. Copies of the practice standards will be made available upon written request.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 343 of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 states that revisions made after enactment of the law to NRCS state technical guides used to perform highly

erodible land and wetland provisions of the law shall be made available for public review and comment. For the next 30 days, the NRCS in Tennessee will receive comments relative to the proposed changes. Following that period, a determination will be made by the NRCS in Tennessee regarding disposition of those comments and a final determination of change will be made to the subject practice standards.

Dated: February 13, 2003.

# James W. Ford,

*State Conservationist.* [FR Doc. 03–4450 Filed 2–24–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–16–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for emergency clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

*Title:* Survey of Companies Having Employees Present at WTC Buildings 1, 2, and 7 as Part of the NIST WTC Investigation.

Form Number(s): None. OMB Approval Number: None. Type of Request: Emergency.

Burden Hours: 862.

Number of Respondents: 606.

Average Hours per Response: 2 hours per response for companies; 15 minutes

per response for family members. *Needs and Uses:* NIST will be

conducting the Investigation as requested under the WTC Report issued by Congress on February 8, 2002. The objectives of the NIST World Trade Center Investigation are to: (1) Determine technically, why and how buildings WTC 1, 2, and 7 collapsed following the initial impact of the aircraft; (2) Determine why the injuries and fatalities were so high or low depending on location, including all technical aspects of fire protection, response, evacuation, and occupant behavior and emergency response; (3) Determine the procedures and practices that were used in the design, construction, operation, and maintenance of the World Trade Center Buildings; and (4) Identify, as specifically as possible, building and fire codes, standards, and practices that warrant revision and are still in use. The proposed information collection will