

Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h), Michelin has petitioned for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 on the basis that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

This notice of receipt of Michelin's petition is published under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120 and does not represent any agency decision or other exercise of judgment concerning the merits of the petition.

Michelin produced approximately 8,568 Michelin Pilot XGT H4 tires, size P195/65R15, whose sidewall labeling, on one side of the tire only, incorrectly describes the generic name of the cord material in one of the plies in the tread area. These tires were marked on one side indicating the Polyamide ply in the tread area is composed of Polyamide/Steel while they are actually composed of Polyamide only. Therefore, they do not comply with FMVSS No. 109 S4.3(d), which requires that "each tire shall have permanently molded into or onto both sidewalls . . . (d) The generic name of each cord material used in the plies (both sidewall and tread area) of the tire."

Michelin believes that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. It asserts that in all other respects, the tires meet or exceed the requirements of FMVSS No. 109, including all of the performance requirements. It further asserts that the noncompliance with S4.3(d) will have no impact on the performance of the tire on a motor vehicle, or upon motor vehicle safety.

Michelin further states:

The Agency has consistently found that ply labeling noncompliances have an inconsequential effect on motor vehicle safety, and have regularly granted exemption petitions for similar ply labeling noncompliances. See *e.g.*, 67 FR 1399 (January 10, 2002) finding use of word "Rayon" instead of "Polyester" inconsequential; 66 FR 63090 (December 4, 2001) finding understatement of number of plies inconsequential; 66 FR 49254 (September 26, 2001) finding overstatement of number of plies inconsequential; 66 FR 47518 (September 12, 2001) finding overstatement of number of plies inconsequential; and 66 FR 41931 (August 9, 2001) finding overstatement of number of plies inconsequential.

Michelin states that NHTSA recently reviewed the impact of tire label information on safety in the context of its rulemaking efforts under the Transportation Recall, Enhancement, Accountability and Documentation Act, and that the analysis concluded that tire construction information is not relied upon by dealers and consumers in the

purchasing or selling of tires and has an inconsequential impact on motor vehicle safety. Michelin says that NHTSA's review included both a solicitation of comments on a proposed tire labeling rulemaking, and related focus group surveys. According to Michelin, commenters on NHTSA's Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking issued on December 1, 2000 (65 FR 75222) indicated that the tire construction labeling requirements of FMVSS No. 109 S4.3(d) and (e) provide little or no safety value to the general public since most consumers do not understand tire construction technology. Michelin says the consumer focus groups found that very few consumers had any knowledge of tire information beyond the tire brand name, tire size, and tire pressure and did not have information or knowledge on the relationship between tire construction specifications and tire durability and strength. According to Michelin, NHTSA concluded from these comments and focus groups that it is likely that few consumers are influenced by the tire construction labeling information when making a motor vehicle or tire purchase decision, and that such information is not relied upon by consumers in evaluating the strength and durability of tires.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments on the petition described above. Comments must refer to the docket and notice number cited at the beginning of this notice and be submitted by any of the following methods: Mail: Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Nassif Building, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. Hand Delivery: Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC. It is requested, but not required, that two copies of the comments be provided. The Docket Section is open on weekdays from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. except Federal Holidays. Comments may be submitted electronically by logging onto the Docket Management System Web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>. Click on "Help" to obtain instructions for filing the document electronically. Comments may be faxed to 1-202-493-2251, or may be submitted to the Federal eRulemaking Portal: go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

The petition, supporting materials, and all comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated below will be considered. All comments and supporting materials

received after the closing date will also be filed and considered to the extent possible. When the petition is granted or denied, a notice of the decision will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Comment closing date: January 21, 2004.

Authority: (49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120; delegations of authority at CFR 1.50 and 501.8).

Kenneth N. Weinstein,

Associate Administrator for Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 03-31392 Filed 12-19-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Docket No. AB-601 (Sub-No. 1X)]

Pine Belt Southern Railroad Company, Inc.—Abandonment Exemption—in Lee and Chambers Counties, AL

Pine Belt Southern Railroad Company, Inc. (PBRR) has filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1152 Subpart F—*Exempt Abandonments* to abandon a 17.4-mile line of railroad between milepost T-322.40 at Roanoke Junction and milepost T-339.66 at Lafayette, in Lee and Chambers Counties, AL.¹ The line traverses United States Postal Service Zip Codes 36801, 36802, 36803, 36804 and 36862.

PBRR has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; (2) there has been no overhead traffic on the line during the past 2 years; (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or by a state or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of complainant within the 2-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7 (environmental reports), 49 CFR 1105.8 (historic reports), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to this exemption, any employees adversely affected by the

¹ The City of Opelika (City) filed a request for imposition of a public use condition and for issuance of a notice of interim trail use for the entire line pursuant to section 8(d) of the National Trails System Act, 16 U.S.C. 1247(d). The Board will address the City's public use and trail use requests, and any others that may be filed, in a subsequent decision.

abandonment shall be protected under *Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protect affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) must be filed. Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on January 21, 2004, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues,² formal expressions of intent to file an OFA under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),³ and trail use/rail banking requests under 49 CFR 1152.29 must be filed by January 2, 2004. Petitions to reopen or requests for public use conditions under 49 CFR 1152.28 must be filed by January 12, 2004, with: Surface Transportation Board, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423.

A copy of any petition filed with the Board should be sent to PBRR's representative: G. Richard Abernathy, P.O. Box 1317, Shelbyville, TN 37162.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*.

PBRR has filed an environmental report which addresses the abandonment's effects, if any, on the environment and historic resources. SEA will issue an environmental assessment (EA) by December 23, 2003. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the EA by writing to SEA (Room 500, Surface Transportation Board, Washington, DC 20423) or by calling SEA, at (202) 565-1539. [Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.] Comments on environmental and historic preservation matters must be filed within 15 days after the EA becomes available to the public.

Environmental, historic preservation, public use, or trail use/rail banking conditions will be imposed, where appropriate, in a subsequent decision.

Pursuant to the provisions of 49 CFR 1152.29(e)(2), PBRR shall file a notice of consummation with the Board to signify

that it has exercised the authority granted and fully abandoned the line. If consummation has not been effected by PBRR's filing of a notice of consummation by December 22, 2004, and there are no legal or regulatory barriers to consummation, the authority to abandon will automatically expire.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at <http://www.stb.dot.gov>.

Decided: December 10, 2003.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-31082 Filed 12-19-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-00-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Guidance to Federal Financial Assistance Recipients Regarding Title VI Prohibition Against National Origin Discrimination Affecting Limited English Proficient Persons

AGENCY: Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed guidance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of the Treasury publishes for public comment proposed policy guidance on Title VI's prohibition against national origin discrimination as it affects limited English proficient persons. This policy guidance is intended to replace policy guidance published March 7, 2001, and republished on March 7, 2002, *See* 66 FR 13829 and 67 FR 10477.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before January 21, 2004. The Department of the Treasury will review all comments and will determine what modifications, if any, to this policy guidance are necessary.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons should submit written comments to Ms. Mariam Harvey, Acting Director, Workforce Development and Equal Opportunity Division, Department of the Treasury, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Room 6071 Metropolitan Square, Washington, DC 20220. Comments may also be submitted by e-mail to: OEOPWEB@do.treas.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Pamela Proctor at the Workforce Development and Equal Opportunity Division, Department of the Treasury, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Room 6071 Metropolitan Square, Washington, DC 20220; (202) 622-1170 voice, (202) 622-0367 fax, (202) 622-7104 TTY. Arrangements to receive the policy in an alternative format may be made by contacting Ms. Proctor.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. 2000d, *et seq.* provides that no person shall be subjected to discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin under any program or activity that receives Federal financial assistance.

This document was originally published on March 7, 2001. *See* 66 FR 13829. The document was based on the policy guidance issued by the Department of Justice entitled "Enforcement of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964—National Origin Discrimination Against Persons with Limited English Proficiency." 65 FR 50123 (August 16, 2000).

On October 26, 2001 and January 11, 2002, the Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights issued to Federal departments and agencies guidance memoranda which reaffirmed the Department of Justice's commitment to ensuring that federally assisted programs and activities fulfill their LEP responsibilities, and which clarified and answered certain questions raised regarding the August 16th publication. In furtherance of those memoranda, the Department of the Treasury republished its guidance for the purpose of obtaining additional public comment on March 7, 2002. *See* 67 FR 10477.

On March 14, 2002, following republication of Treasury's policy guidance, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) issued a Report to Congress titled "Assessment of the Total Benefits and Costs of Implementing Executive Order No. 13166: Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency." Among other things, the Report recommended the adoption of uniform guidance across all Federal agencies, with flexibility to permit tailoring to each agency's specific recipients. Consistent with this OMB recommendation, the Department of Justice (DOJ) published LEP Guidance for DOJ recipients which was drafted and organized to also function as a model for similar guidance by other Federal grant agencies. *See* 67 FR 41455 (June 18, 2002). To the extent appropriate, the proposed guidance is consistent with the model LEP guidance document published by DOJ.

It has been determined that the guidance does not constitute a regulation subject to the rulemaking requirements of the Administration Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553. It has also been determined that this guidance document is not subject to the requirements of Executive Order 12866.

The text of the complete proposed guidance document appears below.

² The Board will grant a stay if an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) in its independent investigation) cannot be made before the exemption's effective date. *See Exemption of Out-of-Service Rail Lines*, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any request for a stay should be filed as soon as possible so that the Board may take appropriate action before the exemption's effective date.

³ Each OFA must be accompanied by the filing fee, which currently is set at \$1,100. *See* 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).