

NW., Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, send one copy of any comments to petitioner's representative: Paul M. Donovan, LaRoe, Winn, Moerman & Donovan, 4135 Parkglenn Court, NW., Washington, DC 20007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joseph H. Dettmar, (202) 565-1600. [Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at: (800) 877-8339.]

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** By petition filed on October 22, 2003, the Port Authority asks the Board to issue an order declaring that the construction and operation of a connector between the SIRR line and the Chemical Coast Secondary Line<sup>1</sup> will not constitute an extension of a line of railroad nor the construction of an additional line of railroad that would require Board approval.

The Port Authority states that the SIRR was abandoned in 1990 and 1991, and that the Port Authority and the City of New York<sup>2</sup> have acquired the rail lines necessary to revitalize the SIRR. Petitioner further indicates that the revitalized SIRR will not extend west of the New Jersey Turnpike, but will connect to the Chemical Coast Secondary Line by way of the newly constructed, far more efficient connector.

The Port Authority states that the connector will consist of a new single-track rail alignment approximately 3,650 feet long.<sup>3</sup> The Port Authority asserts that this connector will replace the various other connections that have existed between the SIRR and NS, CSX, and Conrail lines at Cranford, Linden, and Bayway, NJ, and the connections

<sup>1</sup> Conrail owns the Chemical Coast Secondary Line and, as a result of that ownership, has the right to operate over it. Moreover, because this line is part of the North Jersey Shared Assets Area, CSX and NS also have the right to operate over it. See *CSX Corp. et al.—Control—Conrail Inc. et al.*, 3 S.T.B. 196, 228 (1998).

<sup>2</sup> According to the Port Authority, this construction project, called the Staten Island Railroad Reactivation Project, is one part of a plan for reactivation of the operations of the former SIRR. Petitioner indicates that it will soon file a notice of a modified certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to 49 CFR 1150.21-.24, advising of the designation of CSX and NS as the modified certificate operators of certain lines of the SIRR that had been abandoned and then acquired by the City of New York and the State of New Jersey. Also, on October 29, 2003, the New York City Economic Development Corporation (NYCEDC), which manages the New York properties of the former SIRR on behalf of New York City, filed a petition for a declaratory order with respect to the proposed construction of switching, industrial lead, and spur track on the Travis Branch of the former SIRR.

<sup>3</sup> The project will also entail the construction of two new bridges and the rehabilitation of an existing steel viaduct.

provided by car float between St. George and Port Ivory, NY, and Port Newark, NJ.<sup>4</sup>

Under 49 U.S.C. 10901(a), Board approval is required in situations where a person wishes to “(1) construct an extension to any of its railroad lines; [or] (2) construct an additional railroad line; \* \* \*” According to the Port Authority, “the final test in determining whether proposed trackage constitutes an extension is whether the effect of the new trackage is to extend substantially the line of a carrier into new territory,” citing *City of Detroit v. Canadian National Ry. Co., et al.*, 9 I.C.C.2d 1208 (1993), *aff’d sub nom. Detroit/Wayne County Port Authority v. ICC*, 59 F.3d 1314 (D.C. Cir. 1995).

The Port Authority argues that the proposed connector does not involve the construction of an “extension” of a line of railroad, nor does it constitute an “additional” line, the construction of which would require Board approval. Rather, petitioner argues that the connector merely permits a more efficient connection than those that have historically existed and which could be reactivated without Board approval. Specifically, the Port Authority maintains that it controls and could reactivate the Port Ivory and Port Newark Port Authority float bridges,<sup>5</sup> to form a route that parallels the route provided by the proposed connector, without Board approval.<sup>6</sup> According to the Port Authority, construction of the connector will neither open up new traffic routes nor expand service into new territory.

Finally, the Port Authority requests expedited consideration of its request so that the SIRR reactivation project may advance as quickly as possible. The Port Authority claims that the Howland Hook Container Terminal, Inc. (Howland Hook), located on Staten Island, NY, is at a severe competitive disadvantage compared to other major container terminals on the Atlantic

<sup>4</sup> Prior to its abandonment in 1991, the SIRR interchanged freight with several rail carriers via car float operations. These operations, also called lightering, employed various types of towed or self-propelled floating equipment. Car floats with railroad tracks were towed between waterfront terminals on the New York Harbor. A system of tracks served the piers at the terminals, allowing rail cars to be moved from the car floats, over float bridges, to the terminals. In 1934, the ICC held that the term “railroad” includes “all \* \* \* lighters \* \* \* used by or operated in connection with any railroad,” and that the term “transportation” includes “vessels and all instrumentalities and facilities of shipment or carriage.” *Ligherage Cases*, 203 I.C.C. 481, 511-12 (1934).

<sup>5</sup> Petitioner notes, however, that it would not be economically feasible to do so.

<sup>6</sup> According to petitioner, these parallel routes have the same origins and destinations and serve the same shippers.

Coast in that it does not have direct rail service. Petitioner maintains that, as a result, containers handled at Howland Hook must be drayed to intermodal rail facilities in New Jersey, producing a great deal of truck traffic in an already congested, non-attainment air quality area. This results in significant drayage costs for Howland Hook and negative environmental consequences.

By this notice, the Board is requesting comments on the Port Authority's petition.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at <http://www.stb.dot.gov>.

Decided: November 12, 2003.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

**Vernon A. Williams,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 03-28753 Filed 11-17-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-00-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

[STB Docket No. AB-33 (Sub-No. 208X)]

#### Union Pacific Railroad Company— Abandonment Exemption—in Marshall County, KS

Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) has filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1152 Subpart F—*Exempt Abandonments and Discontinuances of Service and Trackage Rights* to abandon an 8.13-mile line of railroad from milepost 133.13 near Marysville to milepost 125.00 near Marietta, in Marshall County, KS. The line traverses United States Postal Service Zip Code 66508.

UP has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; (2) there is no overhead traffic on the line; (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or by a state or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of complainant within the 2-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7 (environmental reports), 49 CFR 1105.8 (historic reports), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the abandonment shall be protected under

*Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) must be filed. Provided no formal expression of intent to file an OFA has been received, this exemption will be effective on December 18, 2003, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues,<sup>1</sup> formal expressions of intent to file an OFA under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),<sup>2</sup> and trail use/rail banking requests under 49 CFR 1152.29 must be filed by November 28, 2003. Petitions to reopen or requests for public use conditions under 49 CFR 1152.28 must be filed by December 8, 2003, with: Surface Transportation Board, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423.

A copy of any petition filed with the Board should be sent to UP's representative: Mack H. Shumate, Jr., Senior General Attorney, 101 North Wacker Drive, Room 1920 Chicago, IL 60606.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*.

UP has filed an environmental report which addresses the abandonment's effects, if any, on the environment and historic resources. SEA will issue an environmental assessment (EA) by November 21, 2003. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the EA by writing to SEA (Room 500, Surface Transportation Board, Washington, DC 20423) or by calling SEA, at (202) 565-1539. [Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.] Comments on environmental and historic preservation matters must be filed within 15 days after the EA becomes available to the public.

Environmental, historic preservation, public use, or trail use/rail banking conditions will be imposed, where appropriate, in a subsequent decision.

Pursuant to the provisions of 49 CFR 1152.29(e)(2), UP shall file a notice of consummation with the Board to signify

that it has exercised the authority granted and fully abandoned its line. If consummation has not been effected by UP's filing of a notice of consummation by November 18, 2004, and there are no legal or regulatory barriers to consummation, the authority to abandon will automatically expire.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at <http://www.stb.dot.gov>.

Decided: November 10, 2003.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

**Vernon A. Williams**,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-28629 Filed 11-17-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-00-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

November 10, 2003.

The Department of Treasury has submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, Room 11000, 1750 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before December 18, 2003 to be assured of consideration.

### Departmental Offices/Office of International Monetary and Financial Policy

*OMB Number:* 1505-0010.

*Form Number:* FC-2.

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Title:* Monthly Consolidated Foreign Currency Report of Major Market Participants.

*Description:* Collection of information on Form FC-2 is required by law. Form FC-2 is designed to collect timely information on foreign exchange contracts purchased and sold; foreign exchange futures purchased and sold; foreign currency options and net delta equivalent value; foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities; net reported dealing position.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit, Not-for-profit institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 21.

*Estimated Burden Hours Per Respondent:* 4 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* Monthly.

*Estimated Total Reporting Burden:* 1,008 hours.

*OMB Number:* 1505-0012.

*Form Number:* FC-1.

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Title:* Weekly Consolidated Foreign Currency Report of Major Market Participants.

*Description:* Collection of information on Form FC-1 is required by law. Form FC-1 is designed to collect timely information on foreign exchange spot, forward and futures purchased and sold; net options position, delta equivalent value long or short; net reported dealing position long or short.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit, Not-for-profit institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 21.

*Estimated Burden Hours Per Respondent:* 1 hour.

*Frequency of Response:* Weekly.

*Estimated Total Reporting Burden:* 1,092 hours.

*OMB Number:* 1505-0014.

*Form Number:* FC-3.

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Title:* Quarterly Consolidated Foreign Currency Report.

*Description:* Collection of information on Form FC-3 is required by law. Form FC-3 is designed to collect timely information on foreign exchange contracts purchased and sold; foreign exchange futures purchased and sold; foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities; foreign currency options and net delta equivalent value.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit, Not-for-profit institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 51.

*Estimated Burden Hours Per Respondent:* 8 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* Quarterly.

*Estimated Total Reporting Burden:* 1,632 hours.

*Clearance Officer:* Lois K. Holland, (202) 622-1563, Departmental Offices, Room 11000, 1750 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220.

*OMB Reviewer:* Joseph F. Lackey, Jr., (202) 395-7316, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

**Mary A. Able**,

*Treasury PRA Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 03-28777 Filed 11-17-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4810-25-P**

<sup>1</sup> The Board will grant a stay if an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) in its independent investigation) cannot be made before the exemption's effective date. See *Exemption of Out-of-Service Rail Lines*, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any request for a stay should be filed as soon as possible so that the Board may take appropriate action before the exemption's effective date.

<sup>2</sup> Each OFA must be accompanied by the filing fee, which currently is set at \$1,100. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).